arXiv:1608.06236v1 [math.GT] 22 Aug 2016

The homotopy type of the PL cobordism category. I

Mauricio Gómez López

Abstract

We introduce in this article a bordism category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ whose objects are bundles of closed $(d-1)$-dimensional piecewise linear manifolds and whose morphisms are bundles of d-dimensional piecewise linear cobordisms. $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is a simplicial non-unital category and, in the main theorem of this article, we show that its classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is weak homotopy equivalent to an infinite loop space. We regard $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ as the piecewise linear analogue of the category of smooth cobordisms which has been studied extensively in connection to the Madsen-Weiss Theorem, and the main result of this paper is a first step towards obtaining Madsen-Weiss type results in the context of piecewise linear topology.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Preliminaries on piecewise linear topology and simplicial complexes **6**
2.1 Basic definitions . . . 6
2.2 Abstract pl spaces and abstract pl maps . . . 7
2.3 Products of pl spaces . . . 8
2.4 Piecewise linear subspaces . . . 9
2.5 Regular values for pl maps . . . 10
2.6 Δ-sets and simplicial complexes . . . 11

3 Spaces of PL manifolds **12**
3.1 Piecewise linear submersions . . . 12
3.2 The space of manifolds $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. . . 15
3.3 Properties of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. . . 17
3.4 The spectrum of spaces of PL manifolds . . . 24
3.5 The sheaf Ψ_d . . . 27

4 Functors $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ **29**
4.1 Preliminaries on simplicial complexes and Δ-sets . . . 29
4.2 The subdivision map $\rho : \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \longrightarrow \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right|$. . . 32

5 The piecewise linear cobordism category **37**
5.1 Fiberwise regular values . . . 38
5.2 Non-unital simplicial categories . . . 38
5.3 The non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$. . . 40
5.4 The equivalence $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$. . . 42

6 The inclusion $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ **47**
6.1 Preliminary lemmas and outline of the proof . . . 47
6.2 The Isotopy Extension Theorem . . . 50
6.3 Proof of Proposition 6.5 . . . 52

7 The equivalence $|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ 60
7.1 The scanning map 60
7.2 Decomposition of the scanning map 62
7.3 The group completion argument 64
7.4 The inclusion $\psi_d^0(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d^\emptyset(N,k)_\bullet$ 71
7.5 Proof of the main theorem 82

1 Introduction

a. Background and statement of the main result

The goal of the present paper and the forthcoming article [Go2] is to prove a piecewise linear analogue of the following theorem by Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, and Weiss [GMTW].

Theorem (Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, Weiss). *There is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{C}_d \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{MT}O(d).$$

In this statement, $\mathbf{MT}O(d)$ is the Madsen-Tillmann spectrum, whose N-th space is the Thom space $\mathrm{Th}\big(\gamma_{d,N}^\perp\big)$ of the vector bundle orthogonal to the universal vector bundle $\gamma_{d,N}$ defined over the Grassmannian $Gr_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of d-dimensional planes in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The space on the left hand side is the classifying space of the smooth cobordism category $\mathcal{C}_d$, which has recently played a key role in the study of stable phenomena of diffeomorphism groups.

Let us give an outline of the definition of the category $\mathcal{C}_d$ (see also [GMTW]). The set of objects of $\mathcal{C}_d$ is defined as follows: let B_N denote the set of all $(d-1)$-dimensional closed submanifolds M in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The natural inclusion $\mathbb{R}^N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ induces a map $B_N \hookrightarrow B_{N+1}$ and the set of objects $\mathrm{Ob}\mathcal{C}_d$ is then defined to be the set of all tuples (M,a) where $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and M is an element of the colimit B_∞ of the following sequence of maps

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow B_N \hookrightarrow B_{N+1} \hookrightarrow \ldots.$$

A non-identity morphism between two objects (M_0,a_0) and (M_1,a_1) is a triple (W,a_0,a_1), where W is a d-dimensional compact submanifold of a product $[a_0,a_1]\times\mathbb{R}^N$ for which there is a value $\epsilon>0$ such that the following holds:

i) $W \cap ([a_0,a_0+\epsilon)\times\mathbb{R}^N) = [a_0,a_0+\epsilon)\times M_0$.

ii) $W \cap ((a_1-\epsilon,a_1]\times\mathbb{R}^N) = (a_1-\epsilon,a_1]\times M_1$.

iii) $\partial W = W \cap (\{a_0,a_1\}\times\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Two morphisms (W_1,a_0,a_1) and (W_2,a_1,a_2) in $\mathcal{C}_d$ are composable if the outgoing boundary of W_1 is equal to the incoming boundary of W_2. In this case, their composition is equal to the triple

$$(W_1 \cup W_2, a_0, a_2).$$

Galatius and Randal-Williams provided in [GRW1] a more elementary proof of the main theorem from [GMTW] using scanning methods and the spaces of manifolds $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by Galatius in [Ga]. For a fixed positive integer N, the underlying set of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the set of all d-dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^N$ which are closed as subspaces. As

indicated in [Ga], the spaces $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ form a spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}_d$ by letting N vary, and the main result from [GMTW] is obtained in [GRW1] by showing that there are two weak equivalences

$$B\mathcal{C}_d \simeq \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d \tag{1}$$

$$\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{MT}O(d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d. \tag{2}$$

In this article, we introduce the *d-dimensional PL cobordism category*, denoted by $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, which we consider to be the appropriate piecewise linear analogue of the category of smooth cobordisms studied in [GMTW] and [GRW1], and our main result gives a piecewise linear version of the equivalence (1) given above. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem (Gómez López). *There is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}.$$

The spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}$ that appears in the statement of the main result is a *spectrum of spaces of PL manifolds.* The N-th space of this spectrum is the geometric realization of a simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, whose role in this article is similar to the one played in [GRW1] by the space of smooth manifolds $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As it is the case with the equivalences indicated in (1) and (2), the superscript $\infty-1$ in $\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}$ indicates that we are taking the infinite loop space of the suspension of $\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}$.

In the forthcoming article [Go2], we will introduce *the piecewise linear Madsen-Tillmann spectrum* $\mathbf{MT}PL(d)$ and show that there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{MT}PL(d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}. \tag{3}$$

Together with the main theorem of this article, this result would complete the proof of the piecewise linear analogue of the theorem of Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann, and Weiss. Moreover, in [Go2] we will also discuss how to apply the equivalence (3) and the methods of this article to obtain Madsen-Weiss type results for the piecewise linear category.

b. Outline of proof.

Before giving the outline of the proof of the main theorem, let us indicate how Galatius and Randal-Williams obtain the weak equivalence (1) in [GRW1]. Let $\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_d$ where objects are closed $(d-1)$-dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and morphisms are cobordisms $W \subseteq [a_0, a_1]\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ (see Definition 3.7 in [GRW1]). For each positive integer N, Galatius and Randal-Williams introduce a filtration

$$\psi_d(N,1) \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,2) \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,N) = \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

of the space $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and show that for each $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ there is a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{S}_k : \psi_d(N,k) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega\psi_d(N,k+1)$, which implies that there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\psi_d(N,1) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{N-1}\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4}$$

Finally, it is also proven in [GRW1] that there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$B\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq \psi_d(N,1). \tag{5}$$

The weak equivalence (1) is then obtained by combining (4) and (5) and by letting N go to infinity.

For the proof of this article's main theorem, we will follow an outline similar to the one given above. More precisely, we will introduce a filtration

$$\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,2)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \ldots \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,N)_\bullet = \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \tag{6}$$

similar to the one used in [GRW1] and we will show that there are two weak equivalences

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \tag{7}$$

$$|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \tag{8}$$

as long as $N-d \geq 3$, which suffices to prove the weak equivalence given in the statement of the main theorem.

We point out that although the strategy of our proof is similar to the one followed in [GRW1], our methods differ significantly from the ones used in that article, especially for the proof of the weak equivalence (7). The proof in [GRW1] of the smooth version of this equivalence is noticeably brief and relies on the following elementary geometric fact:

Fact. *Let M^m be an m-dimensional smooth manifold, let W be a smooth $(d+m)$-submanifold of $M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ such that the projection $\pi : W \to M$ is a smooth submersion of codimension d, and let $\lambda \in M$. If $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value for the projection $x_1 : \pi^{-1}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{R}$ onto the first component of $\mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$, then there exists an open neighborhood U of λ in M such that a_0 is a regular value for*

$$x_1 : \pi^{-1}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{R}$$

for each $\alpha \in U$.

Unfortunately, this fact does not hold in the piecewise linear category and consequently we cannot follow the strategy of Galatius and Randal-Williams too closely. To fix this, we define a subsimplicial set of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ for which the *fiberwise regularity* exhibited in the smooth case does hold. More precisely, in this article we introduce a subsimplicial set $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ such that for each simplex W of $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ the projection $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$ has a *fiberwise regular value* (see Definition 5.2). We then obtain the equivalence indicated in (7) by showing that $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ fits into a chain of weak equivalences

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet| \xhookrightarrow{\simeq} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \tag{9}$$

when $N-d \geq 3$. Similar fiberwise regularity issues arise in the proof of the weak equivalence (8), which can also be fixed by applying the techniques used to obtain the equivalence (7).

This paper is organized as follows. In section §2, we give a very concise introduction to several concepts and results from piecewise linear topology that we will use throughout this paper. In particular, we give the definition of *regular value* for piecewise linear maps, a notion from piecewise linear topology that might be unfamiliar to most topologists.

This section also includes a brief discussion about Δ-sets (simplicial sets without degeneracies), which we'll need for some of the arguments presented in subsequent sections.

In sections §3 and §4, we study the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, which we view as a *space of d-dimensional piecewise linear manifolds in* $\mathbb{R}^N$. Besides giving the definition of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, in section §3 we also indicate how this simplicial set can be recovered from a more general contravariant functor $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ and we use this fact to show that the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is Kan. In this section we also introduce the filtration given in (6) and the spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}$ which appears in the statement of the main theorem.

In section §4, we work exclusively with the Δ-set $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ obtained from $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ by forgetting degeneracies. In this section, we will use the functor $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ to define a map $\rho : |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ which satisfies the following:

i) ρ is homotopic to the identity map on $|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$.

ii) For any morphism of Δ-sets $f : X_\bullet \to \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ and any non-negative integer $r \geq 0$, there is a unique morphism $g : \mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet \to \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ (where $\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet$ is the r-th barycentric subdivision of $X_\bullet$) which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|f|} & \left|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \\ \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \rho^r \\ |\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|g|} & \left|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \end{array}$$

In this diagram, the left vertical map is the canonical homeomorphism $|\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |X_\bullet|$.

In view of property *ii*), the map ρ will be called the *subdivision map of* $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$.

In section §5 we introduce the piecewise linear cobordism category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, which we define as a *non-unital simplicial category* (see §5.2), and we begin the proof of the main theorem. We define $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ by first introducing for each positive integer N a non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, similar in construction to the category $\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ from [GRW1], and then defining $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ as a colimit of the non-unital categories $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We also introduce the simplicial set $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ and prove the equivalence $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$.

In §6, the core section of this paper, we complete the proof of (7) by showing that the inclusion $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence when $N - d \geq 3$. The key tool used to prove this result is the Isotopy Extension Theorem of Hudson (see [Hu2]), which is why we need to assume that $N - d \geq 3$.

Finally, in §7, the most technical section of this article, we define the map (8) and prove that it is indeed a weak homotopy equivalence when $N - d \geq 3$. At the end of this section, we obtain the main result of this paper in Theorem 7.21 by combining the weak equivalences (7) and (8), and letting N go to infinity.

Acknowledgments. This paper is based on the results presented in the author's doctoral dissertation and the author would like to give special thanks to Oscar Randal-Williams for offering careful advisory, patience, and time throughout the development of this project. The author would also like to say thank you to Søren Galatius for helpful discussions during his visits at the University of Copenhagen and to Erik Kjær Pedersen for suggesting this project. The author was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Centre of Symmetry and Deformation (DNRF92).

2 Preliminaries on piecewise linear topology and simplicial complexes

The material presented in this section can be found in the standard introductions to piecewise linear topology, such as [Hu1], [RS1], and the reader is encouraged to consult these references for a more detailed treatment of this subject.

2.1 Basic definitions

The basic building blocks for all the constructions in piecewise linear topology are given in the following three definitions.

Definition 2.1. A *simplex of dimension* p (or a *p-simplex*) σ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull of a set of $p+1$ geometrically independent points $\{v_0, \dots, v_p\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. That is, each point x in σ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination $\sum_{i=0}^{p} t_i v_i$ with $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq p$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{p} t_i = 1$.

Convention. Throughout this article, the vertices of the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ shall be denoted by $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_p$, i.e., we shall label the elements of this basis using the set $\{0, \dots, p\}$ instead of $\{1, \dots, p+1\}$.

The convex hull of the vectors $e_0, \dots, e_p$ is called *the standard geometric p-simplex* and shall be denoted by Δ^p.

Definition 2.2. A collection K of simplices in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *simplicial complex* provided

i) If $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau < \sigma$ (τ is a *face* of σ), then $\tau \in K$.

ii) If $\sigma, \tau \in K$, then $\sigma \cap \tau < \sigma$ and $\sigma \cap \tau < \tau$.

iii) K is *locally finite*, i.e., given $x \in K$, there is a neighborhood of x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which meets only finitely many simplices of K.

Definition 2.3. $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be an *Euclidean polyhedron* if it is the union of finitely many simplices $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In particular, if K is a finite simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then the union of all the simplices of K (usually denoted by $|K|$) is an Euclidean polyhedron. The polyhedron $|K|$ is usually called *the underlying polyhedron of K*. The following proposition, whose proof can be found in [RS1], tell us that all Euclidean polyhedra are of the form $|K|$ for some simplicial complex K.

Proposition 2.4. *If P is an Euclidean polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then there exists a finite simplicial complex K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P = |K|$.*

If $P = |K|$, then we say that K *triangulates* P. An Euclidean polyhedron P is said to be of *dimension* p if for any simplicial complex K such that $|K| = P$ we have that K has a simplex σ of dimension p but no simplices of dimension greater than p.

A compact subspace Q of an Euclidean polyhedron P is said to be a *subpolyhedron of* P if Q is itself an Euclidean polyhedron. The notion of subpolyhedron allows us to formulate the following definition.

Definition 2.5. A continuous map $f : P \to Q$ between two Euclidean polyhedra P and Q is said to be *piecewise linear* if the graph $\Gamma(f) \subseteq P \times Q$ is a subpolyhedron of $P \times Q$.

Piecewise linear maps also admit the following characterization in terms of simplicial complexes. The proof can be found in [RS1].

Proposition 2.6. *A continuous map $f : P \to Q$ is piecewise linear if and only if there exists simplicial complexes K and L such that $|K| = P$, $|L| = Q$ and such that $f : |K| \to |L|$ is simplicial.*

Using 2.6, we can obtain the following result which describes the image of a pl map.

Proposition 2.7. *The image of a pl map $f : P \to Q$ is a subpolyhedron of Q.*

2.2 Abstract pl spaces and abstract pl maps

In this subsection we introduce the notions of abstract pl space and abstract pl maps. We state several of their basic properties but without giving many of the proofs, which can be found in for example [Hu1]. We remark that abstract pl spaces are sometimes called *locally finite complexes* elsewhere in the literature, see for example [Wi]. We also remark that this subsection follows closely the presentation given in §3 of [Hu1].

Definition 2.8. Let X be a topological space.

i) A *coordinate map* is a tuple (f, P) with P an Euclidean polyhedron and $f : P \to X$ an embedding, i.e., a homeomorphism onto its image.

ii) Two coordinate maps (f, P) and (g, Q) are said to be *compatible* if either $f(P) \cap g(Q) = \emptyset$ or, if $f(P) \cap g(Q) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a coordinate map (h, R) such that $h(R) = f(P) \cap g(Q)$ and $f^{-1} \circ h$, $g^{-1} \circ h$ are both piecewise linear in the sense of Definition 2.5.

Definition 2.9. A *piecewise linear structure* $\mathcal{T}$ on a space X is a family of coordinate maps (f, P) such that

i) Any two elements of $\mathcal{T}$ are compatible.

ii) For every $x \in X$ there exists (f, P) such that $f(P)$ is a neighborhood of x in X.

iii) $\mathcal{T}$ is maximal, i.e., if (f, P) is compatible with every element of $\mathcal{T}$, then $(f, P) \in \mathcal{T}$.

Definition 2.10. An *abstract pl space* is a tuple $(X, \mathcal{T})$ with X a second countable Hausdorff space and $\mathcal{T}$ a piecewise linear structure on X. Furthermore, $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is said to be of *dimension* p if there exists $(f_0, P_0) \in \mathcal{T}$ with P_0 of dimension p and if for any other element $(f, P) \in \mathcal{T}$ the Euclidean polyhedron P is of dimension at most p.

A pl space is said to be *finite dimensional* if it is of dimension p for some non-negative integer p.

Definition 2.11. A family of coordinate maps $\mathcal{B}$ on X satisfying conditions i) and ii) of Definition 2.9 is called a *base for a pl structure on* X.

Sometimes it is easier to define a pl structure on a space X by first defining a base for that pl structure. However, the next proposition, which is proven in [Hu1], tells us that both kind of structures carry the same amount of information.

Proposition 2.12. *Every base $\mathcal{B}$ for a piecewise linear structure on a space X is contained in a unique piecewise linear structure $\mathcal{T}$.*

The definition of pl map between Euclidean polyhedra given in 2.5 can be used to formulate a definition of pl map between abstract pl spaces very much in the same way that smooth coordinate charts are used to formulate the notion of smooth map between abstract smooth manifolds. More precisely, we have the following definition.

Definition 2.13. Let $(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{G})$ be abstract pl spaces. A continuous map $h : X \to Y$ is said to be a *piecewise linear map* if for all $(f, P) \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $(g, Q) \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $f^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ g(Q)$ is either empty or $R := f^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ g(Q)$ is a subpolyhedron of P and, if the latter case holds,

$$g^{-1} \circ h \circ f : R \to Q$$

is a piecewise linear map in the sense of Definition 2.5.

The following proposition tells us that abstract pl spaces and pl maps are respectively the objects and morphisms of a category.

Proposition 2.14. *i) For any pl space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ the identity map $\mathrm{Id}_X : X \to X$ is a piecewise linear map.*

ii) The composition $g \circ f$ of two pl maps $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ is also a piecewise linear map.

Definition 2.15. The *piecewise linear category* **PL** is the category of abstract (finite dimensional) pl spaces and abstract pl maps.

2.3 Products of pl spaces

Let X and Y be abstract pl spaces. Proposition 2.12 can be used to define a pl structure on the product $X \times Y$ such that, with this pl structure, the universal property of $X \times Y$ in the category of topological spaces also holds in the category **PL** (see Proposition 2.18 below.)

Proposition 2.16. *Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{S})$ be abstract pl spaces. The collection of coordinate charts on $X \times Y$ given by*

$$\mathcal{B} := \{(f \times g, P \times Q) : \ (f, P) \in \mathcal{T}, \ (g, Q) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is a base for a pl structure on $X \times Y$.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies condition *ii)* of 2.11. In order to verify condition *i)*, one just needs to use the fact that the product $f_1 \times f_2$ of two pl maps $f_i : P_i \to Q_i$, $i = 1, 2$, between Euclidean polyhedra is also a pl map in the sense of Definition 2.5. □

Definition 2.17. Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and $(Y, \mathcal{S})$ be abstract pl spaces. The *product of X and Y* is the abstract pl space $(X \times Y, \mathcal{T} \times S)$ where $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{S}$ is the pl structure induced by the base defined in Proposition 2.16.

The proof of the following proposition can be found in [Wi] (Lemma 1.2.1, page 4).

Proposition 2.18. *Let X, Y_1, Y_2 be abstract polyhedra and let $P_i : Y_1 \times Y_2 \to Y_i$ be the projection onto the i-th factor. Then, a map $f : X \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ is piecewise linear if and only if $P_1 f$ and $P_2 f$ are piecewise linear.*

2.4 Piecewise linear subspaces

Definition 2.19. Let $(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a piecewise linear space. If $(Y, \mathcal{F}')$ is another piecewise linear space with $Y \subseteq X$, then $(Y, \mathcal{F}')$ is called a *piecewise linear subspace* of $(X, \mathcal{F})$ provided

1. Y has the subspace topology induced by X, and
2. $i : Y \to X$, $i(x) = x$, is a piecewise linear map.

If $(Y, \mathcal{F}')$ is a piecewise linear subspace of $(X, \mathcal{F})$, then we shall usually denote the piecewise linear structure $\mathcal{F}'$ on Y by $\mathcal{F}_Y$.

Remark 2.20. If $(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$ is a piecewise linear subspace of $(X, \mathcal{F})$, then it is easy to verify that

$$\mathcal{F}_Y = \{(f, P) \in \mathcal{F} : f(P) \subseteq Y\}.$$

Definition 2.21. A piecewise linear map $f : (X', \mathcal{F}') \to (X, \mathcal{F})$ is called a *piecewise linear embedding* provided that f is a topological embedding and that $\big(f(X'), \mathcal{G}\big)$ is a piecewise linear subspace of $(X, \mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{G} = \{f \circ g : g \in \mathcal{F}'\}$.

The definition of pl embedding allows us to formulate the definition of *manifold* in the **PL** category.

Definition 2.22. *i)* A pl space $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a *piecewise linear manifold of dimension m* provided that for each point m_0 of M there is a piecewise linear embedding $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to M$ such that $h(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is an open neighborhood of m_0 in M.

ii) Let $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ denote the set of all points $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $x_m \geq 0$. A pl space $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is a *piecewise linear manifold of dimension m with boundary* if for each point m_0 of M there is a piecewise linear embedding $h : V \to M$ defined on an open subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ such that $h(V)$ is an open neighborhood of m_0 in M.

iii) Let $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be an m-dimensional piecewise linear manifold (with boundary) and let $0 \leq n \leq m$. A pl subspace $(N, \mathcal{F}')$ of $(M, \mathcal{F})$ is *an n-dimensional piecewise linear submanifold (with boundary) of M* if $(N, \mathcal{F}')$ is in itself an n-dimensional piecewise linear manifold (with boundary).

Proposition 2.23 below describes conditions under which the union of pl subspaces is again a pl subspace.

Proposition 2.23. *Let $\{P_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a family of pl subspaces of a pl space $(X,\mathcal{F})$. Let $P := \bigcup_{j\in J} P_j$ and suppose that each P_j is an open subspace of P. Then, $\bigcup_{j\in J}\mathcal{F}_{P_j}$ is a base for a piecewise linear structure $\mathcal{F}'$ on P. Furthermore, the inclusion $i : (P,\mathcal{F}') \hookrightarrow (X,\mathcal{F})$ is a piecewise linear map in the sense of Definition 2.13. In particular, $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_P$.*

Proof. The union $\bigcup_{j\in J}\mathcal{F}_{P_j}$ clearly satisfies condition i) of Definition 2.11 since all the charts in this union are just charts that belong to $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, since an open subspace of any P_j is also an open subspace of P, we have that $\bigcup_{j\in J}\mathcal{F}_{P_j}$ also satisfies condition ii) of Definition 2.11. Let then $\mathcal{F}'$ be the piecewise linear structure on P generated by this base and fix a chart β in $\mathcal{F}'$. Any chart σ in $\mathcal{F}$ is compatible with the chart β. Indeed, the image of β can be covered with the images of finitely many charts in $\bigcup_{j\in J}\mathcal{F}_{P_j}$, which are all compatible with σ, and by standard techniques of pl topology (see page 80 in [Hu1]) it follows that σ and β are also compatible. This shows that the inclusion $i : (P,\mathcal{F}') \hookrightarrow (X,\mathcal{F})$ is a piecewise linear map. In particular, we have that $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_P$.

□

2.5 Regular values for pl maps

For the definition of the piecewise linear cobordism category we need to introduce the piecewise linear analogue of the notion of regular value for smooth maps. The following definition can be found in [Wi].

Definition 2.24. Let $f : P \to Q$ be a piecewise linear map. A point $q \in Q$ is said to be a *regular value of f* if there is an open neighborhood U of q in Q and a pl homeomorphism $h : f^{-1}(q)\times U \to f^{-1}(U)$ such that $fh = p$, where p denotes the natural projection $f^{-1}(q)\times U \to U$ onto U.

One of the main results proven in [Wi] is the following theorem, which plays a similar role in pl topology to the one played by Sard's Theorem in the smooth category (see Theorem 1.3.1 in [Wi]).

Theorem 2.25. *Let P be a polyhedron and let $f : P \to \Delta^p$ be a pl map. Suppose that there is a triangulation $h : |K| \to P$ of P such that the composition $f\circ h$ is a simplicial map. Then, any point λ in $\mathrm{Int}\Delta^p$ is a regular value of f.*

The following result is a special case of a more general theorem about open neighborhoods in pl manifolds which have the structure of a *pl microbundle* (see section §4.2 of [Wi] for the statement of this more general result).

Proposition 2.26. *If $M\times\mathbb{R}^p$ is a piecewise linear manifold of dimension $m+p$, then M is a piecewise linear manifold of dimension m.*

Remark 2.27. Proposition 2.26 is a special case of the lemma stated in Section §4.2 of [Wi] in the sense that we are only considering the case when the neighborhood is a product of the form $M\times\mathbb{R}^p$. The way we are going to apply this proposition is as follows: suppose we have a pl map $f : M^m \to \Delta^p$ with M an m-dimensional pl manifold and suppose that $h : |K| \to M$ is a triangulation of M such that the composition $f\circ h$ is simplicial. Then, by Theorem 2.25, for any point λ in $\mathrm{int}\Delta^p$ we have that $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ has a neighborhood which is pl homeomorphic to the product $f^{-1}(\lambda)\times\mathbb{R}^p$, and by Proposition 2.26 we will have that $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is then a pl submanifold of M of dimension $m-p$.

2.6 Δ-sets and simplicial complexes

For several of the arguments that appear in this article, it will be more convenient to use Δ-sets (or semi-simplicial sets, as they're sometimes referred to in the literature) instead of simplicial sets.

In this section we shall only limit ourselves to state the definitions and results of the theory of Δ-sets that we will use in this article. A more detailed treatment of this material can be found in [RS2].

For each non-negative integer p, let $[p]$ denote the set $\{0, \ldots, p\}$ with its obvious linear order, and let Δ be the category whose set of objects is equal to $\{[p] : p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ and where $\Delta\big([q],[p]\big)$ is the set of all non-decreasing functions $\eta : [q] \to [p]$. For the definition of Δ-set, we need to work with the subcategory Δ_{inj} of Δ defined by

$$\mathrm{Ob}\Delta_{inj} = \mathrm{Ob}\Delta \qquad \Delta_{inj}\big([q],[p]\big) = \big\{[q] \stackrel{\eta}{\to} [p] \mid \eta \text{ injective}\big\}.$$

In particular, $\Delta_{inj}\big([q],[p]\big) = \emptyset$ if $q > p$.

Definition 2.28. A Δ-*set* X is a contravariant functor $X : \Delta_{inj}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$. For any object $[p]$ in Δ_{inj}, the set $X\big([p]\big)$ shall usually be denoted by X_p. Also, for any morphism δ in Δ_{inj} the function of sets $X(\delta)$ shall usually be denoted by δ^* and the functor X itself will be denoted by $X_\bullet$.

For a morphism $\alpha : [p] \to [q]$ in Δ_{inj}, let $\alpha_* : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q$ be the simplicial map which sends e_j to $e_{\alpha(j)}$. Given a Δ-set $X_\bullet$, we define its *geometric realization* to be the quotient space

$$|X| := \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n \times \Delta^n / \sim$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the relations $\big(x, \alpha_*(\lambda)\big) \sim \big(\alpha^*(x), \lambda\big)$.

Observe that there is an obvious forgetful functor

$$F : \mathbf{Ssets} \to \Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets} \tag{10}$$

obtained by sending each simplicial set $X : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ to the composite functor $\Delta_{inj}^{op} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{op} \stackrel{X}{\to} \mathbf{Sets}$ and by restricting each morphism $X_\bullet \stackrel{f}{\to} Y_\bullet$ of simplicial sets to the Δ-set $FX_\bullet$. The geometric realization $|FX_\bullet|$ carries just as much homotopical information as $|X_\bullet|$ since, as it is proven in [RS2], the natural map between geometric realizations

$$|FX_\bullet| \to |X_\bullet| \tag{11}$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

In the literature, Δ-sets are sometimes described as a generalization of simplicial complexes. What it is really meant by this is that from any simplicial complex K we can produce in a natural way, after ordering the vertices of K, a Δ-set $K_\bullet$ for which there is a canonical homeomorphism $|K_\bullet| \stackrel{\cong}{\to} |K|$. This construction shall be described in Remark 2.31. For the definition of $K_\bullet$ we shall need the following definition.

Definition 2.29. Let A be a set. An *ordered simplicial complex with vertices in* A is a tuple $(K, \leq)$ where K is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ (the power set of A) and $\leq$ is a relation on A which satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\emptyset \notin K$ and for every $a \in A$ the singleton $\{a\}$ is in K.
2. Every element σ in K is finite.
3. If $\sigma \in K$, then any non-empty subset of σ is also in K.
4. For any $\sigma \in K$, the restriction of $\leq$ on σ is a linear order. The restriction of $\leq$ on σ will be denoted by $\leq_\sigma$.

Definition 2.30. A tuple $(K, \leq)$ is called an *ordered Euclidean simplicial complex* if K is a simplicial complex in some Euclidean space and if $\leq$ is a relation on $\mathrm{Vert}(K)$ (the set of vertices of K) which satisfies condition 4) of Definition 2.29.

Remark 2.31. From an ordered simplicial complex K we can obtain a Δ-set $K_\bullet$ by assigning to $[p]$ the subset of K consisting of all elements of cardinality $p+1$ and by assigning to a morphism $[n] \xrightarrow{s} [m]$ the function $s^* : K_m \to K_n$ which sends an element $a_0 \leq \ldots \leq a_m$ of cardinality $m+1$ to the element $a_{s(0)} \leq \ldots \leq a_{s(n)}$. For example, if Δ^p is the standard geometric p-simplex we can use its canonical simplicial complex structure and the canonical ordering $\leq$ on the set of vertices $\{e_0, \ldots, e_p\}$ to produce a Δ-set $\Delta^p_\bullet$ whose set of k-simplices consists of all strings

$$e_{i_0} \leq \ldots \leq e_{i_k}$$

of length $k+1$.

Finally, it is easy to verify that there is a canonical homeomorphism $|K_\bullet| \to |K|$ obtained by applying the universal property of quotient spaces to the map

$$\coprod_{j=0}^{p} K_j \times \Delta^j \to |K|$$

which maps a tuple $\left(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j, \sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \cdot e_i\right)$ to $\sum_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i \cdot v_i$.

3 Spaces of PL manifolds

3.1 Piecewise linear submersions

In this section we introduce the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ which should be interpreted as the piecewise linear analogue of the space of smooth manifolds defined by Galatius in [Ga]. The key concept from piecewise linear topology which we are going to use to define $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is that of a *piecewise linear submersion*, a concept which is usually not discussed in most introductory texts on piecewise linear topology.

Definition 3.1. *i)* A piecewise linear map $\pi : E \to P$ is a *piecewise linear submersion* if for each $x \in E$ there is a piecewise linear space U, an open neighborhood V of $\pi(x)$ in P, and an open piecewise linear embedding $h : V \times U \to E$ onto an open neighborhood of x in E such that $\pi \circ f$ is equal to the projection $\mathrm{pr}_1 : V \times U \to V$.

ii) $\pi : E \to P$ is said to be a *piecewise linear submersion of codimension* $d \in \mathbb{N}$ if in the previous definition we can take U to be equal to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $h(\pi(x), 0) = x$, where 0 is the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, each fiber of π is a d-dimensional piecewise linear manifold.

If $\pi : E \to P$ is a piecewise linear submersion and if x is in E, then any embedding $h : V \times U \to E$ onto an open neighborhood of x such that $\mathrm{pr}_1 = \pi \circ h$ will be called a *submersion chart around* x. Furthermore, we say that h is a *normalized product chart around* x if in addition we have that U is an open neighborhood of x in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ and $h(\pi(x), y) = y$ for all $y \in U$.

The proof of the following useful result about piecewise linear submersions can be found in [Bu].

Proposition 3.2. *Let P be a pl manifold, $\pi : W \to P$ a piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in P$, and C_1 and C_2 compact subspaces of $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$. Let U_1, U_2 be open neighborhoods of C_1 and C_2 in $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$, let V be an open neighborhood of λ in P, and let $f_1 : V \times U_1 \to W$ and $f_2 : V \times U_2 \to W$ be normalized product charts. Then, after possibly shrinking the neighborhood V of λ, there exists a normalized product chart $f : V \times U \to W$ with U an open neighborhood of $C_1 \cup C_2$ in $\pi^{-1}(\lambda)$. Furthermore, we can choose f so that $f = f_1$ on $V \times C_1$ and $f = f_2$ on $V \times (C_2 - U_1)$.*

The following result is the key tool we are going to use to define the structure maps of the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : P \to Q$ be a piecewise linear map. If $W \subseteq Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the projection $\pi : W \to Q$ defined by $\pi(q, x) = q$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d, then*

$$f^*W = \{(p, x) \in P \times \mathbb{R}^N : (f(p), x) \in W\}$$

is a closed subpolyhedron of $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and the projection $\tilde{\pi} : f^*W \to P$, $\tilde{\pi}(p, x) = p$, is also a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d.

Proof. Observe first that the product $P \times W$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $P \times Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and that the product $\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Also, since the map f is piecewise linear we have that the graph $\Gamma(f)$ of f is a subpolyhedron of $P \times Q$. The pre-image $\left(\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi\right)^{-1}(\Gamma(f))$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $\Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ since it is equal to the intersection $(P \times W) \cap (\Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, and the restriction of $\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi$ on $\left(\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi\right)^{-1}(\Gamma(f))$, which we shall denote by π', is also a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Indeed, for any x in $\left(\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi\right)^{-1}(\Gamma(f))$ and any submersion chart $h : V \times \mathbb{R}^d \to P \times W$ for $\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi$ around x we have that the restriction of h on $(\Gamma(f) \cap V) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a submersion chart for π' around x. Let $g : \Gamma(f) \to P$ be the piecewise linear map which sends $(p, f(p))$ to p and let $G : \Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ be equal to the product $g \times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^N}$. Since the map G is a piecewise linear homeomorphism, the image of $\left(\mathrm{Id}_P \times \pi\right)^{-1}(\Gamma(f))$ under G is a closed subpolyhedron of $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$. It is easy to verify that this image is equal to the subspace f^*W given in the statement of this proposition and that the map $\tilde{\pi} : f^*W \to P$ given by $\tilde{\pi}(p, x) = p$ is equal to the composition $g \circ \pi' \circ \left(G^{-1}|_{f^*W}\right)$. Finally, since both g and $G^{-1}|_{f^*W}$ are piecewise linear homeomorphisms, we have that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. □

Definition 3.4. The closed subpolyhedron f^*W of $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ obtained in the previous proposition shall be called *the pull back of W along f*.

The following result tells us that this pull back construction respects compositions of piecewise linear maps.

Proposition 3.5. *Let M, N and P be polyhedra and let W be a closed subpolyhedron of $M \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the natural projection $\pi_M : W \to M$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. If $f : N \to M$ and $g : P \to N$ are piecewise linear maps, then $(f \circ g)^* W = g^* f^* W$.*

Proof. This lemma follows from the following equalities

$$(f \circ g)^* W = \{(p, x) \in P \times \mathbb{R}^N : (f \circ g(p), x) \in W\} =$$

$$\{(p, x) \in P \times \mathbb{R}^N : (g(p), x) \in f^* W\} = g^* f^* W.$$

□

The argument used to prove 3.3 can be used as a template to prove the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *Let P, M be polyhedra and let $h : P \times M \to P \times M$ be a pl homeomorphism which commutes with the projection onto P. If $f : Q \to P$ is any pl map, then the map $g : Q \times M \to Q \times M$ defined by $g(q, m) = \big(q, h_{f(q)}(m)\big)$ is a pl homeomorphism which commutes with the projection onto Q.*

Proof. As we said before, the method of this proof is somewhat similar to the one given in Proposition 3.3. Consider first the product of maps $\mathrm{Id}_Q \times h : Q \times P \times M \to Q \times P \times M$. The graph $\Gamma(f)$ of the pl map f is a subpolyhedron of the product $Q \times P$ and hence we can restrict the map $\mathrm{Id}_Q \times h$ on the subpolyhedron $\Gamma(f) \times M$. Let us denote this restriction by g'. Observe also that the map $r : Q \to \Gamma(f)$ which sends q to the tuple $(q, f(q))$ is a piecewise linear homeomorphism and that the map $g : Q \times M \to Q \times M$ given in the statement of this proposition is equal to the following composite

$$(r \times \mathrm{Id}_M)^{-1} \circ g' \circ (r \times \mathrm{Id}_M)$$

which clearly is a piecewise linear homeomorphism which commutes with the projection onto Q. □

An argument similar to the one given in the previous proof can be used to prove the following.

Lemma 3.7. *Let P, M, N be polyhedra and let $h : P \times N \to P \times M$ be a pl embedding which commutes with the projection onto P. If $f : Q \to P$ is any pl map, then the map $g : Q \times N \to Q \times M$ defined by $g(q, n) = \big(q, h_{f(q)}(n)\big)$ is a pl embedding which commutes with the projection onto Q.*

We can also adapt the method of the proof of Proposition 3.3 to prove the following result about *open pl embeddings*.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $F : P \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open piecewise linear embedding which commutes with the projection onto P and let $g : Q \to P$ be a piecewise linear map. Then, the map $G : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which sends (q, x) to $(q, F_{f(q)}(x))$ is an open piecewise linear embedding which commutes with the projection onto Q.*

Proof. Consider first the piecewise linear map $\mathrm{Id}_Q \times F : Q \times P \times \mathbb{R}^N \to Q \times P \times \mathbb{R}^N$. This map is an open pl embedding which commutes with the projection onto $Q \times P$ and by pre-composing this map with the obvious inclusion $\Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N \hookrightarrow Q \times P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ we obtain an open piecewise linear embedding $H : \Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which commutes with the projection onto $\Gamma(f)$. Since the map $G : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ given in the statement of this proposition is equal to the composite

$$h^{-1} \circ H \circ h : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \to Q \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $h : Q \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N$ the obvious pl homeomorphism from $Q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ to $\Gamma(f) \times \mathbb{R}^N$, we have that G is an open pl embedding over Q.

□

3.2 The space of manifolds $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$

We are now ready to introduce the piecewise linear version of the space of smooth manifolds discussed in [Ga] and [GRW1].

Definition 3.9. For each positive integer p, define $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$ to be the set of all closed subpolyhedra W of the product $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for which the natural projection $\pi : W \to \Delta^p$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. We define $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ to be the simplicial set which sends the object $[p]$ of Δ to the set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$ and which sends a morphism $\eta : [p] \to [q]$ to the function

$$\eta^* : \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_q \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$$

which maps an element $W \subseteq \Delta^q \times \mathbb{R}^N$ of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_q$ to its pull back $(\eta_*)^* W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N$ along the simplicial map $\eta_* : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q$ induced by the morphism $\eta : [p] \to [q]$ (see Proposition 3.3).

From now on, the image $(\eta_*)^* W$ of a p-simplex W under a structure map η^* shall be denoted simply by $\eta^* W$. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $(\delta\eta)^* = \eta^* \delta^*$ for any pair of composable morphisms η and δ in the category Δ. Therefore, the above definition indeed gives us a contravariant functor $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$.

Remark 3.10. (The base point of $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$) We point out to the reader that in Definition 3.9 we also include *the empty manifold* $\emptyset_p \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N$ in the set of p-simplices of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. For each morphism $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ in the category Δ, the corresponding structure map η^* sends $\emptyset_p$ to $\emptyset_q$. It follows that the collection of *empty* simplices $\emptyset_p$ forms a subsimplicial set of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, which we will denote by $\emptyset_\bullet$. Unless it is otherwise stated, the geometric realization of $\emptyset_\bullet$ will be the preferred base point for the space $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$. Also, from now on we shall usually denote the empty p-simplex $\emptyset_p$ simply by $\emptyset$ unless there is any possibility for confusion.

Observe that for any element W of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$ the fiber over a point λ in Δ^p of the natural projection $\pi : W \to \Delta^p$ is a d-dimensional piecewise linear submanifold of $\{\lambda\} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which is closed as a subspace. Thus, a p-simplex W of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ can be viewed as a family of d-dimensional piecewise linear submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^N$, which are closed as subspaces, parametrized *piecewise linearly* by the base space Δ^p.

For the proof of the main theorem of this article, we shall also need to introduce the following subsimplicial sets of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$.

Definition 3.11. $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$, $0 \le k \le N$, is the subsimplicial set of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ given by

$$\psi_d(N,k)_p = \{W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p : \quad W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}\}.$$

In particular, we have that $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet = \psi_d(N,N)_\bullet$.

Note. For a p-simplex W of $\psi_d(N,0)_\bullet$, the compactness of the polyhedron W implies that the projection $\pi : W \to \Delta^p$ is a locally trivial PL-bundle (see Definition III.1.2 in [Bu]) whose fibers are piecewise linear manifolds of dimension d. Moreover, by the contractability of Δ^p we have that $\pi : W \to \Delta^p$ is a trivial bundle.

In the definition of the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ we applied Proposition 3.3 to very specific kinds of polyhedra and pl maps, namely, the standard geometric simplices Δ^p and simplicial maps $\Delta^q \to \Delta^p$ which preserve the canonical ordering on the set of vertices. However, this proposition applies to *all polyhedra* and *all pl maps*, and we can therefore apply 3.3 to define the following more general kind of functor on the category **PL**.

Definition 3.12. For a polyhedron P and an integer $0 \le k \le N$, let $\psi_d(N,k)\big(P\big)$ be the set of all closed subpolyhedra W of $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which are contained in $P \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$ and for which the natural projection $\pi : W \to P$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Define

$$\psi_d(N,k) : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sets} \tag{12}$$

to be the contravariant functor which sends a polyhedron P to the set $\psi_d(N,k)\big(P\big)$, and which sends a pl map $f : P \to Q$ to the *pull back along* f

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big(f\big) : \psi_d(N,k)\big(Q\big) \xrightarrow{f^*} \psi_d(N,k)\big(P\big),$$

i.e., the function which maps W to f^*W.

Applying again Proposition 3.5, we have that (12) is indeed a contravariant functor. Also, observe that the set of p-simplices $\psi_d(N,k)_p$ of the simplicial set defined in 3.11 is equal to

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big(\Delta^p\big).$$

Furthermore, the structure map $\eta^* : \psi_d(N,k)_q \to \psi_d(N,k)_p$ induced by the morphism $\eta : [p] \to [q]$ is equal to

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big(\eta_* : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q\big).$$

Therefore, $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ can be completely recovered from the functor (12).

Notation. For an element $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(P)$ and a point $\lambda \in P$, we shall typically denote the fiber of the projection $\pi : W \to P$ over λ by W_λ.

In many of the arguments that follow we shall also make use of the following terminology.

Definition 3.13. Let P be a polyhedron. Two elements W_0 and W_1 of $\psi_d(N,k)\big(P\big)$ are said to be *concordant* if there is an element $\widetilde{W}$ of $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1] \times P\big)$ such that $i_0^*\widetilde{W} = W_0$ and $i_1^*\widetilde{W} = W_1$, where for $j = 0,1$ we have that $i_j : P \hookrightarrow [0,1] \times P$ is the inclusion defined by $\lambda \mapsto (j,\lambda)$. An element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1] \times P\big)$ such that $i_j^*\widetilde{W} = W_j$ for $j = 0,1$ is said to be a *concordance between* W_0 *and* W_1.

3.3 Properties of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$

Proposition 3.14 below tells us that the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is a kind of *moduli space of piecewise linear manifolds* in the sense that, for any polyhedron P, $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ classifies all the elements of the set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(P\big)$.

Let $(K,\leq)$ be an ordered simplicial complex in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$. Recall that a p-simplex of the simplicial set $K_\bullet$ induced by $(K,\leq)$ is an order preserving function $[p]\to \mathrm{Vert}(K)$ such that the vertices in its image span a simplex of K. Equivalently, a p-simplex of $K_\bullet$ is a non-decreasing sequence $v_0\leq\ldots\leq v_p$ of vertices, possibly with repetitions, which span a simplex. For the statement of Proposition 3.14 we shall make use of the following convention: if $v_0,\ldots,v_p$ is a collection of $p+1$ points in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and if $e_0,\ldots,e_p$ are the elements of the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, then we denote by $s_{v_0\ldots v_p}:\Delta^p\to\mathbb{R}^m$ the linear map defined by $e_j\mapsto v_j$.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $(K,\leq)$ be an ordered simplicial complex in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $K_\bullet$ be the simplicial set induced by $(K,\leq)$. Then, the function of sets*

$$\mathcal{S}_K:\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)\to \mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet,\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$$

which sends an element $W\subseteq |K|\times\mathbb{R}^N$ of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(|K|)$ to the simplicial set map $f_W:K_\bullet\to\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ defined by $f_W(v_0\leq\ldots\leq v_p)=s^*_{v_0\ldots v_p}W$ is a bijection.

That $\mathcal{S}_K(W)=f_W$ is a morphism of simplicial sets follows from the fact that for any q-simplex $v_0\leq\ldots\leq v_q$ of $K_\bullet$ and any morphism $\eta:[p]\to[q]$ in the category Δ we have that

$$s_{v_{\eta(0)}\ldots v_{\eta(p)}}=s_{v_0\ldots v_q}\eta_* \tag{13}$$

where $\eta_*:\Delta^p\to\Delta^q$ is the simplicial map induced by $\eta:[p]\to[q]$. By pulling back W along both sides of (13) we obtain

$$s^*_{v_{\eta(0)}\ldots v_{\eta(p)}}W=\big(\eta_*\big)^*s^*_{v_0\ldots v_q}W,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$f_W\big(\eta^*(v_0\leq\ldots\leq v_q)\big)=\eta^*f_W(v_0\leq\ldots\leq v_q).$$

Thus, we have that f_W is indeed a morphism of simplicial sets.

Definition 3.15. Let K be an ordered simplicial complex in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $\mathcal{S}_K$ be the function defined in Proposition 3.14. Then, for any element W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$, we say that the morphism of simplicial sets $h_\bullet:=\mathcal{S}_K(W)$ *classifies the element W*.

We will prove Proposition 3.14 by producing an inverse $\mathcal{T}_K$ for the function $\mathcal{S}_K$. To this end, we will show that a simplicial set map $g:K_\bullet\to\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ carries the instructions to *build* an element of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$. This will be done in the following series of lemmas.

Note 3.16. For the proof of 3.14, we shall make use of the following notation: if $W\subseteq P\times\mathbb{R}^N$ is an element of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(P\big)$ and if S is a subpolyhedron of P, we denote by W_S the pre-image $\pi^{-1}(S)$, where π is the projection from W onto P. Also, we denote by π_S the restriction of the map π on W_S. In particular, $W_S\in\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(S\big)$.

Lemma 3.17. *Let*

$$H_+ := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_p) : \quad x_p \geq 0\}$$

be the closed half space in $\mathbb{R}^p$*, let* W *be an element in* $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(H_+\big)$*, let* λ_0 *and* y_0 *be points in* ∂H_+ *and* $\pi^{-1}(\lambda_0)$ *respectively, and let* $f : V \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W$ *and* $g : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\partial H_+}$ *be submersion charts around* y_0 *for* π *and* $\pi_{\partial H_+}$ *respectively such that* $\mathrm{Im}g \subseteq \mathrm{Im}f$ *and such that* $U \times [0, \delta) \subseteq V$ *for a suitable value* $0 < \delta$*. Then, there exists a submersion chart* $g' : U \times [0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W$ *around* y_0 *for* π *such that* $\mathrm{Im}g' \subseteq \mathrm{Im}f$ *and* $g'_{|U \times \mathbb{R}^d} = g$*.*

Proof. Let $h : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to U \times \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the composite

$$U \times \mathbb{R}^d \xrightarrow{\ g\ } \mathrm{Im}g \xrightarrow{\ f^{-1}\ } U \times \mathbb{R}^d \ ,$$

where the second map is just the restriction of f^{-1} on $\mathrm{Im}g$. Since both maps in this composition are piecewise linear embeddings, then h is also a piecewise linear embedding. Furthermore, we also have that the image of h is open in $U \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Indeed, $\mathrm{Im}g$ is an open subset of $\mathrm{Im}f \cap W_{\partial H_+}$, and since the restriction of f^{-1} on $\mathrm{Im}f \cap W_{\partial H_+}$ is a pl homeomorphism between $\mathrm{Im}f \cap W_{\partial H_+}$ and $(V \cap \partial H_+) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that $\mathrm{Im}h = f^{-1}(\mathrm{Im}g)$ is open in $(V \cap \partial H_+) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. However, since the image of h lies entirely in $U \times \mathbb{R}^d$, we also have that $\mathrm{Im}h$ is open in $U \times \mathbb{R}^d$. This observation will be useful when we prove that the image of the chart g' which extends g is open.

Let $\widetilde{h} : U \times [0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to U \times [0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ be the piecewise linear embedding which maps (u, t, x) to $\big(u, t, h_2(u, x)\big)$, where h_2 is the second component of the map $h : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to U \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $g' : U \times [0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W$ be the following composite of piecewise linear embeddings

$$g' = f \circ \widetilde{h}.$$

It is easy to verify that $g'(\lambda_0, 0, 0) = y_0$ and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times [0, \delta) \times \mathbb{R}^d & \xrightarrow{\ g'\ } & W \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle pr_1} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ U \times [0, \delta) & \hookrightarrow & H_+ \end{array}$$

commutes. In this diagram, the bottom map is just the obvious inclusion $U \times [0, \delta) \hookrightarrow H_+$. Furthermore, since the image of the map h is open, it follows that the image of $\widetilde{h}$ is also open, which then implies that the image $\mathrm{Im}g'$ is open in W. Thus, we have that g' is a submersion chart for π around y_0.

Finally, we also have that g' extends g since for any point $(u, 0, x)$ in $U \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g'(u, 0, x) &= f \circ \widetilde{h}(u, 0, x) \\ &= f(u, 0, h_2(u, x)) \\ &= f(h(u, x)) \\ &= g(u, x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.18. *Let K be a finite simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^m$, let W be a closed subpolyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$, and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the poset of simplices of K. If $F : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{Top}$ is the functor which sends σ to $W_\sigma := W \cap \big(\sigma \times \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ and which sends the unique morphism $\beta \to \sigma$ to the inclusion $W_\beta \hookrightarrow W_\sigma$ whenever $\beta \leq \sigma$, then the map $f : \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{K}} F \to W$ obtained by the universal property of colimits is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. By the definition of colimit there is for each $\sigma \in K$ a unique map $h_\sigma : W_\sigma \to \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{K}} F$ such that the inclusion $W_\sigma \hookrightarrow W$ is equal to the composite $f \circ h_\sigma$. The result now follows from the fact that the map $g : W \to \mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{K}} F$ defined by $g(x) = h_\sigma(x)$ if $x \in W_\sigma$ is a continuous inverse for f. □

For the statement of Lemma 3.19, we are going to need the following basic construction involving simplicial complexes: let a_0 be a point in an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$. A p-simplex β in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be in *general position with respect to* a_0 if the set of points

$$\mathrm{Vert}(\beta) \cup \{a_0\}$$

spans a $(p+1)$-simplex. In this case, the $(p+1)$-simplex spanned by $\mathrm{Vert}(\beta) \cup \{a_0\}$ is called the *join of β and a_0*, usually denoted by $a_0 * \beta$. More generally, a simplicial complex L in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be in *general position with the respect to* a_0 if each simplex β of L is in general position with respect to a_0 and, in this case, we define *the join of L and a_0* to be the simplicial complex $a_0 * L$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ which consists of the following set of simplices

$$L \cup \{a_0\} \cup \big\{a_0 * \beta : \beta \in L\big\}.$$

Lemma 3.19. *Let K be a finite simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of the form $a_0 * L$, let W be a closed subpolyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$, and let $\pi : W \to |K|$ be the projection from W onto $|K|$. Suppose that for each simplex σ of K the projection $\pi_\sigma : W_\sigma \to \sigma$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Then, the projection $\pi : W \to |K|$ is a pl submersion of codimension d on a neighborhood of $\pi^{-1}(a_0)$.*

Proof. Let q be the dimension of the simplicial complex K and let us fix a point y_0 in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(a_0)$. For each skeleton K^i of K, let $\pi_{|K^i|} : W_{|K^i|} \to |K^i|$ be the restriction of π on $W_{|K^i|}$. The idea of the proof is to define, by induction on the dimension of the skeleta K^i, open pl embeddings $h_i : U_i \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{|K^i|}$ such that $h_i\big((a_0, 0)\big) = y_0$ and such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_i \times \mathbb{R}^d & \xrightarrow{h_i} & W_{|K^i|} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle pr_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{|K^i|}} \\ U_i & \hookrightarrow & |K^i|. \end{array}$$

Let then $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p$ be the 1-simplices of K which contain the vertex a_0 and, for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, let $f_i : \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\sigma_i}$ be a submersion chart around $y_0 \in \pi_{\sigma_i}^{-1}(a_0)$ for π_{σ_i}. We can assume that each $\tilde{U}_i$ doesn't intersect the link $\mathrm{lk}(a_0, K^1)$. Observe that $(\bigcap_{i=1}^p \mathrm{Im} f_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(a_0)$ is an open neighborhood of y_0 in $\pi^{-1}(a_0) = W_{a_0}$. Hence, since W_{a_0} is a d-dimensional piecewise linear manifold, we can find a piecewise linear embedding $g_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{a_0}$ with open image such that $g_0(0) = y_0$ and $\mathrm{Im} g_0 \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^p \mathrm{Im} f_i$. By Lemma 3.17, there is for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$ a submersion chart

$$g_i' : V_i' \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\sigma_i}$$

for π_{σ_i} around y_0 such that $\mathrm{Im}g_i' \subseteq \mathrm{Im}f_i$ and such that g_i' extends g. Let V_i be a compact neighborhood of a_0 in σ_i contained in $\mathrm{int}V_i'$ and consider the restriction of g_i' on $V_i \times [-1,1]^d$. By abuse of notation, we will denote this restriction simply by g_i'. We now define a piecewise linear map

$$g_1 : \Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} V_i\Big) \times [-1,1]^d \to W_{|K^1|}$$

by setting $g_1(\lambda, x) = g_i'(\lambda, x)$ if (λ, x) is in $V_i \times [-1,1]^d$. Observe that this map is well defined since for each g_i' we have $g_i'|_{\{a_0\}\times[-1,1]^d} = g_0|_{[-1,1]^d}$ after we identify $\{a_0\} \times [-1,1]^d$ with $[-1,1]^d$, and since each g_i' is a submersion chart we have both that $g_1(a,0) = y_0$ and that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} V_i\Big) \times [-1,1]^d & \xrightarrow{g_1} & W_{|K^1|} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle pr_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{|K^1|}} \\ \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} V_i & \hookrightarrow & |K^1| \end{array}$$

is commutative. Finally, let us denote the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i$ by U_1 and let us now show that the image $g_1(U_1 \times (-1,1)^d)$ is open in $W_{|K^1|}$. Observe that each $g_i'\big(\mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i \times (-1,1)^d\big)$ is open in W_{σ_i} and since $g_1(U_1 \times (-1,1)^d) \cap W_{\sigma_i} = g_i'\big(\mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i \times (-1,1)^d\big)$, we have by Lemma 3.18 that $\mathrm{Im}g_1$ is open in $W_{|K^1|}$. Thus, after identifying $(-1,1)^d$ with $\mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain a submersion chart $h_1 : U_1 \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{|K^1|}$ around y_0 for the projection $\pi_{|K^1|}$.

Suppose now that we have a submersion chart $h_n : U_n \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{|K^n|}$ for $\pi_{|K^n|}$ around $y_0 \in \pi^{-1}_{|K^n|}(a_0)$. We can assume that U_n doesn't intersect the link $\mathrm{lk}(a_0, K^n)$. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_p$ be the $n+1$-simplices of K which contain a_0. Observe that the restriction of h_n on $(U_n \cap \partial\sigma_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a submersion chart for $\pi_{\partial\sigma_i} : W_{\partial\sigma_i} \to \partial\sigma_i$ around y_0. Now, for each one of the $n+1$-simplices σ_i pick a submersion chart $f_i : \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\sigma_i}$ for π_{σ_i} around y_0. After possibly shrinking U_n and rescaling $\mathbb{R}^d$, we can assume that $h_n((U_n \cap \partial\sigma_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}f_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Applying again Lemma 3.17 we can find a submersion chart $g_i' : V_i' \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\sigma_i}$ for π_{σ_i} around y_0 such that $\mathrm{Im}g_i' \subseteq \mathrm{Im}f_i$ and such that g_i' extends the restriction of h_n on $(U_n \cap \partial\sigma_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d$. As we did in the first step of the induction argument, we can take smaller compact neighborhoods $V_i \subset \mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i'$ of a_0, restrict each g_i' on $\mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i \times (-1,1)^d$, and glue all of these restrictions together to obtain a piecewise linear embedding $g_{n+1} : U_{n+1} \times (-1,1)^d \to W_{|K^{n+1}|}$, with $U_{n+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \mathrm{int}_{\sigma_i} V_i$, which commutes with the projection onto U_{n+1} and whose image is open in $W_{|K^{n+1}|}$. By pre-composing g_{n+1} with a pl homeomorphism

$$U_{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to U_{n+1} \times (-1,1)^d$$

which commutes with the projection onto U_{n+1} and which is the identity on the zero section $U_{n+1} \times \{0\}$, we obtain a submersion chart h_{n+1} for $\pi_{|K^{n+1}|}$ around y_0. Since the simplicial complex K is finite, we can conclude that there exists a submersion chart $h : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \pi^{-1}(U)$ for $\pi : W \to |K|$ around y_0.

□

We remark that in the previous proof we can assume that the open neighborhood U of a_0 in $|K|$ is contained in the open set $|K| - |L|$. This observation will be used in the

following lemma.

Lemma 3.20. *Let K be a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let W be a closed sub-polyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$ such that for each simplex σ of K the projection map $\pi_\sigma : W_\sigma \to \sigma$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Then, the projection map $\pi : W \to |K|$ is also a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d.*

Proof. Let y_0 be any point in the polyhedron W and let $a_0 = \pi(y_0)$. Let K' be a subdivision of K which has a_0 as a vertex. In particular, we have that $|K'| = |K|$. By Proposition 3.3, we have that for each simplex σ of K' the projection $\pi_\sigma : W_\sigma \to \sigma$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. Let $\mathrm{lk}(a_0, K')$ be the link of a_0 in K' and let $\mathrm{st}(a_0, K')$ be the star of a_0 in K'. Observe that $\mathrm{st}(a_0, K') = \mathrm{lk}(a_0, K') * a_0$ and that $\mathrm{st}(a_0, K') - \mathrm{lk}(a_0, K')$ is an open neighborhood of a_0 in $|K'|$. Applying Lemma 3.19 to the projection $\pi_{\mathrm{st}(a_0,K')} : W_{\mathrm{st}(a_0,K')} \to \mathrm{st}(a_0, K')$, we obtain a submersion chart $h : U \times \mathbb{R}^d \to W_{\mathrm{st}(a_0,K')}$ for $\pi_{\mathrm{st}(a_0,K')}$ around y_0. However, by the remark preceding this proof, the open subspace U of $\mathrm{st}(a_0, K')$ can be taken to be contained in $\mathrm{st}(a_0, K') - \mathrm{lk}(a_0, K')$. Thus, we have that h is actually a submersion chart for $\pi : W \to |K|$ around y_0. Since this argument can be done for any $y_0 \in W$, we can conclude that $\pi : W \to |K|$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. □

Let $(K, \leq)$ be an ordered simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $K_\bullet$ be the simplicial set induced by $(K, \leq)$. We will now explain how to obtain an element in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$ from an element in $\mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$. Let then $g : K_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ be a morphism of simplicial sets. Recall that if $v_0, \ldots, v_p$ is a collection of $p+1$ points in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and if $\{e_0, \ldots, e_p\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, then $s_{v_0 \ldots v_p} : \Delta^p \to \sigma$ is the linear map which sends e_j to v_j. If the points $v_0, \ldots, v_p$ are in general position, i.e., if they span a $(p+1)$-simplex, then the simplicial map $s_{v_0 \ldots v_p}$ is a homeomorphism from Δ^p to the p-simplex σ spanned by $v_0, \ldots, v_p$. In this case, the inverse of $s_{v_0 \ldots v_p}$ shall be denoted by $t_{v_0 \ldots v_p}$. For each simplex $v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j$ of $K_\bullet$, denote by $W^{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j}$ the underlying polyhedron of the simplex $g(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j)$ in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. Finally, let W be the following union of subspaces of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$

$$W := \bigcup_{j=0}^{k} \Big(\bigcup_{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j} t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_j} W^{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_j} \Big). \tag{14}$$

In this expression, k is the dimension of K. Furthermore, for each j in $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, the union inside the parentheses ranges over all *non-degenerate* simplices of degree j of $K_\bullet$. The following is the key step in defining the inverse $\mathcal{T}_K$ of the function $\mathcal{S}_K$.

Lemma 3.21. *The subspace W of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$ defined in (14) is a closed sub-polyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and the natural projection $\pi : W \to |K|$ from W onto $|K|$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d. In particular, $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$.*

Proof. Recall that we are denoting by W_S the pre-image $\pi^{-1}(S)$ of a sub-polyhedron S of $|K|$ under the projection $\pi : W \to |K|$. For each non-degenerate simplex $v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p$ of $K_\bullet$, let $\langle v_0, \ldots, v_p \rangle$ denote the simplex of K spanned by the points $v_0, \ldots, v_p$. We begin this proof by observing that for each non-degenerate simplex $v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p$ of $K_\bullet$ we have

$$W_{\langle v_0, \ldots, v_p \rangle} = t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W^{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p}.$$

Indeed, if $w'_0 \leq \ldots \leq w'_q$ and $w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_p$ are non-degenerate simplices of $K_\bullet$ such that $w'_0 \leq \ldots \leq w'_q$ is a face of $w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_p$, and if $i : \langle w'_0 \leq \ldots \leq w'_q \rangle \hookrightarrow \langle w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_p \rangle$ is the obvious inclusion, then we have that $t^*_{w'_0 \ldots w'_q} W^{w'_0 \leq \ldots \leq w'_q} = i^* t^*_{w_0 \ldots w_p} W^{w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_p}$. It follows that $W_{\langle v_0, \ldots, v_p \rangle} = t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W^{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p}$ for each non-degenerate simplex $v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p$. Since $W_{\langle v_0, \ldots, v_p \rangle}$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for each simplex $\langle v_0, \ldots, v_p \rangle$ of K, we have that the union (14) is a closed subpolyhedron of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$ since $\{t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W^{v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p}\}_{v_0 \ldots v_p \in \Lambda}$, where Λ is the set of non-degenerate simplices of $K_\bullet$, is a locally finite collection of closed subpolyhedra of $|K| \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Finally, by Lemma 3.19 we have that the projection $\pi : W \to |K|$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d and we conclude that $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$.

□

The element W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$ obtained from the morphism $g : K_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ in the previous lemma shall be denoted by W^g. We shall use this notation in the following proof.

Proof of Proposition 3.14: Let $(K, \leq)$ be an ordered simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and let $K_\bullet$ be the simplicial set induced by $(K, \leq)$. For a morphism $g \in \mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$ and for a simplex σ of $K_\bullet$, we will in this proof denote by $W^{g(\sigma)}$ the underlying polyhedron of the simplex $g(\sigma)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_K : \mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet) \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(|K|)$$

be the function which sends a morphism $g : K_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ of simplicial sets to the element W^g of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(|K|)$ defined in Lemma 3.21. We will show that $\mathcal{S}_K$ is a bijection by showing that $\mathcal{S}_K$ and $\mathcal{T}_K$ are inverses of each other. We start by showing that the composite $\mathcal{S}_K \circ \mathcal{T}_K$ is the identity on $\mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$. Pick then any morphism $g : K_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ and any simplex $\sigma = v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p$ of $K_\bullet$. In order to show that $f_{W^g}(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p) = g(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p)$, we first observe that there is a non-degenerate simplex $\beta = w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q$ and a morphism $\eta : [p] \to [q]$ such that $\eta^* \beta = \sigma$. By the definition of W^g given in Lemma 3.21, we have for the non-degenerate simplex $\beta = w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q$ that

$$f_{W^g}(w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q) = s^*_{w_0 \ldots w_q} W^g = s^*_{w_0 \ldots w_q} t^*_{w_0 \ldots w_q} W^{g(w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q)}.$$

But since $s_{w_0 \ldots w_q}$ and $t_{w_0 \ldots w_q}$ are inverses of each other, we have that $f_{W^g}(w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q) = W^{g(w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q)} = g(w_0 \leq \ldots \leq w_q)$, and since both g and f_{W^g} commute with η^* we conclude that

$$g(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p) = f_{W^g}(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p).$$

This shows that the composite $\mathcal{S}_K \circ \mathcal{T}_K$ is the identity on $\mathbf{Ssets}(K_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$.

Let us now show that the composite $\mathcal{T}_K \circ \mathcal{S}_K$ is the identity on $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(|K|)$. For any W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(|K|)$, we have that W and W^{f_W} can be expressed respectively as

$$W = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} W_\sigma$$

and

$$W^{f_W} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} W^{f_W}_\sigma.$$

As it was observed in the proof of Lemma 3.21, we have for each simplex $\sigma = \langle v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p \rangle$ of K that the subpolyhedron $W_\sigma^{f_W}$ is equal to $t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W^{f_W(\sigma)}$, which in turn is equal to $t^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} s^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W_\sigma$. Since $t_{v_0 \ldots v_p}$ and $s_{v_0 \ldots v_p}$ are inverses of each other, we obtain that $W = W^{f_W}$, and we can therefore conclude that $\mathcal{T}_K \circ \mathcal{S}_K$ is the identity on $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$. □

Using propositions 3.14 and 3.3, we can prove that $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ satisfies the following fundamental property.

Theorem 3.22. *$\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is a Kan simplicial set.*

Proof. Let Λ^p_j be the j-th horn of Δ^p, let $\Lambda^p_{j\bullet}$ be the simplicial set obtained from Λ^p_j using the natural order relation on $\mathrm{Vert}(\Lambda^p_j)$, and let $g : \Lambda^p_{j\bullet} \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ be a morphism of simplicial sets. We need to show that g can be extended to $\Delta^p_\bullet$. The inclusion $i : \Lambda^p_j \hookrightarrow \Delta^p$ is actually an inclusion of ordered simplicial complexes, and using this fact it is easy to verify that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(\Delta^p\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_{\Delta^p}} & \mathbf{Ssets}(\Delta^p_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle i^*} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle -\circ i} \\ \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(\Lambda^p_j\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda^p_j}} & \mathbf{Ssets}(\Lambda^p_{j\bullet}, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet). \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda^p_j}$ is surjective, we can find W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(\Lambda^p_j\big)$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda^p_j}(W) = g$. Therefore, by the commutativity of the above diagram, it suffices to find a lift of W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(\Delta^p\big)$ in order to find a lift of g in $\mathbf{Ssets}(\Delta^p_\bullet, \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$. But if $r : \Delta^p \to \Lambda^p_j$ is any pl retraction onto Λ^p_j, then the pull back r^*W is a lift for W and consequently $f := \mathcal{S}_{\Delta^p}(r^*W)$ is a lift for g. □

Remark 3.23. We remark that the argument used to prove Proposition 3.14 also works to prove the exact same result for each subsimplicial set $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ defined in 3.11. In particular, each $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is a Kan simplicial set.

Remark 3.24. Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ be the Δ-*set* obtained by applying the forgetful functor $F : \mathbf{Ssets} \to \Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets}$ to the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. Also, for any ordered simplicial complex $(K, \leq)$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$, let $\widetilde{K}_\bullet$ be the Δ-set obtained from $(K, \leq)$ as indicated in Remark 2.31. We remark that, with very little modifications, the same argument used to prove Proposition 3.14 can also be used to prove the exact same result for Δ-sets, i.e., that the function

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_K : \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big) \to \Delta(\widetilde{K}_\bullet, \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$$

which sends an element $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(|K|\big)$ to the morphism of Δ-sets $f_W : \widetilde{K}_\bullet \to \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ defined by $f_W(v_0 \leq \ldots \leq v_p) = s^*_{v_0 \ldots v_p} W$ is a bijection. The fundamental reason why we also have this result when working with Δ-sets is that the simplices of $\widetilde{K}_\bullet$ are exactly the *non-degenerate* simplices of $K_\bullet$ and, in the proof of Proposition 3.14, the definition of $\mathcal{T}_K(g)$ given in (14) only uses the non-degenerate simplices of the simplicial set $K_\bullet$. The proof of the Δ-set version of Proposition 3.14 can be found in the author's doctoral dissertation [Go1].

3.4 The spectrum of spaces of PL manifolds

As explained in the introduction, the spaces $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ will be the stages of a spectrum, and it is the purpose of this subsection to define the structure maps

$$\mathcal{E}_N : S^1 \wedge |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet|$$

that make the collection $\{|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|\}_{N\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ into a spectrum.

Note. Recall that the base point of $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ is the vertex corresponding to the 0-simplex $\emptyset$ (see Remark 3.10). Throughout this subsection, we will use the symbol $\bullet_N$ to denote the base point of $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$.

To define the map $\mathcal{E}_N$, we are first going to define a map

$$\mathcal{T}_N : [-1,1] \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet| \tag{15}$$

which satisfies the following:

- The restriction of $\mathcal{T}_N$ to $\{0\}\times|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ agrees with the obvious inclusion $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \hookrightarrow |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet|$.
- $\mathcal{T}_N$ maps $\{-1,1\} \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ and $[-1,1] \times \{\bullet_N\}$ to the base point $\bullet_{N+1}$.

Since $S^1 \wedge |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ is the quotient space of $[-1,1] \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ defined by identifying all points of the form $(-1,x)$, $(1,x)$ and $(t,\bullet_N)$, the map $\mathcal{E}_N$ is then obtained by applying the characteristic property of quotient spaces.


The map (15) is defined as follows. Let $\big([-1,1] \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big)_\bullet$ be the push-out of the diagram

$$\Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \xleftarrow{i} \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$$

where $i : \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is the morphism of simplicial sets which maps a p-simplex W to $(s^*_{p,0}0, W)$. In the tuple $(s^*_{p,0}0, W)$, $s_{p,0}$ is the unique morphism in the category Δ from $[p]$ to $[0]$. The map $\mathcal{T}_N$ in (15) will be a composite of the form

$$[-1,1] \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\widetilde{h}^{-1}} |\big([-1,1] \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|T|} |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet| \tag{16}$$

where the first map is the inverse of the obvious homeomorphism $\widetilde{h} : |\big([-1,1]\times\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} [-1,1] \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ and T is a map of simplicial sets obtained by applying the universal property of $\big([-1,1] \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big)_\bullet$ to a diagram of the form



(17)

The superscripts $+$ and $-$ in T^+ and T^- are meant to indicate that we are going to *push* elements of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ towards $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ respectively along the axis x_{N+1} in

$\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.

We will only indicate how to define T^+ given that the construction of T^- will follow the same procedure with some minor modifications. Fix once and for all an increasing pl homeomorphism

$$f : [0,1) \to [0,\infty).$$

This is the map that we are going to use to push manifolds to ∞. From each p-simplex W of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ we can obtain a concordance

$$\widetilde{W}^+ \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})\big([0,1] \times \Delta^p\big) \tag{18}$$

between W and $\emptyset$ by taking the image of the product $[0,1) \times W$ under the piecewise linear embedding

$$F : [0,1) \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$$

defined by

$$(t, \lambda, x_1, \ldots, x_N) \mapsto (t, \lambda, x_1, \ldots, x_N, f(t)).$$

The construction of the map T^+ which appears in (17) will require the following three steps:

i) For each simplex W of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, show that the concordance $\widetilde{W}^+$ induces a map of simplicial sets

$$T^W : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet. \tag{19}$$

ii) For any morphism $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ in the category Δ and any p-simplex W of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^q_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^1_\bullet} \times \eta} & \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle T^{\eta^* W}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T^W} \\ \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet & = & \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet \end{array} \tag{20}$$

is commutative.

iii) Finally, let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \downarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbf{Ssets}$ be the functor defined as follows:

- $\mathcal{F}$ sends a p-simplex $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$ to $\Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet$.
- $\mathcal{F}$ sends a morphism $(W, [q] \xrightarrow{\eta} [p])$ in $\Delta \downarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (where η is a morphism in Δ and $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$) to

$$\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^1_\bullet} \times \eta : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^q_\bullet \to \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet.$$

Observing that $\Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is a colimit for the functor $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \downarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbf{Ssets}$, we define

$$T^+ : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet$$

to be the unique morphism of simplicial sets such that $T^+ \circ \big(\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^1_\bullet} \times \delta^W\big) = T^W$ for each simplex W in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. Here δ^W denotes the characteristic map of a simplex W.

It is clear that *iii)* is an immediate consequence of *ii)*, so it only remains to show claims *i)* and *ii)*. For claim *i)*, denote the bottom vertices of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^p$ by $0, 1, \ldots, p$, and the top vertices by $0', 1', \ldots, p'$. Both the set of bottom and top vertices come with obvious orderings, and we obtain a total order relation on the set of vertices of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^p$ by declaring all top vertices to be greater than the bottom ones. The collection of all strictly increasing chains of vertices

$$(i_0, \ldots, i_m, i'_{m+1}, \ldots, i'_q),$$

with $i_m \leq i_{m+1}$, is an abstract ordered simplicial complex K^p which triangulates $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^p$. If $K^p_\bullet$ is the simplicial set induced by K^p, then it is straight forward to verify that the map

$$F^p : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet \longrightarrow K^p_\bullet \tag{21}$$

which sends a q-simplex

$$\big((\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{m+1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{q-m}), (i_0, \ldots, i_q)\big)$$

in $\Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet$ to

$$(i_0, \ldots, i_m, i'_{m+1}, \ldots, i'_q)$$

is an isomorphism of simplicial sets. Finally, by Theorem 3.14 we have that the concordance $\widetilde{W^+}$ induces a morphism of simplicial sets

$$K^p_\bullet \xrightarrow{g^W} \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet,$$

and we define T^W to be the composite $g^W \circ F^p$.

To show that the diagram (20) given in *ii)* commutes, we first observe that from each morphism $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ we obtain a map of simplicial sets $\widetilde{\eta} : K^q_\bullet \to K^p_\bullet$ by setting

$$(i_0, \ldots, i_m, i'_{m+1}, \ldots, i'_k) \mapsto \big(\eta(i_0), \ldots, \eta(i_m), \eta(i_{m+1})', \ldots, \eta(i_k)'\big)$$

for each k-simplex of $K^q_\bullet$. Furthermore, the collection of simplicial sets $\{K^p_\bullet\}_p$ and morphisms $\widetilde{\eta} : K^q_\bullet \to K^p_\bullet$ forms a cosimplicial object $\mathcal{K}$ in the category of simplicial sets. It is easy to verify that the maps $F^p : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet \to K^p_\bullet$ given in (21) are the components of a natural transformation $F : \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the cosimplicial object defined by $\mathcal{D}\big([p]\big) = \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Delta^p_\bullet$ and $\mathcal{D}(\eta) = \mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^1_\bullet} \times \eta_\bullet$. For any $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ and any $W \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$, we have that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^q_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\quad\widetilde{\eta}\quad} & K^p_\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle g^{\eta^* W}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^W} \\ \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet & = & \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet \end{array}$$

is commutative since the map $\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \times \eta_* : [0,1] \times \Delta^q \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p$ becomes a map of simplicial complexes (which preserves the ordering of the vertices) when we triangulate $[0,1] \times \Delta^p$ and $[0,1] \times \Delta^q$ with K^p and K^q respectively . Therefore, diagram (20) is also commutative given that $T^W = g^W \circ F^p$ and that the maps F^p are the components of a natural transformation.

As indicated earlier, $T^+ : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet$ is then the map of simplicial sets obtained by applying the universal property of colimits. The definition of T^- is done the same way using the pl function $-f : [0,1) \to (-\infty, 0]$ instead of $f : [0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ and, by the universal property of $\big([-1,1] \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big)_\bullet$, there exists a unique simplicial set map

$$T : ([-1,1] \times \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N))_\bullet \to \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet$$

making diagram (17) commutative. Finally, if we set $\mathcal{T}_N$ to be equal to the composite given in (15), then by the way we defined T we have that $\mathcal{T}_N$ maps $\{-1,1\} \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ and $[-1,1] \times \{\bullet_N\}$ to the base point $\bullet_{N+1}$. Therefore, if q denotes the quotient map from $[-1,1] \times |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ to $S^1 \wedge |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$, then there exists a unique map

$$\mathcal{E}_N : S^1 \wedge |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet| \tag{22}$$

such that $\mathcal{T}_N = \mathcal{E}_N \circ q$.

Definition 3.25. The *spectrum of spaces of PL manifolds*, denoted by $\mathbf{\Psi}^{PL}_d$, is the spectrum whose N-th space is equal to $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ and whose structure maps $\mathcal{E}_N$ are the ones defined in (22).

The preferred base point for a loop space of the form $\Omega^m|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ shall be the point corresponding to the map of pairs $f : \big(I^m, \partial I^m\big) \to \big(|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|, \bullet_N\big)$ which maps all points in I^m to the base point $\bullet_N$. The *infinite loop space* of the spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}^{PL}_d$ is defined as

$$\Omega^\infty \mathbf{\Psi}^{PL}_d = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{N\to\infty} \Omega^N |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|. \tag{23}$$

The homotopy colimit that appears on the right hand side of (23) is the mapping telescope of the diagram of pointed spaces

$$\cdots \to \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})_\bullet| \to \Omega^N|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to \Omega^{N+1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet| \to \ldots,$$

where for each non-negative integer N the map $\Omega^N|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to \Omega^{N+1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet|$ is the N-fold loop map of the adjoint $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_N$ of $\mathcal{E}_N$. This telescope is formed by first taking for each non-negative integer N the reduced mapping cylinder of $\Omega^N\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_N$ and then performing the obvious identifications.

As we will show in the main theorem of this article, the classifying space of the PL cobordism category, defined in section §5, is weak homotopy equivalent to

$$\Omega^{\infty-1} \mathbf{\Psi}^{PL}_d = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{N\to\infty} \Omega^{N-1} |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|, \tag{24}$$

which is the infinite loop space of the suspension of the spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}^{PL}_d$.

3.5 The sheaf Ψ_d

In 3.9 we defined the *space of pl manifolds* $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ using $\mathbb{R}^N$ as the background space. However, we could have just as easily defined a simplicial set $\Psi_d(U)_\bullet$ using *any* open subspace $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ as the background space and, furthermore, for any inclusion of open sets $V \hookrightarrow U$ we have that the function which sends a p-simplex W of $\Psi_d(U)_\bullet$ to the intersection $W \cap \big(\Delta^p \times V\big)$ is actually a morphism of simplicial sets $\Psi_d(U)_\bullet \to \Psi_d(V)_\bullet$. With these observations we can formulate the following definition.

Definition 3.26. Let

$$\Psi_d(-) : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^N)^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ssets}$$

be the pre-sheaf of simplicial sets on $\mathbb{R}^N$ which sends an open set U to the simplicial set $\Psi_d(U)_\bullet$ and which sends an inclusion of open sets $V \hookrightarrow U$ to the *restriction morphism* $\Psi_d(U)_\bullet \to \Psi_d(V)_\bullet$ which sends a p-simplex W to $W \cap \big(\Delta^p \times V\big)$.

The following proposition tells us that the functor $\Psi_d(-)$ is actually a sheaf of simplicial sets on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Proposition 3.27. *Let P be a piecewise linear space and $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ an open cover of an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$. Suppose that for each $j \in J$ we are given an element W_j in $\Psi_d(U_j)(P)$. If for each pair $(i,j) \in J \times J$ we have $W_i \cap U_j = W_j \cap U_i$, then there exists a unique W in $\Psi_d(U)(P)$ such that $W \cap U_j = W_j$ for each $j \in J$.*

Proof. Let W denote the union $\bigcup_{j\in J} W_j$, which we view as a subspace of U. Since each W_j is both an open subspace of W and a piecewise linear subspace of $P \times U$, then by Proposition 2.23 we have that W is a piecewise linear subspace of $P \times U$. To see that W is closed in $P \times U$, observe that the limit of any convergent sequence of points $(x_n)_n$ in W must lie in some U_{j_0} of the collection $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$. Therefore, this limit must lie in W_{j_0} since W_{j_0} is closed in U_{j_0}. Finally, the projection $\pi : W \to P$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d since the restriction of π on each W_j is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d and $\{W_j\}_{j\in J}$ is an open cover of W. It is clear that W is the unique element in $\Psi_d(U)(P)$ such that $W \cap U_j = W_j$ for each $j \in J$. □

By applying this proposition to the case when the base space P is any of the standard simplices Δ^p, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.28. *The pre-sheaf*

$$\Psi_d(-) : \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^N)^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ssets}$$

defined in 3.26 is a sheaf of simplicial sets.

We conclude this section with the following useful proposition which tells how to produce elements in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)\big(P\big)$ using open pl embeddings $P \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which commute with the projection onto P.

Proposition 3.29. *Let P be a compact polyhedron and let W be an element of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(P)$. Then, for any open piecewise linear embedding $H : P \times \mathbb{R}^N \to P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ which commutes with the projection onto P we have that $H^{-1}(W)$ is an element of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)(P)$.*

Proof. The intersection of W and $\mathrm{Im}H$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $\mathrm{Im}H$. Since H is a piecewise linear homeomorphism from $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathrm{Im}H$, we also have that $H^{-1}(W)$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $P \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Finally, the projection from $H^{-1}(W)$ to P is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d since it is equal to the composite of $H|_{H^{-1}(W)}$, which is a piecewise linear homeomorphism from $H^{-1}(W)$ to W, and the projection from W onto P.

□

4 Functors $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$

In the previous section we indicated how the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ can be completely recovered from the contravariant functor $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ introduced in Definition 3.12. The same is true for the Δ-set $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ and it is the purpose of this section to describe the kind of properties that $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ has knowing that it comes from the more general functor $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N) : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$. In particular, we will show that there is a map $\rho : |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ which satisfies the following:

1. ρ is homotopic to the identity map on $\left|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right|$.

2. For any morphism of Δ-sets $f : X_\bullet \to \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ and for any non-negative integer r there is a unique morphism $g : \mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet \to \widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ of Δ-sets which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|f|} & \left|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \\ \cong \uparrow & & \downarrow \rho^r \\ |\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|g|} & \left|\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \end{array}$$

 where the left vertical map is just the canonical homeomorphism from the geometric realization $|\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet|$ of the r-th barycentric subdivision of $X_\bullet$ to $|X_\bullet|$.

As it was mentioned in the introduction, the map ρ will be called *the subdivision map of* $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, and it will play a key role in our study of the homotopy type of this simplicial set.

We remark that in this section we will be working exclusively with the Δ-set $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. The fact that Δ-sets don't have any degeneracy maps makes it possible to perform certain technical constructions which cannot be carried over to the category of simplicial sets, and in our discussion about the subdivision map $\rho : |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ we will point out the key steps where it is necessary to work with Δ-sets.

Note. In what remains of this section, we shall denote the Δ-set $\widetilde{\Psi}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ simply by $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$.

4.1 Preliminaries on simplicial complexes and Δ-sets

We begin our discussion about the subdivision map $\rho : |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ by introducing a functor

$$\mathcal{R} : \Delta\textbf{-sets} \longrightarrow \Delta\textbf{-sets}$$

which will serve as a kind of homotopy between the identity functor Id : Δ-**sets** $\to$ Δ-**sets** and the barycentric subdivision functor sd : Δ-**sets** $\to$ Δ-**sets**. More precisely, the functor $\mathcal{R}$ will satisfy the following:

i) For each Δ-set $X_\bullet$ there are inclusions

$$X_\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}X_\bullet \hookleftarrow \mathrm{sd}X_\bullet \tag{25}$$

which are natural with respect to $X_\bullet$, i.e., the functor $\mathcal{R}$ fits into a diagram of natural transformations of the form

$$\mathrm{Id} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \Longleftarrow \mathrm{sd}$$

ii) For each Δ-set $X_\bullet$ there is a homeomorphism

$$H_X : |\mathcal{R}X_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |X_\bullet| \times [0,1],$$

natural with respect to $X_\bullet$, which makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} |X_\bullet| & \hookrightarrow & |\mathcal{R}X_\bullet| & \hookleftarrow & |\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet| \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\ |X_\bullet| & \xhookrightarrow{i_0} & |X_\bullet| \times [0,1] & \xhookleftarrow{i_1} & |X_\bullet|, \end{array} \tag{26}$$

where the top part of the diagram is the geometric realization of (25), the right vertical map is the canonical homeomorphism from $|\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet|$ to $|X_\bullet|$, and i_j is given by $i_j(x) = (x, j)$ for $j = 0, 1$.

We begin the definition of the functor $\mathcal{R}$ by first specifying its values on the subcategory $\mathcal{D}$ of **Δ-sets** where objects are the *standard simplices* $\Delta^p_\bullet$ introduced in Remark 2.31 and where morphisms between $\Delta^p_\bullet$ and $\Delta^q_\bullet$ are all Δ-set morphisms induced by injective simplicial complex maps $\eta : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q$ which preserve the ordering on vertices (see also Remark 2.31). To define $\mathcal{R}$ on $\mathcal{D}$, we need to introduce for each non-negative integer $p \geq 0$ an ordered simplicial complex $R(p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ which satisfies the following:

1. $R(p)$ triangulates the product $\Delta^p \times [0,1]$.
2. If $K(p)$ is the standard ordered simplicial complex which triangulates Δ^p, then the inclusion
$$i_0 : |K(p)| \hookrightarrow |R(p)|$$
given by $i_0(\lambda) = (\lambda, 0)$ is an inclusion of ordered simplicial complexes.
3. If $\mathrm{sd}K(p)$ is the first barycentric subdivision of $K(p)$, then the inclusion
$$i_1 : |\mathrm{sd}K(p)| \hookrightarrow |R(p)|$$
given by $i_1(\lambda) = (\lambda, 1)$ is also an inclusion of ordered simplicial complexes.

The barycentric point of a simplex F in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$ will be denoted by bF. Recall that the *barycentric subdivision* of an Euclidean simplicial complex K is defined to be the simplicial complex $\mathrm{sd}K$ whose vertices are the barycentric points of all the simplices of K (in the case of a vertex, the barycentric point is just the vertex itself) and where a simplex of dimension p in $\mathrm{sd}K$ is the convex hull $\langle bF_0, bF_1, \ldots, bF_p \rangle$ of the barycentric points of the simplices in a flag $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \ldots \subset F_p$ of simplices in K.

For each $p \geq 0$, the simplicial complex $R(p)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ will be the union of the following three collections of simplices:

· All simplices of the form $\{0\} \times F$ with F a face of Δ^p.

- All simplices of the form $\{1\} \times \langle bF_0, \ldots, bF_q \rangle$ with $F_0 \subset \ldots \subset F_p$ a flag of simplices in Δ^p.
- Joins of the form
$$\big(F \times \{0\}\big) * \big(\langle bF_0, \ldots, bF_q \rangle \times \{1\}\big)$$
with F a face of Δ^p, $F_0 \subset \ldots \subset F_p$ a flag of simplices in Δ^p, and F a face of F_0.

We leave it as an easy exercise to the reader to verify that the union of these three collections of simplices is indeed a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ which triangulates the product $\Delta^p \times [0,1]$. Furthermore, if we denote the standard simplicial complex which triangulates Δ^p simply by Δ^p, then it is obvious that the inclusions $i_0 : |\Delta^p| \hookrightarrow |R(p)|$ and $i_1 : |\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p| \hookrightarrow |R(p)|$ given by $i_0(\lambda) = (\lambda, 0)$ and $i_1(\lambda) = (\lambda, 1)$ respectively are maps of simplicial complexes.

Recall that the set of vertices of both Δ^p and $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p$ come with canonical orderings $\leq_{\Delta^p}$ and $\leq_{\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p}$: for vertices e_i and e_j in Δ^p we have that $e_i \leq_{\Delta^p} e_j$ if and only if $i \leq j$, and for vertices bF_i and bF_j in $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p$ we have that $bF_i \leq_{\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p} bF_j$ if and only if $F_i \subseteq F_j$. Using these two order relations, we define a relation $\leq_p$ on the set of vertices $\mathrm{Vert}\big(R(p)\big)$ as follows:

- $(e_i, 0) \leq_p (e_j, 0)$ if and only if $e_i \leq_{\Delta^p} e_j$.
- $(bF_i, 1) \leq_p (bF_j, 1)$ if and only if $bF_i \leq_{\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p} bF_j$.
- $(e_i, 0) \leq_p (bF_j, 1)$ if and only if e_i is a vertex of F_j.

We also leave it to the reader to verify that the relation $\leq_p$ turns the simplicial complex $R(p)$ into an *ordered* simplicial complex.

Let now $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$ be the Δ-set obtained from $(R(p), \leq_p)$ as indicated in Remark 2.31. In order to make our notation easier to follow, we shall relabel the vertices $(e_i, 0)$ and $(bF_j, 1)$ by e_i and F_j respectively. It is now clear that both the Δ-set $\Delta^p_\bullet$ and its barycentric subdivision $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$ are sub-Δ-sets of $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$. Furthermore, any morphism $\eta : [p] \to [q]$ in the category Δ (not necessarily injective) defines a morphism of Δ-sets

$$\mathcal{R}\eta_\bullet : \mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet \to \mathcal{R}(q)_\bullet \tag{27}$$

by setting $\mathcal{R}\eta_\bullet|_{\Delta^p} = \eta_\bullet$, $\mathcal{R}\eta_\bullet|_{\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p} = \mathrm{sd}\eta_\bullet$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}\eta_{k+l}\big(e_{i_0} \leq_p \ldots \leq_p e_{i_k} \leq_p F_{j_1} \leq_p \ldots \leq_p F_{j_l}\big) = \\ &e_{\eta(i_0)} \leq_q \ldots \leq_q e_{\eta(i_k)} \leq_q \eta_*(F_{j_1}) \leq_q \ldots \leq_q \eta_*(F_{j_l}) \end{aligned}$$

for each $k+l$-simplex of $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$ not contained in $\Delta^p_\bullet$ nor in $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{Id}_{[p]}) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{R}(p)}$ and that for any two composable morphisms η and δ in Δ we have that $\mathcal{R}\delta\eta = \mathcal{R}\delta \circ \mathcal{R}\eta$. These observations gives us the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The collection of Δ-sets $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$ and morphisms $\mathcal{R}\eta$ form a cosimplicial object in the category of Δ-sets.*

The functor $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{D} \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ is then defined by setting $\mathcal{R}\Delta^p_\bullet := \mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$ and $\mathcal{R}(\eta) := \mathcal{R}\eta$ for all morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$.

Let now $X_\bullet$ be any Δ-set and let $\mathcal{R}_X : \Delta \downarrow X \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ be the functor which sends a p-simplex σ to the Δ-set $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$ and which sends a morphism $(\sigma, [q] \xrightarrow{\eta} [p])$ of $\Delta \downarrow X$ (where $\sigma \in X_p$ and η is a morphism in Δ_{inj}) to the map $\mathcal{R}\eta : \mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet \to \mathcal{R}(q)_\bullet$. We define the functor $\mathcal{R} : \Delta\textbf{-sets} \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ by sending $X_\bullet$ to the colimit

$$\mathcal{R}X_\bullet := \operatorname*{colim}_{\Delta\downarrow X} \mathcal{R}_X$$

and by sending a morphism $f : X_\bullet \to Y_\bullet$ to the Δ-set map $\mathcal{R}f : \mathcal{R}X_\bullet \to \mathcal{R}Y_\bullet$ obtained by the universal property of colimits.

Since for each $p \geq 0$ we have that $\Delta^p_\bullet$ and $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$ are sub-Δ-sets of $\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet$, both $X_\bullet$ and $\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet$ are sub-Δ-sets of $\mathcal{R}X_\bullet$ and the inclusions $X_\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}X_\bullet$ and $\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}X_\bullet$ are natural with respect to $X_\bullet$. Finally, as it was indicated in Remark 2.31, there is a natural homeomorphism

$$h : |\mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |R(p)| = \Delta^p \times [0,1],$$

and since the geometric realization functor $|\cdot|$ commutes with colimits we obtain a natural homeomorphism

$$H_X : |\mathcal{R}X_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |X_\bullet| \times [0,1]$$

which makes the diagram in (26) commutative.


4.2 The subdivision map $\rho : \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \longrightarrow \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right|$

In order to make our constructions easier to follow, we will identify the category Δ_{inj} with the category whose objects are all the standard simplices Δ^p and whose morphisms are injective order preserving simplicial maps $\eta : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q$. Observe that under this identification the category Δ_{inj} becomes a subcategory of the category **PL**.

Instead of only defining the subdivision map $\rho : \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right| \longrightarrow \left|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right|$ for the Δ-set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, we are going to define it for any Δ-set $\mathcal{F} : \Delta^{op}_{inj} \to \textbf{Sets}$ which comes from a contravariant functor defined on **PL**. We first introduce the following terminology.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \textbf{Sets}$ be a contravariant functor defined on **PL**. The Δ-set $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ obtained by restricting the functor $\mathcal{F}$ on the subcategory Δ_{inj} is called *the underlying Δ-set of $\mathcal{F}$*.

Fix then a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \textbf{Sets}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be its underlying Δ-set. Through out the rest of this section, we will denote the functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \textbf{Sets}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in order to avoid confusing it with the Δ-set $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$.



We shall need the following bit of notation for the definition of the subdivision map ρ: for any q-simplex $F = F_{j_0} \leq \ldots \leq F_{j_q}$ of $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$, we denote by ϕ_F the injective linear map $\Delta^q \to \Delta^p$ which maps e_i to the barycentric point bF_{j_i} of F_{j_i}.

Fix now a p-simplex β of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$. For each non-negative integer $0 \leq k \leq p$, let $\rho^\beta_k : \mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_k \to \mathcal{F}_k$ be the function defined by

$$\rho^\beta_k(F = F_{j_0} \leq \ldots \leq F_{j_k}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\phi_F : \Delta^k \to \Delta^p)(\beta), \tag{28}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\phi_F : \Delta^k \to \Delta^p)(\beta)$ is the image of the p-simplex β of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ under the function of sets

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\phi_F) : \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta^p) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta^k).$$

The following two lemmas are the first steps to defining the subdivision map $\rho : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$.

Lemma 4.3. *The functions ρ^β_k, $0 \leq k \leq p$, defined in (28) are the components of a morphism of Δ-sets $\rho^\beta : \mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$.*

Proof. Let $\eta : \Delta^k \to \Delta^l$ be a morphism in the category Δ_{inj}. For any l-simplex $F = F_0 \leq \ldots \leq F_l$ in $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$, it is easily verified that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^l & \xrightarrow{\phi_F} & \Delta^p \\ {\scriptstyle\eta}\uparrow & & \| \\ \Delta^k & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\eta^* F}} & \Delta^p \end{array}$$

is commutative, which implies that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\phi_{\eta^* F}\big)(\beta) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\phi_F \circ \eta\big)(\beta),$$

or equivalently

$$\rho^\beta_k(\eta^* F) = \eta^* \rho^\beta_l(F).$$

Since this argument applies to any structure map η^* in $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$, we conclude that ρ^β is a morphism of Δ-sets. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\theta : \Delta^p \to \Delta^q$ be any morphism in the category Δ_{inj}. If $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_q$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_p$ are simplices for which we have $\theta^* \beta = \omega$, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sd}\theta} & \mathrm{sd}\Delta^q_\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle\rho^\omega}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho^\beta} \\ \mathcal{F}_\bullet & = & \mathcal{F}_\bullet \end{array}$$

is commutative.


Proof. This result follows from the fact that for each $0 \leq k \leq p$ and each k-simplex $F = F_{i_0} \leq \ldots \leq F_{i_k}$ of $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$ we have the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_k^{\omega}(F) &= \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\phi_F\big)(\theta^*\beta)\\ &= \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\phi_F\big)\circ\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\theta\big)(\beta)\\ &= \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\theta\circ\phi_F\big)(\beta)\\ &= \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(\phi_{\mathrm{sd}\theta(F)}\big)(\beta)\\ &= \rho_k^{\beta}(\mathrm{sd}\theta(F)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.5. It is worth noting that Lemma 4.4 is not true if we use simplicial sets instead of Δ-sets. Indeed, in the chain of equalities given in the previous proof, the second last equality holds because θ is an injective simplicial map and therefore maps barycentric points to barycentric points, a property which does not hold for arbitrary simplicial maps. For this reason, we are required to work with Δ-sets instead of simplicial sets for the definition of the subdivision map $\rho : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$.

Consider now the functor $\mathrm{sd} : \Delta\downarrow\mathcal{F} \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ which sends a p-simplex $\sigma : \Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ to the Δ-set $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet$ and a morphism $\theta : \Delta^p_\bullet \to \Delta^q_\bullet$ over $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ to the morphism $\mathrm{sd}\theta : \mathrm{sd}\Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathrm{sd}\Delta^q_\bullet$ induced by θ. The barycentric subdivision $\mathrm{sd}\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is the colimit of the diagram $\mathrm{sd} : \Delta\downarrow\mathcal{F} \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ and, by Proposition 4.4 and the universal property of colimits, there exists a unique morphism of Δ-sets $\widetilde{\rho} : \mathrm{sd}\mathcal{F}_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ which makes the diagram



commutative for each p-simplex $\beta : \Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ (here we are identifying a p-simplex β of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ with its characteristic map). With this morphism of Δ-sets we can now give the definition of the subdivision map of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$.

Definition 4.6. *The subdivision map* $\rho : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \longrightarrow |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ *of* $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is defined to be the composite

$$|\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} |\mathrm{sd}\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|\widetilde{\rho}|} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$$

where h^{-1} is the inverse of the canonical homeomorphism $h : |\mathrm{sd}\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$.

As we indicated at the beginning of this section, the subdivision map ρ satisfies the following important property.

Theorem 4.7. *The subdivision map* $\rho : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ *is homotopic to the identity map on* $|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$.

Proof. In this proof we are going to use the functor $\mathcal{R} : \Delta\textbf{-sets} \to \Delta\textbf{-sets}$ defined in §4.1. For each p-simplex σ of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$, denote by $\sigma\times[0,1]$ the image of σ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta^p\times[0,1])$ under the function $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(pr_1 : \Delta^p\times[0,1]\to\Delta^p)$, where $pr_1 : \Delta^p\times[0,1]\to\Delta^p$ is the projection onto the first component of $\Delta^p\times[0,1]$. Moreover, let $\gamma^\sigma : \mathcal{R}\Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be the morphism of Δ-sets which at the level of k-simplices is given by

$$\gamma^\sigma_k(V = v_0 \leq_p \ldots \leq_p v_k) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(s_V : \Delta^k \to \Delta^p\times[0,1]\big)\big(\sigma\times[0,1]\big).$$

The map s_V that appears on the right hand side of this equality is just the simplicial map $\Delta^k \to |R(p)|$ which maps the vertex e_j to the vertex v_j of the simplicial complex $R(p)$. An argument completely analogous to the one given in the proof of Lemma 4.3 shows that γ^σ is indeed a morphism of Δ-sets. Furthermore, a slight upgrading of the proof of Lemma 4.4 shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}(p)_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}\theta} & \mathcal{R}(q)_\bullet \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma^\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma^\beta} \\ \mathcal{F}_\bullet & = & \mathcal{F}_\bullet \end{array}$$

is commutative for any morphism $\theta : [p] \to [q]$ in Δ_{inj} and for any pair of simplices $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{F}_q$ such that $\theta^*\beta = \sigma$. Applying the universal property of colimits, we obtain a morphism

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\bullet$$

such that for each p-simplex $\sigma : \Delta^p_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ we have $\gamma^\sigma = \Gamma \circ \mathcal{R}\sigma$. Finally, let $H : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \times [0,1] \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ be equal to the composite

$$|\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \times [0,1] \xrightarrow{H_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}} |\mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|\Gamma|} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|,$$

where the first map is the inverse of the canonical homeomorphism $H_{\mathcal{F}} : |\mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |\mathcal{F}\bullet| \times [0,1]$ defined in §4.1. If $i_j : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \times [0,1]$ denotes the canonical inclusion defined by $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda, j)$, with $j \in \{0,1\}$, then by the way we have defined the map $H : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \times [0,1] \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ we have

$$\mathrm{Id}_{|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|} = H \circ i_0, \quad \rho = H \circ i_1.$$

Therefore, $H : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \times [0,1] \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ is a homotopy between $\mathrm{Id}_{|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|}$ and the subdivision map $\rho : |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$. □

Besides being homotopic to the identity map $\mathrm{Id}_{|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|}$, the subdivision map ρ also satisfies the following.


Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ be the underlying Δ-set of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} : \mathbf{PL}^{op} \to \mathbf{Sets}$. If $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is a morphism of Δ-sets and $h : |\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet| \to |X_\bullet|$ is the canonical homeomorphism from $|\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet|$ to $|X_\bullet|$, then there exists a unique morphism $g_\bullet : \mathrm{sd}X_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ of Δ-sets which makes the following diagram commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|f|} & |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \\ \cong\uparrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} \\ |\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|g|} & |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \end{array}$$

Proof. Given a Δ-set $X_\bullet$, let $h_X : |\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\cong} |X_\bullet|$ denote the natural homeomorphism from $|\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet|$ to $|X_\bullet|$. These homeomorphisms are the components of a natural transformation from the functor $|\mathrm{sd}(\cdot)|$ to the geometric realization functor $|\cdot|$. Therefore, given any morphism of Δ-sets $f : X_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\mathrm{sd}\mathcal{F}_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{F}}} & |\mathcal{F}_\bullet| \\ {\scriptstyle |\mathrm{sd}f|}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle |f|} \\ |\mathrm{sd}X_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{h_X} & |X_\bullet| \end{array}$$


is commutative. It follows then that the diagram



is also commutative, where the diagonal map is the composite $|\widetilde{\rho}| \circ |\mathrm{sd}f| = |\widetilde{\rho} \circ \mathrm{sd}f|$. Therefore, $g := \widetilde{\rho} \circ \mathrm{sd}f$ is the morphism of Δ-sets which will make the diagram given in the statement of this proposition commutative. □

Iterating the result of Proposition 4.8 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.9. *For any morphism $f : X_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ and any $r > 0$ there is a unique morphism $g : \mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ which makes the following diagram commutative*



where the left vertical map is the canonical homeomorphism $|\mathrm{sd}^r X_\bullet| \stackrel{\cong}{\to} |X_\bullet|$.

Corollary 4.9 can be used the prove the following useful result about maps from compact spaces into $|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$.

Proposition 4.10. *Any map $f : P \to |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ from a compact space P is homotopic to a composite of the form*

$$P \to |K_\bullet| \stackrel{|g_\bullet|}{\to} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$$

where $K_\bullet$ is a finite Δ-set obtained from a finite ordered simplicial complex.

Proof. Since P is compact, its image under f is going to intersect only finitely many simplices of $|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$. If $Y_\bullet$ is the sub-Δ-set of $\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ generated by these simplices, then the map f is equal to the composition $P \stackrel{f'}{\to} |Y_\bullet| \stackrel{|i_\bullet|}{\to} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$ where f' is the map obtained from f by restricting its target to $|Y_\bullet|$ and the second map is just the geometric realization of the obvious inclusion of Δ-sets. By 4.9 we have that there exists a unique morphism $g_\bullet : \mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet \to \mathcal{F}_\bullet$ of Δ-sets such that $\rho^2 \circ |i_\bullet| = |g_\bullet| \circ h$, where $h : |Y_\bullet| \stackrel{\cong}{\to} |\mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet|$ is the inverse of the canonical homeomorphism from $|\mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet|$ onto $|Y_\bullet|$. Since ρ is homotopic to the identity on $|\mathcal{F}_\bullet|$, we have that $|i_\bullet|$ is homotopic to $|g_\bullet| \circ h$, which implies that $f = |i_\bullet| \circ f'$ is homotopic to

$$P \stackrel{h \circ f'}{\to} |\mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet| \stackrel{|g_\bullet|}{\to} |\mathcal{F}_\bullet|. \tag{29}$$

Furthermore, $\mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet$ is isomorphic to a Δ-set obtained from a simplicial complex K (see §1 in [Go1]), and we can therefore replace $\mathrm{sd}^2 Y_\bullet$ with $K_\bullet$ in (29). □

Note 4.11. Let $\rho : |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet| \to |\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ be the subdivision map of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. If $\rho_k : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ is the subdivision map of the sub-Δ-set $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$, then by the way the maps ρ and ρ_k are defined we have that

$$\rho|_{|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|} = \rho_k.$$

Furthermore, if H is the homotopy between $\mathrm{Id}_{|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|}$ and ρ defined in the proof of Theorem 4.7, then the restriction of H on $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \times [0,1]$ is a homotopy between $\mathrm{Id}_{|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|}$ and $\rho|_{|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|}$.

5 The piecewise linear cobordism category

The purpose of this section is to introduce the *piecewise linear cobordism category* $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, which is the central object of study in the present paper.

Throughout this article, we have used simplicial sets to define piecewise linear versions of the spaces studied in [GRW1], and we will apply this strategy again for the definition of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ will be defined as a *non-unital simplicial category*, i.e., a non-unital category whose object and morphism sets are actually simplicial sets, and whose structure maps are morphisms of simplicial sets. The definition of non-unital simplicial category will be given in §5.2. Also, in §5.2 we will introduce the notion of *nerve of a non-unital simplicial category*, which we will use in §5.3 to define the classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$.

For the definition of the piecewise linear cobordism category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, given in §5.3, we will first introduce for each positive integer N a non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, analogous to the category $\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of cobordisms embedded in $\mathbb{R}^N$ used in [GRW1]. The simplicial set of objects (resp. morphisms) of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is then defined as a colimit of the simplicial sets of objects (resp. morphisms) of the non-unital categories $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

In this section, besides defining the non-unital categories $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ and $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we will begin the proof of the following result, which is the first step in proving the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 5.1. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL} \xrightarrow{\simeq} |\psi_d(\infty,1)_\bullet|.$$

In this statement, $\psi_d(\infty,1)_\bullet$ is a simplicial set (defined at the beginning of subsection §5.3) which is a colimit for the diagram of inclusions

$$\ldots \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N-1,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N+1,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \ldots.$$

We will prove Theorem 5.1 by introducing a subsimplicial set $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ such that, as we explained in the introduction, the geometric realization $|\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$ fits into a diagram of weak equivalences

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet| \xhookrightarrow{\simeq} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|. \tag{30}$$

This section is organized as follows. In §5.1 we introduce the notion of fiberwise regular value (which we need to formulate the definition of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$) and define the simplicial set $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$. In §5.2 we give a discussion on non-unital simplicial categories, and in §5.3 we define the piecewise linear cobordism category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ and its classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$. Finally, in §5.4 we obtain the first weak equivalence in (30).

5.1 Fiberwise regular values

For a p-simplex $W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$ of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$, let

$$x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$$

be the projection from W onto the second factor of $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$. Observe that this map is piecewise linear since it is the restriction of the projection $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k} \to \mathbb{R}^k$, which is piecewise linear, on the subpolyhedron W. Furthermore, x_k is *proper* since $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is proper and W is a closed subspace of $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$.

In the following definition, $B(a,\delta)$ shall denote the closed ball centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with radius $\delta > 0$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$\|(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\| = \max\{|x_1|,\ldots,|x_k|\}.$$

Definition 5.2. Let $W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$ be a p-simplex of the simplicial set $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ and let $\pi : W \to \Delta^p$ be the natural projection onto Δ^p. A value $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is said to be a *fiberwise regular value* for the projection map $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ if for every point w in the pre-image $x_k^{-1}(a_0)$ there is a $\delta > 0$, an open neighborhood V of $\lambda_0 = \pi(w)$ in Δ^p, and a piecewise linear homeomorphism

$$h : V \times B(a_0,\delta) \times (\pi,x_k)^{-1}\big((\lambda_0,a_0)\big) \longrightarrow (\pi,x_k)^{-1}\big(V \times B(a_0,\delta)\big)$$

such that $(\pi,x_k) \circ h$ is equal to the projection onto $V \times B(a_0,\delta)$.

Observe that if a_0 is a fiberwise regular value of $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$, then by Proposition 2.26 we have that the pre-image $(\pi,x_k)^{-1}\big((\lambda_0,a_0)\big)$ is a $(d-k)$-dimensional piecewise linear manifold for any $\lambda_0 \in \Delta^p$. In fact, after identifying $\{\lambda_0\} \times \{a_0\} \times (-1,1)^{N-k}$ with $(-1,1)^{N-k}$, we can view $(\pi,x_k)^{-1}\big((\lambda_0,a_0)\big)$ as a closed $(d-k)$-dimensional piecewise linear submanifold of $(-1,1)^{N-k}$.

In this section, we shall only be concerned with fiberwise regular values of projections of the form $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$ with W a simplex in the simplicial set $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$.

Definition 5.3. $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ is the subsimplicial set of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ which consists of all simplices W such that the projection $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$ has a fiberwise regular value.

5.2 Non-unital simplicial categories

For the definition of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, we need to clarify what we mean by non-unital simplicial category. Recall that a *non-unital category* $\mathcal{C}$ (or *semicategory*) is a pair of sets $(\mathcal{O},\mathcal{M})$ of objects and morphisms, equipped with source and target functions $s,t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{O}$, and a composition function $\mu : \mathcal{M} \times_{t\mathcal{O}s} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- $s\big(\mu(f,g)\big) = s(f)$ and $t\big(\mu(f,g)\big) = t(g)$
- $\mu\big(\mu(f,g),h\big) = \mu\big(f,\mu(g,h)\big)$ whenever $t(f) = s(g)$ and $t(g) = s(h)$.

The domain $\mathcal{M} \times_{t\mathcal{O}s} \mathcal{M}$ of the composition function is the set of pairs $(f, g) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ such that $t(f) = s(g)$. We shall typically denote the image $\mu(f, g)$ by $g \circ f$.

For two non-unital categories $\mathcal{C}_1 = (\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = (\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{M}_2)$, a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ of non-unital categories is a pair of functions $F : \mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O}_2$, $G : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ which satisfy the expected structure preserving conditions. Explicitly, if s_1, t_1 and s_2, t_2 denote respectively the source and target maps of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, then we must have:

- $s_2\big(G(f)\big) = F\big(s_1(f)\big)$ and $t_2\big(G(f)\big) = F\big(t_1(f)\big)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$.
- $G(g \circ f) = G(g) \circ G(f)$ for all pairs of composable morphisms f, g in $\mathcal{M}_1$.

We define non-unital simplicial categories in the same way we defined non-unital categories, but replacing sets with simplicial sets and functions of sets with morphisms of simplicial sets. More precisely, we have the following (see also section §2.3 in [GRW2]).

Definition 5.4. A *non-unital simplicial category* $\mathcal{C}$ consists of the following data:

- A simplicial set of objects $\mathcal{O}_\bullet$.
- A simplicial set of morphisms $\mathcal{M}_\bullet$.
- Maps of simplicial sets $s, t : \mathcal{M}_\bullet \to \mathcal{O}_\bullet$, called respectively *the source* and *target map* of $\mathcal{C}$.
- A map of simplicial sets $\mu : \mathcal{M}_\bullet \times_{t\mathcal{O}s} \mathcal{M}_\bullet \to \mathcal{M}_\bullet$, called *the composition map*, which satisfies conditions analogous to the ones listed in the definition of non-unital category.

Remark 5.5. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}_\bullet, \mathcal{M}_\bullet, s, t, \mu)$ be a non-unital simplicial category. For each non-negative integer p, the 5-tuple of data $\mathcal{C}_p = (\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{M}_p, s_p, t_p, \mu_p)$ is a non-unital category as defined at the beginning of this subsection. Moreover, for a morphism $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ in Δ, the functions $\eta^* : \mathcal{O}_p \to \mathcal{O}_q$ and $\tilde{\eta} : \mathcal{M}_p \to \mathcal{M}_q$ induced by η define a functor $\mathcal{C}_p \to \mathcal{C}_q$ of non-unital categories.

Remark 5.6. We can also view a non-unital simplicial category as a *simplicial non-unital category*, i.e., a simplicial object $\Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat}$ in the category of non-unital categories. Given a non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}_\bullet, \mathcal{M}_\bullet, s, t, \mu)$, we obtain a functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat}$ by setting $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}([p]) = \mathcal{C}_p$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\eta) = (\eta^*, \tilde{\eta})$, where $\mathcal{C}_p$ and $(\eta^*, \tilde{\eta})$ are as defined in Remark 5.5. There is an evident inverse procedure which takes as input a functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat}$ and produces a non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C}$.

Finally, to define the classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$, we also need to explain what we mean by the nerve of a non-unital simplicial category. For the purpose of formulating this definition, we shall make use of the following notation: given a functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat}$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ the functor $\Delta^{op} \to \Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets}$ obtained by composing $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with the *nerve functor* $\mathcal{N} : \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat} \to \Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets}$ which sends a non-unital category to its nerve. Also, in the following definition alone, we will denote $\mathbf{Ssets}$ by $\mathbf{Fun}\big(\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Sets}\big)$ and $\Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets}$ by $\mathbf{Fun}\big(\Delta_{inj}^{op}, \mathbf{Sets}\big)$.

Definition 5.7. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}_\bullet, \mathcal{M}_\bullet, s, t, \mu)$ be a non-unital simplicial category and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Non\text{-}Cat}$ be the functor defined by $\mathcal{C}$ (see Remark 5.6). *The nerve of* $\mathcal{C}$ is the functor $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C} : \Delta_{inj}^{op} \to \mathbf{Fun}\big(\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Sets}\big)$ obtained by applying the categorical exponential law to $\mathcal{N}\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} : \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Fun}\big(\Delta_{inj}^{op}, \mathbf{Sets}\big)$.

5.3 The non-unital simplicial category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$

Before defining the piecewise linear cobordism category, we need to give some preliminary definitions. For each positive integer N, let δ_N denote the canonical inclusion

$$\mathbb{R}^N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty = \operatorname*{colim}_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{R}^N.$$

A colimit for the sequence of inclusions

$$\ldots \hookrightarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})_\bullet \hookrightarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet \hookrightarrow \ldots$$

is the simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)_\bullet$ whose p-simplices are subsets $W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ for which there exists a positive integer N such that W is contained in the image of $\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^p} \times \delta_N : \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ and $(\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^p} \times \delta_N)^{-1}(W) \in \Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_p$. For a morphism $\eta : [q] \to [p]$ in Δ, the structure map η^* induced by η sends a p-simplex W to

$$\eta^* W = \{(\lambda, x) \in \Delta^q \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \big| \quad (\eta_*(\lambda), x) \in W\},$$

where $\eta_*(\lambda)$ is the image of $\lambda \in \Delta^q$ under the simplicial map $\eta_* : \Delta^q \to \Delta^p$ induced by η. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that $\eta^* W$ is indeed an element in $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)_q$.

The simplicial set $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)_\bullet$ has a filtration similar to the one of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ given by the subsimplicial sets $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$. More precisely, for each non-negative integer $k \geq 0$, we define $\psi_d(\infty,k)_\bullet$ to be the subsimplicial set of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)_\bullet$ whose p-simplices are all subsets $W \subseteq \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ such that $W \subseteq \mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^p} \times \delta_N\big(\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N\big)$ and $(\mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^p} \times \delta_N)^{-1}(W) \in \psi_d(N,k)_p$ for some positive integer N. It is straightforward to verify that $\psi_d(\infty,k)_\bullet = \mathrm{colim}_{N\to\infty}\, \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$.

Note. To simplify our notation and to make arguments easier to formulate, we shall throughout the rest of this section consider the underlying polyhedron W of a p-simplex of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ as a subset of the product $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. Similarly, in the definition of $\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^\infty)_\bullet$, we replace the background space $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$ with the product $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^{\infty-1}$ obtained by interchanging the first two components of $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

We can now start developing the definition of the PL cobordism category. We start by introducing the non-unital simplicial categories $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (compare with Definition 3.7 in [GRW1]).

Definition 5.8. The definition of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ will be broken down into three parts:

i) $N_0\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ is the simplicial set whose set of p-simplices is equal to $\psi_{d-1}(N-1,0)_p \times \mathbb{R}$.

ii) For $k \geq 1$, let $N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ be the simplicial set whose set of p-simplices is the subset of $\psi_d(N,1)_p \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ of tuples $(W, a_0 < \ldots < a_k)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

1. Each a_i is a fiberwise regular value for the projection $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$.
2. There exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$x_1^{-1}\big((a_j \pm \epsilon)\big) = (a_j \pm \epsilon) \times x_1^{-1}(a_j)$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, k$ and such that

$$x_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, a_0 + \epsilon)\big) = (-\infty, a_0 + \epsilon) \times x_1^{-1}(a_0)$$

$$x_1^{-1}\big((a_k - \epsilon, \infty)\big) = (a_k - \epsilon, \infty) \times x_1^{-1}(a_k).$$

iii) $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the non-unital simplicial category whose simplicial set of objects $\mathcal{O}_\bullet$ is $N_0\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ and whose simplicial set of morphisms $\mathcal{M}_\bullet$ is $N_1\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. The source and target maps of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are the maps $s_N, t_N : N_1\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \to N_0\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ which send a p-simplex $(W, a_0 < a_1)$ respectively to (M_0, a_0) and (M_1, a_1), where M_j (for $j = 0, 1$) is the image of $x_1^{-1}(a_j)$ under the obvious map $\{a_j\} \times \Delta^p \times (-1, 1)^{N-1} \to \Delta^p \times (-1, 1)^{N-1}$.

Two p-simplices $(W_0, a_0 < a_1)$, $(W_1, b_0 < b_1)$ in $N_1\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ are composable if $a_1 = b_0$ and the pre-images of $a_1 = b_0$ under the projection maps $x_1 : W_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $x_1 : W_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ agree as subspaces of $\{a_1\} \times \Delta^p \times (-1, 1)^{N-1}$. In this case, the composition map μ_N of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ sends the tuple

$$\big((W_0, a_0 < a_1), (W_1, b_0 < b_1)\big)$$

to $(W, a_0 < b_1)$, where W is equal to the union

$$\Big(W_0 \cap x_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, a_1]\big)\Big) \cup \Big(W_1 \cap x_1^{-1}\big([a_1, \infty)\big)\Big).$$

For $k \geq 1$, a colimit of the sequence

$$\ldots \hookrightarrow N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})_\bullet \hookrightarrow N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \hookrightarrow N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet \hookrightarrow \ldots \tag{31}$$

is the simplicial set $N_k\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ whose p-simplices are tuples $(W, a_0 < \ldots < a_k) \in \psi_d(\infty, 1)_p \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ satisfying conditions 1 and 2 given in part *ii)* of Definition 5.8. Also, it is evident that a colimit of diagram (31) in the case $k = 0$ is the simplicial set $N_0\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ whose set of p-simplices is given by $\psi_{d-1}(\infty, 0)_p \times \mathbb{R}$.

For each $N > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, let $i_{N,k}$ denote the obvious inclusion $N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \hookrightarrow N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet$, and let $j_{N,k}$ denote the map of simplicial sets $N_k\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \to N_k\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ for which we have $j_{N,k} = j_{N+1,k} \circ i_{N,k}$. For each positive integer N, it is easy to check that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N_1\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet & \xrightarrow{s_N} & N_0\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{N,1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{N,0}} & \searrow^{j_{N,0}} & \\
N_1\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet & \xrightarrow{s_{N+1}} & N_0\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})_\bullet & \xrightarrow{j_{N+1,0}} & N_0\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}^{PL}.
\end{array}$$

By the universal property of colimits, there is a unique map $s : N_1\mathcal{C}_\bullet^{PL} \to N_0\mathcal{C}_\bullet^{PL}$ such that $j_{N,0} \circ s_N = s \circ j_{N,1}$ for each positive integer N. A similar map $t : N_1\mathcal{C}_\bullet^{PL} \to N_0\mathcal{C}_\bullet^{PL}$ can be defined using the target maps t_N.

We can now give the main definition of this article.

Definition 5.9. *The piecewise linear cobordism category* $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is the non-unital simplicial category whose simplicial sets of objects and morphisms are respectively the simplicial sets $N_0\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ and $N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$. The source and target maps of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ are the unique maps of

simplicial sets $s, t : N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet} \to N_0\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ such that $j_{N,0} \circ s_N = s \circ j_{N,1}$ and $j_{N,0} \circ t_N = t \circ j_{N,1}$ for each positive integer N. The definition of the composition map

$$\mu : N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet} \times_{tN_0\mathcal{C}_d s} N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet} \to N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$$

is identical to that of the composition map μ_N of $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark 5.10. Let $(W, a_0 < a_1)$ be a p-simplex in $N_1\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$. Suppose $W \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^p \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$, and let $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection onto the first component of $\mathbb{R} \times \Delta^p \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$. The underlying polyhedron W is completely determined by the pre-image $x_1^{-1}\big([a_0, a_1]\big)$, which we will denote by $W_{[a_0,a_1]}$. Similarly, we will denote the intersection of a fiber W_λ of $W \xrightarrow{\pi} \Delta^p$ with $x_1^{-1}\big([a_0, a_1]\big)$ by $W_{\lambda,[a_0,a_1]}$. For a fixed $\lambda_0 \in \Delta^p$, it is evident that $W_{\lambda_0,[a_0,a_1]}$ is a d-dimensional piecewise linear cobordism whose boundary components are contained in $\{a_0\} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ and $\{a_1\} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$. Moreover, since a_0 and a_1 are fiberwise regular values of $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$, we have by Proposition 3.2 that the restriction $\pi|_{W_{[a_0,a_1]}} : W_{[a_0,a_1]} \to \Delta^p$ is a trivial PL-bundle whose fibers are all pl homeomorphic to the cobordism $W_{\lambda_0,[a_0,a_1]}$. This observation justifies the use of the term *piecewise linear cobordism category*.

We conclude this subsection with the definition of the classifying space $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$. For $k \geq 2$, we will from now on identify the k-th stage of the nerve $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ with the simplicial set $N_k\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$.

Definition 5.11. Let $N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}$ be the bi-Δ-set obtained by composing the nerve $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{C}_d^{PL} : \Delta_{inj}^{op} \to \mathbf{Ssets}$ with the forgetful functor $F : \mathbf{Ssets} \to \Delta\text{-}\mathbf{sets}$. *The classifying space* $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is the geometric realization $\|N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}\|$. Similarly, we define $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the geometric realization of the bi-Δ-set $N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$.

5.4 The equivalence $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N) \simeq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$

In what remains of this section, we will show that $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is weak homotopy equivalent to $\big|\psi_d^R(\infty,1)_\bullet\big|$. In order to do this we need to introduce the following two poset models for the non-unital category $\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For these definitions, we will denote by $\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet$ the Δ-set obtained from $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ after forgetting degeneracies.

Definition 5.12. Let $\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ be the bi-Δ-set whose set of (p,q)-simplices is the subset of $\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_p \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ consisting of tuples

$$(W \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \Delta^p \times (-1,1)^{N-1}, a_0 < \ldots < a_q)$$

which satisfy condition 1) of Definition 5.8.

Definition 5.13. Let $\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ be the bi-Δ-set whose set of (p,q)-simplices is the subset of $\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_p \times \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ consisting of tuples

$$(W \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1} \times \Delta^p, a_0 < \ldots < a_q)$$

which satisfy condition 1) of Definition 5.8 and for which there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$x_1^{-1}\big((a_i - \epsilon, a_i + \epsilon)\big) = \big((a_i - \epsilon, a_i + \epsilon)\big) \times x_1^{-1}(a_i)$$

for each i in $\{0, \ldots, q\}$.

The face maps in the p and q direction for both $\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ are defined in the same way as for $N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, with the exception that in the q-direction the face maps do not change the underlying manifold W.

We will show that $B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL}$ is weak homotopy equivalent to $|\psi_d^R(\infty,1)_\bullet|$ by showing that there is a zig-zag of weak equivalences

$$\left\|N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right\| \overset{\simeq}{\leftarrow} \left\|\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \left\|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \left|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\right| \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \left|\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\right| \quad (32)$$

and then letting N go to infinity. The last equivalence in (32) is the natural map from the geometric realization of the Δ-set $\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ to the geometric realization of $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$.

In Proposition 5.14 below, we will define the first map in (32) and show that it is indeed a weak equivalence. For the proof of 5.14 we are going to use the following notation: if W is a p-simplex of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ and if $(x_1,\pi): W \to \mathbb{R}\times\Delta^p$ is the natural projection onto $\mathbb{R}\times\Delta^p$, then we denote by $W_{A,S}$ the pre-image of a product $A\times S \subseteq \mathbb{R}\times\Delta^p$ under (x_1,π).

Proposition 5.14. *The morphism of bi-Δ-sets $\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet} \overset{f_{\bullet,\bullet}}{\longrightarrow} N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ defined by*

$$(W, a_0 < \ldots < a_q) \mapsto (W_{[a_0,a_q]} \cup W_{(-\infty,a_0]} \cup W_{[a_q,\infty)}, a_0 < \ldots < a_q)$$

for $q > 0$ and

$$(W, a_0) \mapsto (x_1^{-1}(a_0), a_0)$$

for $q = 0$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\left\|\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} \left\|N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right\|$$

Proof. We wish to show that the morphism

$$\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q} \overset{f_{\bullet,q}}{\longrightarrow} N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence for each $q \geq 0$. For this proof, we will identify each p-simplex (M,a) of $N_0\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$ with the tuple $(\mathbb{R}\times M, a)$. With this identification, the proof we give below applies to all $q \geq 0$ and we won't have to consider $q = 0$ as a special case.

If $N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet \overset{i_{q\bullet}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{D}^\perp d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}$ is the obvious inclusion of Δ-sets, then we have that $f_{\bullet,q}\circ i_{q\bullet}$ is equal to $\mathrm{Id}_{N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet}$. In particular, the composition $f_{\bullet,q}\circ i_{q\bullet}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence and it therefore suffices to show that $i_{q\bullet}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Consider then a map of pairs

$$g : (\Delta^k, \partial\Delta^k) \longrightarrow \left(\left|\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}\right|, \left|N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right|\right)$$

and let us identify $(\Delta^k,\partial\Delta^k)$ with the geometric realization of the pair $(\Delta^k_\bullet, \partial\Delta^k_\bullet)$. Since $(\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}, N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet)$ is a pair of Kan Δ-sets, we can assume that the map g is equal to the geometric realization of a morphism of Δ-sets

$$h : (\Delta^k_\bullet, \partial\Delta^k_\bullet) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_d^\perp(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}, N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet).$$

The morphism $h : \Delta^k_\bullet \to \mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}$ classifies a k-simplex $(W \subseteq \mathbb{R}\times\Delta^k\times(-1,1)^{N-1}, a_0 < \ldots < a_q)$ of $\mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}$ for which there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$W_{(a_q-\epsilon,\infty),\partial\Delta^k} = (a_q - \epsilon, \infty) \times W_{a_q,\partial\Delta^k}$$

and

$$W_{(-\infty,a_0+\epsilon),\partial\Delta^k} = (-\infty, a_0 + \epsilon) \times W_{a_0,\partial\Delta^k}.$$

The strategy of the proof is to define an element $\widetilde{W}$ of $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1]\times\Delta^k\big)$ which is a concordance between W and an element W' which is the underlying polyhedron of a k-simplex of $N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$, and for which the values $a_0 < \ldots < a_q$ are fiberwise regular for the projection $x_1 : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}$. Once we have this concordance we can apply the Δ-set version of Proposition 3.14, using a suitable simplicial complex which triangulates $\Delta^p \times [0,1]$, to obtain a homotopy

$$F : \Delta^p \times [0,1] \to |\mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}|$$

with $F_0 = |h_\bullet|$ and $F_1 = |h'_\bullet|$, where $h'_\bullet : \Delta^k_\bullet \to \mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}$ is a morphism of Δ-sets which classifies a k-simplex of $N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. Moreover, the concordance $\widetilde{W}$ will be constant over $\partial\Delta^k$, i.e., $\widetilde{W}$ will agree with $[0,1]\times W$ over the product $[0,1]\times\partial\Delta^k$. It follows then that the homotopy F we obtain by applying the Δ-set version of Proposition 3.14 is actually a homotopy of maps of pairs $\big(|\Delta^k_\bullet|, |\partial\Delta^k_\bullet|\big) \to \big(|\mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}{}_\bullet)|, |N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|\big)$.

In order to construct such a concordance, we first pick a value ϵ' such that $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$ and an open piecewise linear embedding

$$f : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R} \to [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}$$

which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]$ and which satisfies the following properties:

- f_0 is the identity on $\mathbb{R}$.
- f fixes all points in $[0,1]\times[a_0-\epsilon', a_q+\epsilon']$.
- f_1 maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $(a_0-\epsilon, a_q+\epsilon)$.

The product of maps $f \times \mathrm{Id}_{\Delta^k\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$, which we shall denote by e, is an open piecewise linear embedding from $[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\times\Delta^k\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ into itself which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]\times\Delta^k$ and thus, by Lemma 3.29, the pre-image $\widetilde{W} := e^{-1}([0,1]\times W)$ is an element of $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1]\times\Delta^k\big)$. Since W agrees over $\partial\Delta^k$ with the products $(-\infty,a_0)\times W_{a_0}$ and $(a_q,\infty)\times W_{a_q}$ at heights $x_1 < a_0$ and $x_1 > a_q$ respectively, and since f fixes all points in $[0,1]\times[a_0-\epsilon', a_q+\epsilon']$, we have that $\widetilde{W}$ agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ over $[0,1]\times\partial\Delta^k$. Also, it follows from the fact that f fixes all points in $[0,1]\times[a_0-\epsilon', a_q+\epsilon']$ that $a_0 < \ldots < a_q$ are fiberwise regular values for the projection $x_1 : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}$. Finally, since f_1 maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $(a_0-\epsilon, a_q+\epsilon)$ we have that $\widetilde{W}$ is a concordance between W and an element W' which is the underlying polyhedron of a k-simplex of $N_q\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet$. This shows that $i_{q,\bullet}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since this argument holds for any $q \geq 0$, we can conclude that $f_{\bullet,\bullet}$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\big\|\mathcal{D}^\perp_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\big\| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \big\|N_\bullet\mathcal{C}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\big\|$$

when we take geometric realizations. □

Proposition 5.15. *The map* $\left\|\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \to \left\|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\|$ *induced by the inclusion* $i_{\bullet,\bullet} : \mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. As we did in the proof of Proposition 5.14, we are going to show that $i_{\bullet,q} : \mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q} \to \mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,q}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to make our arguments easier to follow, we shall only do the proof in the case when $q = 1$. The remaining cases are handled similarly. Let then

$$g : (\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \left(\left|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}\right|, \left|\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}\right| \right)$$

be a map of pairs. Since both $\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}$ are Kan, we can assume that g is the geometric realization of a morphism

$$h : (\Delta^p_{\bullet}, \partial\Delta^p_{\bullet}) \to (\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}, \mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}).$$

We will follow the same strategy used in the proof of Proposition 5.14. Namely, we will produce a concordance $\widetilde{W}$ from which we can obtain a homotopy of maps of pairs $F : \Delta^p \times [0,1] \to |\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}|$ from $|h|$ to the geometric realization of a morphism $h' : \Delta^k_{\bullet} \to \mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}$ which classifies a k-simplex of $\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}$. Let $(W, a_0 < a_1)$ be the p-simplex classified by h. Since both a_0 and a_1 are fiberwise regular values of the projection $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$, we have that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ smaller than $\frac{a_1 - a_0}{2}$ such that for $i = 0, 1$ the restriction of (x_1, π) on

$$W_{(a_i - \epsilon, a_i + \epsilon)}$$

is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d-1$. Also, for both $i = 0, 1$ we can assume that

$$W_{(a_i - \epsilon, a_i + \epsilon), \partial\Delta^p} = (a_i - \epsilon, a_i + \epsilon) \times W_{a_i, \partial\Delta^p}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be a value smaller than $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and let $j : \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise linear homotopy which satisfies the following properties:

- j_0 is the identity on $\mathbb{R}$.
- j_t fixes each point in $\mathbb{R} - (a_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, a_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.
- j_t fixes each point in $[a_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, a_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.
- For $i = 0, 1$, j maps the 2-simplex spanned by $(a_i - \delta, 1), (a_i + \delta, 1)$ and $(a_i, 0)$ to a_i.

Let $J : \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times [0,1]^{N-1} \to \mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times [0,1]^{N-1}$ be the piecewise linear map defined by

$$(x, t, \lambda, y) \mapsto (j(x,t), t, \lambda, y)$$

and let $\widetilde{W}$ denote the pre-image $J^{-1}([0,1] \times W)$ (in this proof we are considering the constant concordance $[0,1] \times W$ as a subpolyhedron of $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$). It is easy to show that $\widetilde{W}$ is actually a closed subpolyhedron of $\mathbb{R} \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ using the fact that J is a piecewise linear map which fixes all points outside a compact piecewise linear subspace.

In order to show that the natural projection $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{W} \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d, we observe first that the restriction of $\widetilde{\pi}$ on

$$\widetilde{W}_{(-\infty, a_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \cup \widetilde{W}_{(a_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, a_1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2})} \cup \widetilde{W}_{(a_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \infty)} \tag{33}$$

is a submersion of codimension d since on this open set it agrees with the projection $\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \times \pi : [0,1] \times W \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p$. On the other hand, for $i = 0$ and $i = 1$, the restriction of $\widetilde{\pi}$ on

$$\widetilde{W}_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon)} \tag{34}$$

is equal to the composite

$$\widetilde{W}_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon)} \xrightarrow{x_1\times\widetilde{\pi}} (a_i-\epsilon, a_i+\epsilon) \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p$$

where the second map is just the projection onto the last two components, which is obviously a submersion of codimension 1. By Proposition 3.3 we have that the first map in this composite is a submersion of codimension $d-1$ since

$$(x_1, \pi) : W_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon)} \to (a_i-\epsilon, a_i+\epsilon) \times \Delta^p$$

is a submersion of codimension $d-1$ and

$$\widetilde{W}_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon)} = f^* W_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon)},$$

where $f : (a_i-\epsilon, a_i+\epsilon) \times [0,1] \times \Delta^p \to (a_i-\epsilon, a_i+\epsilon) \times \Delta^p$ is the piecewise linear map defined by $(s,t,\lambda) \mapsto (j(s,t),\lambda)$. It follows then that the restriction of $\widetilde{\pi}$ on $\widetilde{W}_{(a_i\pm\epsilon)}$ is also a submersion of codimension d for both $i = 0, 1$. Since the open sets in (33) and (34) cover all of $\widetilde{W}$, we conclude that $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{W} \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p$ is a submersion of codimension d.

By the way we constructed $\widetilde{W}$, we have that this is an element of $\psi_d(N,1)([0,1] \times \Delta^p)$ for which we have the following:

- Both a_0 and a_1 are fiberwise regular values for the projection $x_1 : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}$.
- $\widetilde{W}$ is a concordance between W and an element W' of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(\Delta^p\big)$ which is the underlying polyhedron of a p-simplex in $\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}$.

Furthermore, for $i = 0, 1$ we also have that

$$\widetilde{W}_{(a_i-\epsilon,a_i+\epsilon),[0,1]\times\partial\Delta^p} = (a_i-\epsilon, a_i+\epsilon) \times [0,1] \times W_{a_i,\partial\Delta^p}.$$

It follows that the homotopy $F : [0,1] \times |\Delta^p_\bullet| \to |\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}|$ obtained from the concordance $\widetilde{W}$ is a homotopy of maps of pairs

$$(|\Delta^p_\bullet|, |\partial\Delta^p_\bullet|) \to \big(|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}|, |\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}|\big)$$

with $F_1(|\Delta^p_\bullet|) \subseteq |\mathcal{D}_d^{\perp}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,1}|$ and we conclude that $i_{\bullet,1}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. A completely analogous argument shows that $i_{\bullet,q}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for all $q \geq 0$ and we therefore have that $i_{\bullet,\bullet}$ induces a weak homotopy equivalence between geometric realizations. □

Finally, we compare the spaces $\big\|\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\big\|$ and $\Big|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\Big|$.

Proposition 5.16. *There is a weak equivalence* $\big\|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}\big\| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Big|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\Big|$.

Proof. For each p-simplex W of $\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$, let $(\mathbb{R}_W, \leq)$ be the sub-poset of $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$ consisting of those values $a \in \mathbb{R}$ which are fiberwise regular values for the projection $x_1 : W \to \mathbb{R}$. Observe that if we apply the geometric realization functor along the second simplicial direction of $\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ we obtain a Δ-space $G_\bullet$ whose set of p-simplices is exactly equal to

$$G_p = \coprod_{W \in \psi_d^R(N,1)_p} \{W\} \times B(\mathbb{R}_W, \leq).$$

But since each $B(\mathbb{R}_W, \leq)$ is contractible, we have that each component of the map of Δ-spaces $g_\bullet : G_\bullet \to \widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$ defined by $(W, \lambda) \mapsto W$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore, the induced map between geometric realizations

$$|g_\bullet| : \left\|\mathcal{D}_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet\right\| \to \left|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\right|.$$

is also a weak homotopy equivalence. □

By letting $N \to \infty$ in (32), we obtain a diagram of weak equivalences of the form

$$\|N_\bullet \mathcal{C}_{d\bullet}\| \overset{\simeq}{\leftarrow} \left\|\mathcal{D}^\perp_{d\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \overset{\simeq}{\to} \|\mathcal{D}_{d\bullet,\bullet}\| \overset{\simeq}{\to} \left|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(\infty,1)_\bullet\right| \overset{\simeq}{\to} \left|\psi_d^R(\infty,1)_\bullet\right|,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{d\bullet,\bullet}$ and $\mathcal{D}^\perp_{d\bullet,\bullet}$ are the obvious colimits. Since all the spaces in this chain of weak equivalences are CW-complexes, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.17. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL} \xrightarrow{\simeq} |\psi_d^R(\infty,1)_\bullet|.$$

In the following section we prove that the inclusion $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak equivalence when $N - d \geq 3$, which would then complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6 The inclusion $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$

6.1 Preliminary lemmas and outline of the proof

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 6.1. *If $N-d \geq 3$, then the inclusion of simplicial sets $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Instead of proving directly that $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, we will show instead that the corresponding morphism of Δ-sets

$$\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet$$

is a weak equivalence. This is sufficient for proving Theorem 6.1 given that the obvious maps $|\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet| \overset{\simeq}{\to} |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$ and $|\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet| \overset{\simeq}{\to} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|$ make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\widetilde{\psi}_d^R(N,1)_\bullet| & \hookrightarrow & |\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet| \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet| & \hookrightarrow & |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \end{array}$$

commutative. The reason why we prove Theorem 6.1 for Δ-sets instead of simplicial sets is because our proof will make use of the subdivision map $\rho : |\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to |\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet|$ defined in section §4, which is a piece of structure only available when we work with Δ-sets.

Throughout this section the Δ-sets $\widetilde{\psi}^R_d(N,1)_\bullet$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_d(N,1)_\bullet$ shall be denoted simply by $\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet$ and $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ respectively. We start the proof of Theorem 6.1 with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Any map of pairs $f : (\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$ is homotopic, as a map of pairs, to a composite of the form*

$$(\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \xrightarrow{f'} \big(|K_\bullet|, |K'_\bullet|\big) \xrightarrow{|h|} \big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$$

where $(K_\bullet, K'_\bullet)$ is a pair of finite Δ-sets obtained from a pair (K, K') of finite ordered Euclidean simplicial complexes.

Proof. Since Δ^p is compact, the image of the map f intersects only finitely many simplices of $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$. Let $L_\bullet$ be the sub-Δ-set of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ generated by these simplices and let $L'_\bullet$ be the sub-Δ-set of $L_\bullet$ generated by those simplices which intersect the image $f(\partial\Delta^p)$. In particular, $L'_\bullet$ is a sub-Δ-set of $\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet$.

Recall that one of the properties of the subdivision map $\rho : |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|$ is that for any morphism $g : S_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ of Δ-sets and any non-negative integer $k \geq 0$ there is a morphism

$$g' : \mathrm{sd}^k S_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$$

whose geometric realization makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |S_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{h_k^{-1}} & \left|\mathrm{sd}^k S_\bullet\right| \\ {\scriptstyle |g|}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |g'|} \\ |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{\rho^k} & |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|\,. \end{array}$$

In this diagram, h_k^{-1} is the inverse of the canonical homeomorphism $h_k : \left|\mathrm{sd}^k S_\bullet\right| \to |S_\bullet|$. Since the map $f : (\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \left(|\psi_d(N,1)|, \left|\psi^R_d(N,1)\right|\right)$ is equal to the composite map

$$(\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \xrightarrow{f'} \left(|L_\bullet|, |L'_\bullet|\right) \hookrightarrow \left(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, \left|\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet\right|\right),$$

where f' is the map obtained by restricting the target of f to $|L_\bullet|$, we have by the property of the subdivision map indicated above that f is homotopic to a composite of the form

$$(\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \left(\left|\mathrm{sd}^2(L_\bullet)\right|, \left|\mathrm{sd}^2(L'_\bullet)\right|\right) \xrightarrow{|g|} \left(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, \left|\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet\right|\right). \tag{35}$$

Furthermore, since $\Gamma\big([0,1] \times |\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet|\big) \subseteq |\psi^R_d(N,1)_\bullet|$, where Γ is the homotopy between ρ and $\mathrm{Id}_{|\psi_d(N,1)|}$ defined in the proof of 4.7, we have that the map (35) is homotopic to f as a map of pairs.

Finally, since the second barycentric subdivision $\mathrm{sd}^2(X_\bullet)$ of any finite Δ-set $X_\bullet$ is isomorphic to a Δ-set $K_\bullet$ obtained from a finite ordered simplicial complex K, we can replace in (35) the pair $(\mathrm{sd}^2(L_\bullet), \mathrm{sd}^2(L'_\bullet))$ with a pair $(K_\bullet, K'_\bullet)$ induced by a pair of finite ordered simplicial complexes (K, K'). □

Remark 6.3. Let $\rho : |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|$ be the subdivision map of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ and let W be a p-simplex of $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$. If σ is any simplex, say of dimension q, of $\mathrm{sd}\Delta^p$ and if $\delta : \Delta^q \to \sigma$ is the simplicial isomorphism which preserves the order relation on the set of vertices, then the pull back $\delta^* W$ is a q-simplex of $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$. It follows that ρ is a map of pairs from $\big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$ to itself. Similarly, if Γ is the homotopy between $\mathrm{Id}_{|\psi_d(N,1)|}$ and ρ defined in the proof of Theorem 4.7, then we also have that

$$\Gamma\big([0,1] \times |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big) \subseteq |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|.$$

These observations will be used in the outline of the proof of Theorem 6.1 given at the end of this subsection.

Notation 6.4. Let P be an arbitrary polyhedron and consider the product $P \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$. In this section, we will denote the projection $P \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ by t_1. Also, for any element W in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(P\big)$ we will denote the restriction $t_1|W$ by x_1.

The following proposition, which we will prove in §6.3, is the main technical result we shall use to show that any class in $\pi_p\big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$ is trivial.

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that $N - d \geq 3$. Let P be a compact polyhedron, let β be some fixed real constant and let W be an element in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(P\big)$. There is an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1] \times P\big)$ which satisfies the following properties:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ agrees with $[0,1] \times W$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, \beta]\big)$.

ii) $\widetilde{W}$ is a concordance between W and an element W' in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(P\big)$ for which there is a finite open cover $U_1, \ldots, U_q$ of P such that, for each $j = 1, \ldots, q$, the projection

$$x_1 : W'_{U_j} \to \mathbb{R}$$

has a fiberwise regular value $a_j \in (\beta, \infty)$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. At this point it is convenient to give the proof of Theorem 6.1 assuming Proposition 6.5. We shall enumerate the steps of the proof and we remark that apart from step *iii)* none of the other steps need any further details:

i) Let $f : (\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \big(\,|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|\,, \big|\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\big|\,\big)$ be any map of pairs. By Lemma 6.2 we can assume that f is homotopic as a map of pairs to a composite map of the form:

$$(\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \xrightarrow{f'} (|K_\bullet|, |K'_\bullet|) \xrightarrow{|g|} \big(\,|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|\,, \big|\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet\big|\,\big) \tag{36}$$

where $(K_\bullet, K'_\bullet)$ is a pair of finite Δ-sets obtained from a pair of finite ordered simplicial complexes (K, K').

ii) By Remark 3.24, the morphism g classifies a unique element W of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(|K|\big)$ from which we can recover the morphism g. Since $g(K'_\bullet) \subseteq \psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet$, we can find for each simplex σ of the simplicial complex K' a fiberwise regular value a_σ of the projection

$x_1 : W_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}$. Pick such a regular value a_σ for each simplex $\sigma \in K'$, and fix once and for all some real constant β larger than $\max\{a_\sigma : \sigma \in K'\}$.

iii) By Proposition 6.5, there is an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1] \times |K|\big)$ which agrees with $[0,1] \times W$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, \beta]\big)$, where β is the real constant we fixed in step *ii*), and which is a concordance between W and an element W' in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(|K|\big)$ for which there is a finite open cover $U_1, \ldots, U_q$ of $|K|$ such that, for each $j = 1, \ldots, q$, the projection

$$x_1 : W'_{U_j} \to \mathbb{R}$$

has a fiberwise regular value $a_j \in (\beta, \infty)$.

iv) From the concordance $\widetilde{W}$ we can produce a homotopy

$$F : [0,1] \times |K_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|$$

such that F_0 agrees with the map $|g|$ in (36) and such that F_1 is equal to the geometric realization of the morphism

$$g' : K_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$$

which classifies the element W' in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(|K|\big)$. Furthermore, since $\widetilde{W}$ agrees with $[0,1] \times W$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, \beta]\big)$, we have that F is actually a homotopy of maps of pairs $(|K_\bullet|, |K'_\bullet|) \to \big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$.

v) Using the Lebesgue Number Lemma, we can find a large enough positive integer $k > 0$ so that each simplex σ of $\mathrm{sd}^k K$ is contained in one of the open sets of the cover $U_1, \ldots, U_q$ given in step *iii*). Thus, for each simplex σ of $\mathrm{sd}^k K$ we have that the projection

$$x_1 : W'_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}$$

has a fiberwise regular value, which implies that the image of the composition $\rho^k \circ |g'|$ lies entirely in $|\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|$. Furthermore, by Remark 6.3 we have that $|g'|$ and $\rho^k \circ |g'|$ are homotopic as maps of pairs $(|K_\bullet|, |K'_\bullet|) \to \big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$.

vi) Finally, by concatenating the following homotopies

$$f \;\sim\; |g| \circ f' \;\sim\; |g'| \circ f' \;\sim\; \rho^k \circ |g'| \circ f'$$

we obtain that the map f from step *i*) represents the trivial class in $\pi_p\big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$. Since f was any map of pairs $(\Delta^p, \partial\Delta^p) \to \big(|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|, |\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet|\big)$, we conclude that the inclusion $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

In the next subsection, we state some results about extensions of piecewise linear isotopies which we'll need for the proof of 6.5. Finally, in §6.3 we give the proof of Proposition 6.5, which would then complete the proof of Theorem 6.1.

6.2 The Isotopy Extension Theorem

One of the main tools we are going to use in the proof of Proposition 6.5 is the Isotopy Extension Theorem of Hudson, [Hu2]. Before giving its statement, we need to introduce the following list of definitions.

Definition 6.6. 1. Let M^m and Q^q be piecewise linear manifolds with M compact. A pl map $f : M \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ is said to be an *n-isotopy* if it is a piecewise linear embedding and if it commutes with the projection onto I^n.

2. A piecewise linear n-isotopy $f : M \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ is said to be *allowable* if for some piecewise linear $(m-1)$-submanifold N of ∂M we have that $i_t^{-1}(\partial Q) = N$ for all $t \in I^n$. N may be empty or it can be the whole of ∂M.

3. An *ambient n-isotopy* of Q is a piecewise linear homeomorphism $h : Q \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ which commutes with the projection onto I^n and such that $h_0 = \mathrm{Id}_Q$

4. An n-isotopy f of M into Q is said to be *fixed* on $X \subseteq M$ if $f_t|X = f_0|X$ for all $t \in I^n$.

5. An ambient n-isotopy h of Q is said to *extend* the n-isotopy $f : M \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ if $h_t \circ f_0 = f_t$ for all $t \in I^n$.

Isotopy Extension Theorem 6.7. *Let $f : M \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ be an allowable piecewise linear isotopy of M in Q, fixed on $f_0^{-1}(\partial Q)$. If $q - m \geq 3$, then there is an ambient piecewise linear n-isotopy of Q, fixed on $\partial Q \times I^n$, which extends f. Furthermore, if B is a subpolyhedron of I^n, with $0 \in B$, for which there is a piecewise linear retraction $r : I^n \to B$ and a piecewise linear homeomorphism $h' : Q \times B \to Q \times B$ which commutes with the projection onto B and which extends $f|B \times M$, then the ambient n-isotopy h can be chosen to agree with h' on $B \times Q$.*

Proof. The first claim of this proposition is Theorem 2 of [Hu2] and details of its proof can be found in that article. Suppose now that B is a subpolyhedron in I^n, with $0 \in B$, for which there is a piecewise linear retraction $r : I^n \to B$. Suppose further that there is a piecewise linear homeomorphism $h' : Q \times B \to Q \times B$ which commutes with the projection onto B, which extends $f|M \times B$, and which at time $0 \in I^n$ is equal to Id_Q. If $\tilde{h} : Q \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ is any ambient n-isotopy which extends f, then the map $h : Q \times I^n \to Q \times I^n$ which at time $t \in I^n$ is given by $h_t = \tilde{h}_t \circ \tilde{h}_{r(t)}^{-1} \circ h'_{r(t)}$ is also an ambient n-isotopy of Q by Proposition 3.6. Clearly this map agrees with h' on $Q \times B$ and by pre-composing $\tilde{h}_t \circ \tilde{h}_{r(t)}^{-1} \circ h'_{r(t)}$ with f_0 we obtain

$$\tilde{h}_t \circ \tilde{h}_{r(t)}^{-1} \circ h'_{r(t)} \circ f_0 = \tilde{h}_t \circ \tilde{h}_{r(t)}^{-1} \circ f_{r(t)} = \tilde{h}_t \circ f_0 = f_t.$$

Thus, we also have that h extends f. □

Using Proposition 3.7 and the second claim of Proposition 6.7, we can prove the following useful corollary. In this statement, b will denote the point $(0, \ldots, 0)$ in I^n.

Corollary 6.8. *Let M^m be a pl manifold and let P^p be a compact subpolyhedron of M such that $P \subseteq M - \partial M$ and such that $m - p \geq 3$. If $f : P \times I^n \to M \times I^n$ is an n-isotopy of embeddings of P into M such that f_b is equal to the natural inclusion $P \hookrightarrow M$, then there is an ambient isotopy*

$$G : [0,1] \times I^n \times M \to [0,1] \times I^n \times M$$

parametrized by $[0,1] \times I^n$ which satisfies the following:

i) $G_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{I^n \times M}$.

ii) G_0 *extends the isotopy* g.

iii) $G_{(0,b)} = \mathrm{Id}_M$.

Proof. Let $c : [0,1] \times I^n \to I^n$ be a pl map which satisfies the following properties:

- If $i_0 : I^n \hookrightarrow [0,1] \times I^n$ is the map defined by $\alpha \mapsto (0,\alpha)$, then the composite $I^n \overset{i_0}{\hookrightarrow} [0,1] \times I^n \overset{c}{\to} I^n$ is equal to the identity map Id_{I^n}.
- $c\big((1,\alpha)\big) = b$ for all points α in I^n.
- There is a subpolyhedron S of $[0,1] \times I^n$ such that

$$\{(0,b)\} \cup \{1\} \times I^n \subseteq S \subseteq c^{-1}(b)$$

 and for which there is a pl retraction $r : [0,1] \times I^n \to S$.

Using Proposition 3.7, we have that the map

$$\widetilde{g} : [0,1] \times I^n \times P \to [0,1] \times I^n \times M$$

defined by $(t,\alpha,x) \mapsto \big(t,\alpha,g_{c(t,\alpha)}(x)\big)$ is an isotopy of pl embeddings of P into M parametrized by $[0,1] \times I^n$. Moreover, by construction we have that the restriction $\widetilde{g}|_{S\times P}$ is equal to the natural inclusion $S \times P \hookrightarrow S \times M$. By the Isotopy Extension Theorem, there is an ambient isotopy

$$G : [0,1] \times I^n \times M \to [0,1] \times I^n \times M$$

of M such that

$$\widetilde{g} = G \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]\times I^n} \times \widetilde{g}_b).$$

Furthermore, since the identity map covers $\widetilde{g}|_{S\times P}$, then by the second claim of Proposition 6.7 we can assume that $G|_{S\times M} = \mathrm{Id}_{S\times M}$.

□

6.3 Proof of Proposition 6.5

Proposition 6.5 is an immediate consequence of the following more technical lemma, whose proof will occupy the rest of this section.

Lemma 6.9. *Let M^m be a pl manifold, possibly with boundary, let P be a compact subpolyhedron of M such that $P \subseteq M - \partial M$, let β be some fixed real constant and let W be an element in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$. Then, there is an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$ and finitely many open sets $V_1,\dots,V_q$ in $M - \partial M$ which satisfy the following:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ *agrees with* $[0,1] \times W$ *in* $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$.

ii) $V_1,\dots,V_q$ *cover* P.

iii) $\widetilde{W}$ *is a concordance between* W *and an element* W' *in* $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$ *such that for each of the open sets* $V_1,\dots,V_q$ *the projection*

$$x_1 : W'_{V_j} \to \mathbb{R}$$

has a fiberwise regular value $a_j \in (\beta,\infty)$.

Proof of Proposition 6.5. Let us give the proof of Proposition 6.5 assuming Lemma 6.9. Let then P be a compact polyhedron, let β be some fixed real constant and let W be an element in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(P\big)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that P is embedded in some Euclidean space, say $\mathbb{R}^m$. Let M be a regular neighborhood of P in $\mathbb{R}^m$, let $r : M \to P$ be some pl retraction, and let W' denote the pull back of W along r. M is an m-dimensional pl manifold with boundary such that $P \subseteq M - \partial M$. Applying Lemma 6.9 to M, P, W' and β, we obtain an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$ and finitely many open sets $V_1, \ldots, V_q$ in $M - \partial M$ which satisfy claims i), ii) and iii) of 6.9. Finally, it is clear that the concordance $\widetilde{W}_{[0,1]\times P}$ and the open sets

$$U_1 := V_1 \cap P, \ldots, \; U_q := V_q \cap P$$

satisfy claims i) and ii) of Proposition 6.5. □

For the proof of Lemma 6.9, we are going to need lemmas 6.10, 6.11 and 6.14 given below. The reader is advised to skip the proofs of these three lemmas at a first reading and jump directly to the proof of 6.9, given after Lemma 6.14.

Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11 are the results we are going to use to produce fiberwise regular values. Also, is in 6.10 that we are going to make use of Hudson's Isotopy Extension Theorem.

Note. In the proofs of 6.10 and 6.11 we are going to make use of the following notation: If W is an element in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$ and if $\lambda \in M$, then the pre-image of a subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ under the projection

$$x_1 : W_\lambda \to \mathbb{R}$$

will be denoted by $W_{\lambda,S}$.

Lemma 6.10. *Let M be a compact m-dimensional piecewise linear manifold (possibly with boundary), let W be an element of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$, and let β be a fixed real constant. Assume that $N - d \geq 3$. Then, for each $\lambda \in M - \partial M$ there is a regular neighborhood V_λ of λ in $M - \partial M$, values $a_{\lambda,0}, \ldots, a_{\lambda,m}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, and piecewise linear automorphisms $F^{\lambda,0}, \ldots, F^{\lambda,m}$ of $[0,1]\times V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ which satisfy the following:*

- *i)* $a_{\lambda,j} \in (\beta + j + \frac{1}{4}, \beta + j + \frac{3}{4})$.
- *ii)* $F^{\lambda,j}$ *commutes with the projection onto* $[0,1]\times V_\lambda$.
- *iii)* $F_0^{\lambda,j}$ *is the identity map on* $V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$.
- *iv)* $F^{\lambda,j}$ *is supported on* $t_1^{-1}\big([\beta + j + \frac{1}{4}, \beta + j + \frac{3}{4}]\big)$
- *v)* $F^{\lambda,j}\big([0,1]\times W_{V_\lambda}\big)$ *is a concordance between* W_{V_λ} *and an element* W' *of* $\psi_d(N,1)\big(V_\lambda\big)$ *such that* $a_{\lambda,j}$ *is a fiberwise regular value of the projection*

$$x_1 : W' \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. In order to make our notation less cumbersome we shall only prove this lemma in the case when $\beta = 0$. Fix a value j in $\{0, \ldots, m\}$. By Proposition 2.25, we can find a value

$$a \in (j + \frac{1}{4}, j + \frac{3}{4})$$

which is a regular value (in the sense of Definition 2.24) for the projection

$$x_1 : W_\lambda \to \mathbb{R},$$

i.e., there is a pl homeomorphism

$$h : [a-\delta', a+\delta'] \times W_{\lambda,a} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{\lambda,[a-\delta',a+\delta']} \tag{37}$$

such that $x_1 \circ h$ is equal to the projection onto $[a-\delta', a+\delta']$. We can assume without loss of generality that $[a-\delta', a+\delta'] \subseteq (j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4})$. From now on, we will denote the 'cylinder' $W_{\lambda,[a-\delta',a+\delta']}$ simply by $C_{\delta'}$ and denote the product $[j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4}] \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ by Q.

Let now V and U be open neighborhoods of λ and $C_{\delta'}$ in M and W_λ respectively for which there is a normalized product chart

$$g : V \times U \to W_V \tag{38}$$

around $C_{\delta'}$ for the submersion $\pi : W \to M$. The existence of such a chart g is guaranteed by Proposition 3.2. Let us denote again by g the map obtained by composing (38) with the natural inclusion $W_V \hookrightarrow V \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$. We can assume that

$$g_\alpha\big(C_{\delta'}\big) \subseteq Q$$

for each $\alpha \in V$ (shrinking V if necessary) and that V is a regular neighborhood of λ in $M - \partial M$. The restriction of g on $V \times C_{\delta'}$ is then an m-isotopy of embeddings from $C_{\delta'}$ into Q such that g_λ is the natural inclusion $C_{\delta'} \hookrightarrow Q$. Applying Corollary 6.8, we obtain an ambient isotopy

$$G : [0,1] \times V \times Q \to [0,1] \times V \times Q,$$

parametrized by $[0,1] \times V$, which satisfies the following:

- $G_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{I^n \times Q}$.
- G_0 extends the isotopy g.
- $G_{(0,\lambda)} = \mathrm{Id}_Q$.

Furthermore, we can assume that G fixes all points in $[0,1] \times V \times \partial Q$. By pre-composing G with $\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \times G_0^{-1}$, we obtain a new ambient isotopy

$$F' : [0,1] \times V \times Q \to [0,1] \times V \times Q$$

which fixes all points in $[0,1] \times V \times \partial Q$, at time $t = 0$ is the identity on $V \times Q$, and at time $t = 1$ satisfies

$$F'_1\big(g(V \times C_{\delta'})\big) = V \times C_{\delta'}. \tag{39}$$

Since F' fixes all points in $[0,1] \times V \times \partial Q$, we can extend F' to a pl automorphism

$$F : [0,1] \times V \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1} \to [0,1] \times V \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$$

by setting $(t, \alpha, x) \mapsto (t, \alpha, x)$ for each point $x \in \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ not in Q. By construction we have that F commutes with the projection onto $[0,1] \times V$ and that F_0 is just the identity. Therefore, $F\big([0,1] \times W_V\big)$ is a concordance between W_V and

some new element $F_1(W_V)$ of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(V\big)$. Furthermore, by construction we have that $F_{(1,\lambda)} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}\times(-1,1)^{N-1}}$, which implies that $F_1(W_V)_\lambda = W_\lambda$.

We now wish to show that, after possibly shrinking V, there is a small enough value $\delta > 0$ so that

$$F_1(W_V)_{[a-\delta,a+\delta]} = V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta,a+\delta]}. \tag{40}$$

If we manage to find such V and δ, we will have that a is a fiberwise regular value of $x_1 : F_1(W_V) \to \mathbb{R}$ since the product

$$\mathrm{Id}_V \times h : V \times [a-\delta, a+\delta] \times W_{\lambda,a} \to V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta,a+\delta]}, \tag{41}$$

where h is the map from (37), is a pl homeomorphism such that the composite $(\pi, x_1) \circ (\mathrm{Id}_V \times h)$ is equal to the projection onto $V \times [a-\delta, a+\delta]$. In both (40) and (41), we are identifying the fiber $F_1(W_V)_\lambda$ with W_λ.

First, fix a value $\delta'' > 0$ smaller than $\delta' > 0$. Observe that V could have been chosen to be a small enough regular neighborhood of λ so that for the normalized product chart g given in (38) we have that

$$W_{\alpha,[a-\delta'',a+\delta'']} \subseteq g_\alpha\big(W_{\lambda,(a-\delta',a+\delta')}\big) \tag{42}$$

for each point α in V. In order to obtain (40), we shall use the following observation:

Observation. For any $\delta > 0$ smaller than $\delta'' > 0$ we can pick an m-dimensional pl ball $V' \subseteq V$ such that $\lambda \in \mathrm{int}V'$ and such that

$$F_{(1,\alpha)}\Big(\big([j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4}] - (a-\delta'', a+\delta'')\big) \times (-1,1)^{N-1}\Big)$$

and

$$[a-\delta, a+\delta] \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$$

are disjoint for each $\alpha \in V'$.

This observation just follows from the fact that $F_{(1,\lambda)}$ is the identity map on $\mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$.

Choose then any value $\delta > 0$ smaller than $\delta'' > 0$, and let V be a smaller regular neighborhood of λ for which the previous observation holds. We claim that equation (40) holds with this choice of δ and V. In order to show that the inclusion $V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta,a+\delta]} \subseteq F_1(W_V)_{[a-\delta,a+\delta]}$ holds, we first observe that

$$V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta',a+\delta']} \subseteq F_1(W_V)_{[a-\delta',a+\delta']}$$

since $V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta',a+\delta']}$ is contained in the image of F_1. By intersecting both sides of this last inclusion with $t_1^{-1}\big([a-\delta, a+\delta]\big)$, we get that

$$V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta,a+\delta]} \subseteq F_1(W_V)_{[a-\delta,a+\delta]}.$$

To verify that the other inclusion in (40) also holds, we shall for each $\alpha \in V$ decompose the fiber W_α into the following three subspaces:

$$A_\alpha = x_1^{-1}\big([a \pm \delta'']\big), \quad B_\alpha = x_1^{-1}\big([j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4}] - (a \pm \delta'')\big), \quad C_\alpha = x_1^{-1}\big(\mathbb{R} - [j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4}]\big).$$

By the observation given above, we have that

$$F_{(1,\alpha)}(B_\alpha) \cap [a \pm \delta] \times (-1,1)^{N-1} = \emptyset.$$

Also, since F_1 is supported on $V \times [j + \frac{1}{4}, j + \frac{3}{4}] \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$, we also have that

$$F_{(1,\alpha)}(C_\alpha) \cap [a \pm \delta] \times (-1,1)^{N-1} = \emptyset.$$

Finally, by (42) we have

$$A_\alpha \subseteq g_\alpha(W_{\lambda,(a\pm\delta')})$$

for each $\alpha \in V$. Since the right hand side of this inclusion is equal to $G_{(0,\alpha)}\big(W_{\lambda,(a\pm\delta')}\big)$ and since $F_1 = G_0^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$F_{(1,\alpha)}(A_\alpha) \subseteq W_{\lambda,(a\pm\delta')},$$

which in turn implies that

$$F_1(W_V)_{[a-\delta,a+\delta]} \subseteq V \times W_{\lambda,[a-\delta,a+\delta]}$$

since we already had that $F_{(1,\alpha)}(B_\alpha)$ and $F_{(1,\alpha)}(C_\alpha)$ do not intersect $[a-\delta, a+\delta] \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ for each α in V.

The previous argument shows that for any $j = 0, \ldots, m$ there is a regular neighborhood V_j of λ in $M - \partial M$, a value a_j in $(j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4})$, and a pl automorphism F^j of $[0,1]\times V_j \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ over $[0,1] \times V_j$ supported on $t_1^{-1}\big([j+\frac{1}{4}, j+\frac{3}{4}]\big)$ such that $F^j\big([0,1]\times W_{V_j}\big)$ is a concordance between W_{V_j} and an element W' in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(V_j\big)$ such that a_j is a fiberwise regular value for $x_1 : W' \to \mathbb{R}$. Finally, if V is any regular neighborhood of λ contained in $\bigcap_{j=0}^m \mathrm{int} V_j$, then we have that V, $a_0, \ldots, a_m$ and the restrictions of $F^0, \ldots, F^m$ to $[0,1] \times V \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ satisfy all the claims listed in the statement of this lemma. □

Lemma 6.11. *Let M^m be a compact piecewise linear manifold (possibly with boundary), let W be an element of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$, let β be some fixed real constant, and let $\lambda \in M - \partial M$. Let V_λ be the regular neighborhood of λ in $M - \partial M$, $a_{\lambda,0}, \ldots, a_{\lambda,m}$ the values in $\mathbb{R}$, and $F^{\lambda,0}, \ldots, F^{\lambda,m}$ the piecewise linear automorphisms obtained in Lemma 6.10. Then, for any $j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ and any pair of m-dimensional piecewise linear balls (U', U) in $\mathrm{int} V_\lambda$ such that $U \subseteq \mathrm{int} U'$, there is a piecewise linear automorphism $\widetilde{F}$ of $[0,1] \times M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ which satisfies the following properties:*

i) $\widetilde{F}$ commutes with the projection onto $[0,1] \times M$.

ii) $\widetilde{F}_0$ is the identity map on $M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$.

iii) $\widetilde{F}$ is supported on

$$[0,1] \times U' \times [\beta + j + \frac{1}{4}, \beta + j + \frac{3}{4}] \times (-1,1)^{N-1}.$$

iv) $\widetilde{F}$ agrees with $F^{\lambda,j}$ in

$$[0,1] \times U \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}.$$

v) $\widetilde{F}\big([0,1]\times W\big)$ *is a concordance between* W *and an element* W' *in* $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$ *such that* $a_{\lambda,j}$ *is a fiberwise regular value for the projection*

$$x_1 : W'_U \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Again, without loss of generality, we shall only work with the case when $\beta = 0$. Let us denote the pl homeomorphism $F^{\lambda,j}$ simply by F and let $f : [0,1]\times V_\lambda \to [0,1]\times V_\lambda$ be a piecewise linear map which satisfies the following properties:

- $f|_{[0,1]\times U} = \mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]\times U}$.
- $f|_{\{0\}\times V_\lambda} = \mathrm{Id}_{\{0\}\times V_\lambda}$.
- $f(t,x) = (0,x)$ if $x \in \mathrm{cl}(V_\lambda - U')$.

See the remark following this proof for an explanation on how to define the map f. The map

$$\widetilde{F} : [0,1]\times V_\lambda \times \big(\mathbb{R}\times(-1,1)^{N-1}\big) \to [0,1]\times V_\lambda \times \big(\mathbb{R}\times(-1,1)^{N-1}\big)$$

defined by $\widetilde{F}(t,\alpha,x) = (t,\alpha,F_{f(t,\alpha)}(x))$ is a piecewise linear homeomorphism which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]\times V_\lambda$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{F}$ can be extended to all of $[0,1]\times M\times\mathbb{R}\times(-1,1)^{N-1}$ by setting $\widetilde{F}(t,\alpha,x) = (t,\alpha,x)$ if $\alpha \in M - U'$ and it is straightforward to verify that $\widetilde{F}$ satisfies claims *i)*-*iv)* listed in the statement of this lemma. Finally, claim *v)* follows from the fact that $F_1(W_{V_\lambda})$ and $\widetilde{F}_1(W)$ give the same element in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(U\big)$. □

Remark 6.12. We now indicate how to define the map $f : [0,1]\times V_\lambda \to [0,1]\times V_\lambda$ that we used at the beginning of the proof of 6.11. For this construction, we are going to need the following *piecewise linear product*: the real valued function $\cdot : [0,1]\times[0,1] \to [0,1]$ which maps a tuple (x,y) to its product $x\cdot y$ is not a pl function. However, *it is* piecewise linear when we restrict it on the boundary of $[0,1]\times[0,1]$. In fact, if L' is the obvious simplicial complex which triangulates $\partial\big([0,1]\times[0,1]\big)$, then $\cdot : [0,1]\times[0,1] \to [0,1]$ is linear on each simplex of L'. Let now L denote the join $\{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\} * L'$. L is a simplicial complex which triangulates $[0,1]\times[0,1]$ and we define $p : |L| \to [0,1]$ to be the unique map which is linear on each simplex of L and which sends each vertex (x,y) of L to the product $x\cdot y$. We shall refer to this function as *the piecewise linear product on* $[0,1]$.

In order to define the map $f : [0,1]\times V_\lambda \to [0,1]\times V_\lambda$, observe first that (V_λ, U', U) is a triad of regular neighborhoods of λ in $\mathrm{int}M$ such that $U \subseteq \mathrm{int}U'$ and $U' \subseteq \mathrm{int}V_\lambda$. By the Combinatorial Annulus Theorem (see Corollary 3.19 in [RS1]), we can assume that

$$(V_\lambda, U', U) = ([-3,3]^m, [-2,2]^m, [-1,1]^m).$$

Let $s : \mathbb{R}^m \to [0,\infty)$ be the piecewise linear map which sends a vector $(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$ to $\max\{|x_1|,\ldots,|x_m|\}$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a piecewise linear function which is constantly equal to 1 on $[-1,1]$ and constantly equal to 0 on $\mathbb{R} - [-3,3]$. Finally, let

$$f : [0,1]\times[-3,3]^m \to [0,1]\times[-3,3]^m$$

be the map defined by

$$f(t,x) = \Big(p\big(t,\phi\circ s(x)\big),x\Big).$$

It follows from Proposition 2.18 that f is indeed a piecewise linear map and we leave it to the reader to verify that f satisfies the three properties listed in the proof of Lemma 6.11.

Lemma 6.14 below describes a convenient method for obtaining open covers for compact subpolyhedra in a manifold using simplicial complexes. Before stating the lemma, we need to introduce the following definition.

Definition 6.13. Let K be a finite simplicial complex in some Euclidean space. Let σ be a simplex of K with barycentric point $b(\sigma)$. A subset $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of σ is called a *concentric subsimplex of* σ if:

1. $\widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma$ if $\dim\sigma = 0$
2. There is a value $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that

$$\widetilde{\sigma} = \{(1-t)\cdot b(\sigma) + t\cdot x : x \in \partial\sigma, 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}$$

if $\dim\sigma > 0$.

Lemma 6.14. *Let M^m be a compact piecewise linear manifold (possibly with boundary) embedded in some Euclidean space, let P be a compact subpolyhedron of M contained in $M - \partial M$, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ be a collection of open sets in M which cover P. Let K be a simplicial complex which triangulates P and which is subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$. Then, for each simplex σ of K there is a concentric subsimplex $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and compact neighborhoods U_σ, U'_σ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ which satisfy the following properties:*

i) $U_\sigma \subseteq \mathrm{int}U'_\sigma$ and U_σ, U'_σ are regular neighborhoods of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ in $M - \partial M$. In particular, both compact neighborhoods are m-dimensional piecewise linear balls.

ii) For each simplex σ of K, the open set U'_σ is contained in an open set V_α of the cover $\mathcal{U}$.

iii) $U'_{\sigma_1} \cap U'_{\sigma_2} = \emptyset$ if $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ and $\dim\sigma_1 = \dim\sigma_2$.

iv) The collection $\{\mathrm{int}U_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in K}$ is an open cover for P.

Proof. Let p be the dimension of P. We are going to define the concentric simplices $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and the compact neighborhoods U_σ and U'_σ by induction on the dimension of the simplices of K. Let then $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_q$ be the vertices of K and let V_i be an open set of the cover $\mathcal{U}$ which contains the vertex α_i. Since $|K|$ is Hausdorff, we can find open sets $\tilde{U}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \tilde{U}_{\alpha_p}$ such that $\alpha_i \in \tilde{U}_{\alpha_i}$ and $\tilde{U}_{\alpha_i} \cap \tilde{U}_{\alpha_j} = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Furthermore, we can assume that each $\tilde{U}_{\alpha_i}$ is contained in V_i and in $M - \partial M$. Finally, for each α_i pick regular neighborhoods U_{α_i} and U'_{α_i} contained in $\tilde{U}_{\alpha_i}$ such that $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \mathrm{int}U'_{\alpha_i}$. This completes the first step of the induction argument. Suppose now that for every simplex σ of the q-skeleton K^q of K, $q < p$, there is a concentric simplex $\widetilde{\sigma}$ of σ and regular neighborhoods U_σ and U'_σ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ in $M - \partial M$ such that $U_\sigma \subseteq \mathrm{int}U'_\sigma$, the collection of open sets $\{\mathrm{int}U_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in K^q}$ covers $|K^q|$, $U'_{\sigma_1} \cap U'_{\sigma_2} = \emptyset$ if σ_1 and σ_2 are two distinct simplices of the same dimension, and each U'_σ is contained in an element of $\mathcal{U}$. For each $(q+1)$-simplex β of K, pick a concentric sub-simplex $\widetilde{\beta}$ such that

$$\mathrm{cl}(\beta - \widetilde{\beta}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\sigma\in K^q} U_\sigma$$

and let V_β be an open set of the cover Λ which contains β. Observe that all the concentric simplices $\widetilde{\beta}$ are disjoint since the interiors of any pair of $(q+1)$-simplices are disjoint. Since $|K|$ is a normal space, we can find for each $\widetilde{\beta}$ an open neighborhood $\tilde{U}_\beta$ which is

contained in $V_\beta \cap (M - \partial M)$ and such that $\tilde{U}_{\beta_1} \cap \tilde{U}_{\beta_2} = \emptyset$ whenever $\widetilde{\beta_1} \neq \widetilde{\beta_2}$. Finally, for each concentric simplex $\widetilde{\beta}$ pick regular neighborhoods U_β and U'_β inside $\tilde{U}_\beta$ such that $U_\beta \subseteq \text{int}U'_\beta$. By the way we defined the regular neighborhoods U_β and U'_β, we have that $\{\text{int}U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in K^{q+1}}$ is an open cover of $|K^{q+1}|$ and that the collection $\{U'_\sigma, U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in K^{q+1}}$ satisfies conditions *i*), *ii*), and *iii*) given in the statement of this lemma.

□

Proof of Lemma 6.9. By 6.10, we can find for each $\lambda \in P$ a regular neighborhood V_λ of λ in $M - \partial M$, values $a_{\lambda,0}, \ldots, a_{\lambda,m}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, and piecewise linear automorphisms $F^{\lambda,0}, \ldots, F^{\lambda,m}$ of $[0,1] \times V_\lambda \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ which satisfy all the claims listed in Lemma 6.10.

Pick finitely points $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q$ in P such that the interiors of the regular neighborhoods $V_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, V_{\lambda_q}$ cover P, and let K be a simplicial complex which triangulates P. By the Lebesgue Number Lemma, we can assume that each simplex σ of K is contained in one of the open sets $\text{int}V_{\lambda_j}$. Now, by Lemma 6.14 we can find for each simplex σ of K a concentric subsimplex $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and regular neighborhoods U'_σ, U_σ of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- $U_\sigma \subseteq \text{int}U'_\sigma$.
- There is an $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that $U'_\sigma \subseteq \text{int}V_{\lambda_i}$.
- If $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ and $\dim\sigma_1 = \dim\sigma_2$, then $U'_{\sigma_1} \cap U'_{\sigma_2} = \emptyset$.
- $\{\text{int}U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in K}$ is an open cover for P.

For each simplex σ in K we fix an open set V_{λ_i} such that $U'_\sigma \subseteq \text{int}V_{\lambda_i}$. Denote this set by V_σ, and denote the value $a_{\lambda_i,\dim\sigma}$ and the pl automorphism $F^{\lambda_i,\dim\sigma}$ respectively by a_σ and F^σ.

By Lemma 6.11, there is for each $\sigma \in K$ a piecewise linear automorphism $\widetilde{F}^\sigma$ of $[0,1] \times M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$ which is supported on

$$[0,1] \times U'_\sigma \times [\beta + \dim\sigma + \frac{1}{4}, \beta + \dim\sigma + \frac{3}{4}] \times (-1,1)^{N-1},$$

which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1] \times M$, which is the identity at time $t = 0$, which agrees with F^σ in $[0,1] \times U_\sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$, and such that the image

$$\widetilde{F}^\sigma\big([0,1] \times W\big)$$

is a concordance between W and an element W' in $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$ such that a_σ is a fiberwise regular value for the projection

$$x_1 : W'_{U_\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that all the supports of the pl maps $\widetilde{F}^\sigma$ are disjoint. Indeed, if σ_1 and σ_2 are two simplices of different dimension, then the supports of $\widetilde{F}^{\sigma_1}$ and $\widetilde{F}^{\sigma_2}$ are obviously disjoint. On the other hand, if σ_1 and σ_2 are simplices of the same dimension, then we will have that $U'_{\sigma_1} \cap U'_{\sigma_2} = \emptyset$. We can then compose all the maps $\widetilde{F}^\sigma$ to obtain a pl automorphism

$$F : [0,1] \times M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1} \to [0,1] \times M \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1,1)^{N-1}$$

whose image $F\big([0,1]\times W\big)$, which we will denote by $\widetilde{W}$, is an element of $\psi_d(N,1)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$ which is a concordance between W and an element $\widehat{W}$ of $\psi_d(N,1)\big(M\big)$ such that the value a_σ is a fiberwise regular value of the projection

$$x_1 : \widehat{W}_{U_\sigma} \to \mathbb{R}$$

for each simplex σ of K. Thus, we have that $\widetilde{W}$ and $\{\text{int}U_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in K}$ satisfy claims *ii*) and *iii*) of Lemma 6.9. Finally, since each of the pl maps F^σ fixes all points in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$, we also have that $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies point *i*) of 6.9. □

This concludes the proof that the inclusion of Δ-sets $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence when $N-d\geq 3$. As it was observed at the beginning of this section, this implies that the corresponding inclusion of simplicial sets $\psi_d^R(N,1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ is also a weak homotopy equivalence, which then completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

In the next section, we conclude the proof of the main theorem of this article by showing that there is a weak homotopy equivalence $|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ when $N-d\geq 3$. From now on, we shall continue working with simplicial sets instead of Δ-sets unless it is noted otherwise.

7 The equivalence $|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$

7.1 The scanning map

Recall that the base point of the space $|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ is the vertex corresponding to the 0-simplex $\emptyset$ (see Remark 3.10). We will define a map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} : |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \longrightarrow \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$$

by first defining for each $1\leq k\leq N-1$ a map

$$\mathcal{S}_k : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \longrightarrow \Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|. \tag{43}$$

This map $\mathcal{S}_k$, which we will refer to as *the scanning map*, will be the adjoint of a map $\mathcal{T} : [-1,1]\times|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ whose construction is almost identical to that of the map $\mathcal{T}_N$ in (15) which was used to define the structure maps of the spectrum $\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}$.

The definition of $\mathcal{T}$ is as follows. Let $f:[0,1)\to[0,\infty)$ be the same increasing piecewise linear homeomorphism used in §3.4 and let

$$F,G : [0,1)\times\Delta^p\times\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^{N-k} \to [0,1]\times\Delta^p\times\mathbb{R}^{k+1}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-k-1}$$

be piecewise linear embeddings defined by

$$F(t,\lambda,x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1,\ldots,y_{N-k}) = (t,\lambda,x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1+f(t),\ldots,y_{N-k})$$

$$G(t,\lambda,x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1,\ldots,y_{N-k}) = (t,\lambda,x_1,\ldots,x_k,y_1-f(t),\ldots,y_{N-k}).$$

Moreover, let $s_{p,0}$ be the unique morphism $[p]\to[0]$ in the category Δ and let $\big([-1,1]\times\psi_d(N,k)\big)_\bullet$ be the push-out of the diagram

$$\Delta^1_\bullet\times\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \xleftarrow{i} \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \Delta^1_\bullet\times\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$$

where $i : \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \Delta^1_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ sends a p-simplex W to $(s^*_{p,0}0, W)$. Reproducing the construction given in §3.4, we can use the embeddings F and G to define two morphisms of simplicial sets

$$T^+ : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$$

$$T^- : \Delta^1_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$$

which send each p-simplex of the form $(s^*_{p,0}1, W)$ to the p-simplex of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ corresponding to the empty manifold and such that both $T^+ \circ i$ and $T^- \circ i$ are equal to the standard inclusion $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$. It follows that T^-, T^+ make the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & \Delta^1_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \\ {\scriptstyle i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j^+} \\ \Delta^1_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\ j^-\ } & \big([-1,1] \times \psi_d(N,k)\big)_\bullet \\ & {\scriptstyle T^-}\searrow & \qquad\qquad \searrow{\scriptstyle T^+} \\ & & \psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet \end{array} \tag{44}$$

and by the characteristic property of colimits, there is a map of simplicial sets $T : \big([-1,1] \times \psi_d(N,k)\big)_\bullet \to \psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ such that $T^+ = T \circ j^+$ and $T^- = T \circ j^-$.

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathcal{T} : [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)\big)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ be the composite

$$[-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}^{-1}} |([-1,1] \times \psi_d(N,k))_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|T|} |\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

where the first map is the inverse of the obvious homeomorphism $\tilde{h} : |([-1,1]\times\psi_d(N,k))_\bullet| \to [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$. The *scanning map*

$$\mathcal{S}_k : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

is the adjoint of the map $\mathcal{T}$.

The base point of the loops in $\Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ is the vertex $\bullet_N$ corresponding to the 0-simplex $\emptyset$. By the way we defined the map $\mathcal{T}$, we have for each x in $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ that the image $\mathcal{T}(\pm 1, x)$ is equal to $\bullet_N$. Therefore, the image of the map $\mathcal{S}_k$ indeed lies in $\Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$.

We define the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} : |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ as the composite

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} = \Omega^{N-2}\mathcal{S}_{N-1} \circ \ldots \circ \mathcal{S}_1 \tag{45}$$

and we will show that it is a weak homotopy equivalence, in the case when $N - d \geq 3$, by proving the following result.

Theorem 7.2. *The scanning map*

$$\mathcal{S}_k : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence if $N - d \geq 3$ *and* $1 \leq k \leq N-1$.

The proof of Theorem 7.2 is quite lengthy, and it will occupy subsections §7.2, §7.3, §7.4, as well as the first half of §7.5. From now on we will drop the subscript k from $\mathcal{S}_k$ and denote the scanning map simply by $\mathcal{S}$.

Note. For the proof of Theorem 7.2, we shall assume that the underlying polyhedron W of a p-simplex in $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is contained in $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$.

7.2 Decomposition of the scanning map

Instead of proving directly that the scanning map is a weak homotopy equivalence, we are going to express it as the composite of three maps, and prove that each of these is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Before we can give this decomposition, we need to introduce the following subsimplicial sets of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$.

Definition 7.3.
1. $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet$ will denote the path component of the vertex $\emptyset$ in $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$.
2. $\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet$ is the subsimplicial set of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ whose set of p-simplices consists of those elements $W \in \psi_d(N,k)_p$ for which there is a piecewise linear function $f : \Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
$$W_\lambda \cap \big(\mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \{f(\lambda)\} \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big) = \emptyset$$
for each $\lambda \in \Delta^p$.

Observe that $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet$ is a Kan simplicial set. Also, it is easy to prove that each vertex of $\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet$ is concordant with the vertex $\emptyset$, which implies that $\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet$ is a subsimplicial set of $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet$.

We also need to introduce the following bisimplicial set.

Definition 7.4. $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ is the bisimplicial set whose set of (p,q)-simplices consists of all tuples $(W, f_0, \ldots, f_q)$, where W is a p-simplex of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ and the f_i's are piecewise linear maps $\Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
$$f_0(\lambda) \leq \ldots \leq f_q(\lambda) \tag{46}$$
for all $\lambda \in \Delta^p$ and such that
$$W_\lambda \cap \big(\mathbb{R}^{k} \times \{f_j(\lambda)\} \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}\big) = \emptyset \tag{47}$$
for each j in $\{0,\ldots,q\}$ and for each $\lambda \in \Delta^p$.

The structure map of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ associated to a morphism $\eta \times \delta : [p'] \times [q'] \to [p] \times [q]$ in $\Delta \times \Delta$ is defined by
$$\big(\eta \times \delta\big)^*\big(W, f_0 \leq \ldots \leq f_q\big) = \big(\eta^* W, f_{\delta(0)} \circ \eta_*, \ldots, f_{\delta(q')} \circ \eta_*\big)$$
where $\eta_* : \Delta^{p'} \to \Delta^p$ is the linear map induced by η.

Observe that there is a forgetful map

$$f : \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\| \to |\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet| \tag{48}$$

obtained by forgetting all the functions f_j. Indeed, let $\widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ be the bisimplicial set whose set of (p,q)-simplices is given by

$$\widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{p,q} = \psi^0_d(N,k+1)_p.$$

The structure map $(\eta\times\delta)^*$ in $\widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ induced by a morphism $\eta\times\delta : [p']\times[q'] \to [p]\times[q]$ is the function which sends a (p,q)-simplex W to the (p',q')-simplex η^*W (i.e., all the structure maps in the second simplicial direction are identity maps). There is an obvious homeomorphism

$$h : \left\|\widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{\bullet,\bullet}\right\| \overset{\cong}{\to} |\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

and we define the forgetful map (48) to be the map obtained by pre-composing this homeomorphism with the geometric realization of the morphism of bisimplicial sets

$$F_{\bullet,\bullet} : N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet} \to \widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{\bullet,\bullet} \tag{49}$$

which sends a (p,q)-simplex $(W, f_0 \le \ldots \le f_q)$ to W.

We claim that the scanning map defined in 7.1 is homotopic to a composite of the form

$$|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\| \to \Omega|\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet| \to \Omega|\psi^\emptyset_d(N,k+1)_\bullet| \tag{50}$$

where the base point of the loops in $\Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet\|$ is the vertex corresponding to the $(0,0)$-simplex $(\emptyset,0)$. The last map in this composition is the one induced by the inclusion $\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi^\emptyset_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$, and the second map is the one induced by the forgetful map (48). The first map will be defined in the following subsection.

The aim is now to show that each map in (50) is a weak equivalence and that this composite is homotopic to the scanning map. We start with the following proposition.

Proposition 7.5. *The forgetful map $f : \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\| \to |\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Consequently, the second map in (50) is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. For each p-simplex W of $\psi^0_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ let $\mathcal{F}_W$ be the partially ordered set of all piecewise linear functions $f : \Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ for which we have

$$W_\lambda \cap \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times \{f(\lambda)\} \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}\right) = \emptyset$$

for all $\lambda \in \Delta^p$. Each partially ordered set $\mathcal{F}_W$ has the property that for any finite set $\{f_0,\ldots,f_q\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_W$ we can find a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_W$ with the property that $f_0,\ldots,f_q \le f$. Namely, take

$$f = \max\{f_0,\ldots,f_q\}.$$

It follows then that each classifying space $B\mathcal{F}_W$ is contractible. Finally, the contractability of the classifying spaces $B\mathcal{F}_W$ implies that for each $p \ge 0$ the map of simplicial sets

$$F_{p,\bullet} : N\psi_d(N,k)_{p,\bullet} \to \widehat{\psi}^0_d(N,k+1)_{p,\bullet}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence, and by the Realization Lemma for bisimplicial sets (see [Ro], Proposition 6.6.1) we have that the morphism of bisimplicial sets $F_{\bullet,\bullet}$ given in (49) is a weak homotopy equivalence. Therefore, the forgetful map $f : \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\| \to |\psi_d^0(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. □

The rest of this section is organized as follows: in 7.3 we will introduce the first map in (50) and show that it is a weak homotopy equivalence using a *group completion argument* which is also used in [GRW1]. In 7.4, the most technical part of this section, we prove that the inclusion $\psi_d^0(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi_d^\emptyset(N,k)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence when $k > 1$. Finally, in 7.5 we show that the scanning map $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ is homotopic to the composite map (50) and we finish the proof of the main theorem.

7.3 The group completion argument

In Proposition 7.6 below, we collect several properties of the bisimplicial set $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}$ which we'll need for the arguments presented in this subsection. Before stating this proposition, we introduce the following notation: if W is a p-simplex of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ and $f : \Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise linear function, we will denote by

$$W + f$$

the image of W under the piecewise linear automorphism of $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by

$$(\lambda, x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_N) \mapsto (\lambda, x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1} + f(\lambda), \ldots, x_N).$$

It is clear that $W + f$ is again a p-simplex of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$. Also, for any value a in $\mathbb{R}$ we will denote by c_a the constant map $\Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ which sends all points of Δ^p to a.

Proposition 7.6. *1) For $q > 0$, the morphism of simplicial sets*

$$\eta^q : \underbrace{\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \times \ldots \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet}_{q} \to N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q}$$

which sends a q-tuple $(W_1, \ldots, W_q)$ to

$$\Big(\coprod_{j=1}^{q}(W_j + c_{j-1}), c_0, \ldots, c_q\Big),$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

2) If $\emptyset_\bullet$ is the subsimplicial set of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}$ whose unique p-simplex is the tuple $(\emptyset, c_0)$, then the inclusion $\emptyset_\bullet \hookrightarrow N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. In particular, $|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}|$ is contractible.

3) If $\beta_j : [1] \to [q]$ is the morphism in Δ defined by $\beta_j(0) = j-1$ and $\beta_j(1) = j$, then the morphism

$$B_\bullet^q := (\beta_1^*, \ldots, \beta_q^*) : N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q} \to \underbrace{N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1} \times \ldots \times N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}}_{q}$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence.

4) The function obtained by composing the bijection

$$\pi_0\big(N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}\big) \times \pi_0\big(N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}\big) \overset{\cong}{\to} \pi_0\big(\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet\big) \times \pi_0\big(\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet\big)$$

induced by η^1 and the function between path components induced by the composition

$$\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \overset{\eta^2}{\to} N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,2} \overset{d_1}{\to} N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}$$

is a product with respect to which $\pi_0\big(N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}\big)$ is a group.

Proof. In order to make this proof easier to follow, we are going to switch the roles of the coordinates x_1 and x_{k+1}. To prove 1), observe first that both $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \times \ldots \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ and $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q}$ are Kan simplicial sets. Hence, in order to show that the morphism η^q is a weak equivalence, it suffices to show that for each commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\partial\Delta^p_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|g'|} & |\psi_d(N,k)^q_\bullet| \\ \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle |\eta^q|} \\ |\Delta^p_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{|g|} & |N\psi_d(N,q)_{\bullet,q}| \end{array} \tag{51}$$

there exists homotopies $h_s : |\Delta^p_\bullet| \to |N\psi_d(N,q)_{\bullet,q}|$ and $f_s : |\partial\Delta^p_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k)^q_\bullet|$ such that $f_0 = |g'|$, $h_0 = |g|$, $|\eta^q| \circ f_s = h_s|_{|\partial\Delta^p_\bullet|}$ for all $s \in [0,1]$, and $f_1 : |\partial\Delta^p_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k)^q_\bullet|$ admits an extension $F : |\Delta^p_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k)^q_\bullet|$ such that $|\eta^q| \circ F = h_1$. Consider then a diagram of the form (51) and let $(W, f_0 \leq \ldots \leq f_q)$ be the p-simplex of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q}$ classified by g. By assumption, we have that the map f_j agrees with c_j on $\partial\Delta^p$. It is possible to perturb the functions $f_0, \ldots, f_q$, while keeping the restrictions $f_0|_{\partial\Delta^p}, \ldots, f_q|_{\partial\Delta^p}$ fixed, so that $f_j < f_{j+1}$ for each $j \in \{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ and so that $W_\lambda \cap \big(\mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-1}\big)$ does not intersect the hyperplanes $\{f_j(\lambda)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^p$. Therefore, by stretching W in the directions $x_2, \ldots, x_{k+1}$ and leaving all remaining coordinates fixed, we can replace the p-simplex $(W, f_0 \leq \ldots \leq f_q)$ with a p-simplex of the form $(W', g_0 < \ldots < g_q)$ for which we also have that $g_j|_{\partial\Delta^p} = c_j$.

Using the Simplicial Approximation Theorem (see [Br]), we can find for each $0 \leq j \leq q$ a piecewise linear homotopy

$$H^j_t : \Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$$

between g_j and c_j which agrees with the constant homotopy on $\partial\Delta^p$ and such that $H^i_t(\lambda) < H^j_t(\lambda)$ for all values $t \in [0,1]$ and $\lambda \in \Delta^p$ whenever $i < j$. Moreover, using the Isotopy Extension Theorem (see [Hu2] and [KL]), we can find a piecewise linear automorphism

$$F : [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1] \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}$$

which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1] \times \Delta^p$, which is the identity when restricted to $\{0\} \times \Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}$ and $[0,1] \times \partial\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}$, and such that $F(t, \lambda, g_j(\lambda)) = (t, \lambda, H^j_t(\lambda))$.

Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the product $F \times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}$ and let $\widetilde{W}$ denote the image of $[0,1] \times W'$ under $\tilde{F}$. Then, $(\widetilde{W}, H^0, \ldots, H^q)$ is a concordance between $(W', g_0, \ldots, g_q)$ and a p-simplex of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q}$ of the form $(W'', c_0, \ldots, c_q)$. Using Proposition 3.14, we can produce from the concordance $(\widetilde{W}, H^0, \ldots, H^q)$ a homotopy $h : [0,1] \times \Delta^p \to |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,q}|$ between $|g|$ and the geometric realization of the morphism g' which classifies the simplex

$(W'', c_0, \ldots, c_q)$. Furthermore, since $\tilde{F}$ is the identity on $[0,1] \times \partial\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^N$, we have that the image $h_t(\partial\Delta^p)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Im}|\eta^q|$ for all t in $[0,1]$. Finally, since W'' does not intersect $x_1^{-1}(0)$ nor $x_1^{-1}(q)$, we can push to infinity those parts of W'' below $x_1^{-1}(0)$ and above $x_1^{-1}(q)$ to obtain a concordance between $(W'', c_0, \ldots, c_q)$ and a p-simplex $(W''', c_0, \ldots, c_q)$ which lies in the image of η^q. Using again Proposition 3.14, we can produce a homotopy relative to $\partial\Delta^p$ between h_1 and a map which sends Δ^p to $\mathrm{Im}|\eta^q|$. This concludes the proof of 1). Also, observe that the arguments used in this proof can be used to show that any diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\partial\Delta^p_\bullet| & \longrightarrow & |\emptyset_\bullet| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ |\Delta^p_\bullet| & \longrightarrow & |N\psi_d(N,q)_{\bullet,0}| \end{array}$$

represents the trivial class in $\pi_p\big(|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}|, |\emptyset_\bullet|\big)$. This proves claim 2).

Statement 3) is basically a consequence of 1) and of the techniques used to prove that statement. Indeed, let

$$|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1} \times \ldots \times N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}| \xrightarrow{P} |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}| \times \ldots \times |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}|$$

and

$$|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \times \ldots \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{Q} |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \times \ldots \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$$

be the canonical homeomorphisms from $|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1} \times \ldots \times N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}|$ to $|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}| \times \ldots \times |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}|$ and from $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet \times \ldots \times \psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ to $|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \times \ldots \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ respectively. Using the techniques used to prove 1), we can show that

$$P \circ |B^q| \circ |\eta^q|$$

is homotopic to

$$\big(|\eta^1| \times \ldots \times |\eta^1|\big) \circ Q,$$

which is a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus, $P \circ |B^q| \circ |\eta^q|$ is also a weak equivalence, which in turn implies that B^q is a weak homotopy equivalence.

In order to prove 4), we first have to introduce a bit of notation: for any interval (a,b), we are going to denote by $\psi_d^{(a,b)}(N,k+1)_\bullet$ the subsimplicial set of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ whose set of p-simplices consists of all $W \in \psi_d(N,k+1)_p$ which are strictly contained in $\Delta^p \times (a,b) \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}$. It is clear that any vertex of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}$ is path connected to a vertex (W,a,b) with $W \in \psi_d^{(a,b)}(N,k)_0$. Let us denote by $\times$ the product defined in the statement of 4). If we take two vertices (W_1,a_1,b_1) and (W_2,a_2,b_2) with $W_i \in \psi_d^{(a_i,b_i)}(N,k+1)_0$ for $i=1,2$, then the product

$$\big[(W_1,a_1,b_1)\big] \times \big[(W_2,a_2,b_2)\big]$$

of the corresponding path components is equal to the path component

$$\big[(W_1 \cup (W_2 + b_1 - a_2), a_1, b_1 + (b_2 - a_2))\big].$$

From this we immediately have that $\times$ is associative. Furthermore, for any vertex (W, a, b) with $W \in \psi_d^{(a,b)}(N, k+1)_0$, we have that the products $[(W, a, b)] \times [(\emptyset, c, d)]$ and $[(\emptyset, c, d)] \times [(W, a, b)]$ are respectively equal to

$$[(W, a, b + (d - c))] \qquad [(W + d - a, c, d + (b - a))].$$

It is easy to verify that both of these path components are equal to $[(W, a, b)]$. Therefore, the path component which contains all the vertices of the form $(\emptyset, c, d)$ is the identity element with respect to $\times$.

It remains to show that every path component of $N\psi_d(N, k)_{\bullet,1}$ has an inverse with respect to $\times$. To do this, we again reverse the roles of x_1 and x_{k+1} so that a triple (W, a, b) is a vertex of $N\psi_d(N, k)_{\bullet,1}$ if

$$W \cap \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times \{a\} \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}\right) = \emptyset$$

and

$$W \cap \left(\mathbb{R}^k \times \{b\} \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}\right) = \emptyset.$$

Pick then a vertex (W, a, b). Assume without loss of generality that $a = 0$, $b = 1$, and that $W \in \psi_d^{(0,1)}(N, k+1)_0$. The projection $p : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ onto the first k components of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is a proper pl map, and by Lemma 2.25 we can find a regular value $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ of the projection $p : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ in the sense of Definition 2.24. If we denote by N the pre-image $p^{-1}(a)$, which we can view as a pl submanifold of $(0,1)^{N-k}$, then by applying lemmas 7.15 and 3.29 we can show that $(W, 0, 1)$ is concordant to $(\mathbb{R}^k \times N, 0, 1)$ (see the last paragraph of this proof). Therefore, we can from now on assume that $(W, 0, 1)$ is of the form $(\mathbb{R}^k \times N, 0, 1)$.

Pick a pl embedding $e : \mathbb{R} \times (0,1) \to \mathbb{R} \times (0,3)$ whose image is equal to the one illustrated in the following figure:




Figure 1. Image of e.

Let $E : \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times \left(\mathbb{R} \times (0,1)\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k-1} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be equal to the product of maps $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{k-1}} \times e \times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{N-k-1}}$ and consider the image $E(W)$. This image is a vertex of $\psi_d^{(0,3)}(N, k+1)_\bullet$

which is concordant to $\emptyset$ since it is empty at all heights $x_k > 2$ and we can therefore push it towards $-\infty$ along the x_k-axis. On the other hand, since W is of the form $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$, by pushing $E(W)$ towards $x_k = \infty$ we obtain a concordance between $E(W)$ and a vertex of the form $W \coprod W'$ with $W' \in \psi_d^{(2,3)}(N, k+1)_0$. This implies that the product

$$\big[(W, 0, 1)\big] \times \big[(W', 2, 3)\big]$$

is equal to

$$\big[(\emptyset, 0, 3)\big],$$

and we therefore have that the element $\big[(W, 0, 1)\big]$ has an inverse with respect to $\times$.

It remains to justify why the vertex W is concordant to $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$. Recall that N is the pre-image of $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ under the projection $p : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$. Assume without loss of generality that $a = 0$. By Lemma 7.15, which we will state and prove in §7.4, we have that W is concordant to another vertex W' which agrees with $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$ in $(-\delta, \delta)^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $h : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k$ be an open piecewise linear embedding which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]$, which is the identity at time $t = 0$, and which maps $\mathbb{R}^k$ onto $(-\delta, \delta)^k$ at time $t = 1$. Then, by applying Lemma 3.29 to the embedding $h \times \mathrm{Id}_{(0,1)^{N-k}}$ and the constant concordance $[0,1] \times W'$, we obtain a concordance between W' and $\mathbb{R}^k \times N$.

□

The following proposition, stated and proven in [Se], is the main tool that we are going to use to prove that the first map in (50) is a weak homotopy equivalence (compare with the statement of Lemma 3.14 in [GRW1]). For this proposition, we need to recall the following notation that was already used in Proposition 7.6: given any j in $\{1, \ldots, p\}$, we denote by β_j the morphism $[1] \to [p]$ in Δ defined by $\beta_j(0) = j - 1$ and $\beta_j(1) = j$.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $X_\bullet$ be a simplicial space such that for each non-negative integer p we have that*

$$(\beta_1^*, \ldots, \beta_p^*) : X_p \to \underbrace{X_1 \times \ldots \times X_1}_{p}$$

is a homotopy equivalence (when $p = 0$, this means that X_0 is contractible). Then, the adjoint

$$X_1 \to \Omega_{X_0} |X_\bullet|$$

of the natural map $[-1,1] \times X_1 \to |X_\bullet|$ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if $X_\bullet$ is group-like, i.e., $\pi_0(X_1)$ is a group with respect to the product induced by the face map $d_1 : X_2 \to X_1$.

In this statement, $\Omega_{X_0}|X_\bullet|$ denotes the space of paths in $|X_\bullet|$ which start and end in X_0, which we view as a subspace of $|X_\bullet|$. If we apply the geometric realization functor to the first simplicial direction of $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}$, we obtain a simplicial space whose space of k-simplices is equal to $|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,k}|$. In order to apply Proposition 7.7 to this simplicial space, we need to prove first the following lemma.

Lemma 7.8. *The simplicial space $[k] \mapsto |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,k}|$ satisfies the assumptions stated in Proposition 7.7.*

Proof. In this proof, the simplicial set $N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,k}$ shall be denoted by N_k and the simplicial space $[k] \mapsto |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,k}|$ shall be denoted simply by $\mathcal{N}_\bullet$. The contractability of $\mathcal{N}_0 = |N_0|$ and the fact that $\mathcal{N}_\bullet$ is group-like follow respectively from points 2) and 4) of 7.6.

For each $p \geq 1$, the map

$$(\beta_1^*, \ldots, \beta_p^*) : \mathcal{N}_p \to \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{N}_1}_{p}$$

is equal to the diagonal map in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |N_p| & \xrightarrow{|B^p|} & |N_1 \times \ldots \times N_1| \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & |N_1| \times \ldots \times |N_1|, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal map is the geometric realization of the morphism $B^p_\bullet$ defined in point 2) of 7.6, and the j-th component of the vertical map is just the geometric realization of the morphism p_j which projects the product onto its j-th component. Since by Proposition 7.6 we have that $B^k_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence and since the vertical map is a homeomorphism, we have that the diagonal map is also a weak homotopy equivalence. □

For the definition of the first map in (50), we need to introduce the following auxiliary constructions: consider again the adjoint $\mathcal{T}$ of the scanning map $\mathcal{S} : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ (see Definition 7.1), and let $\mathcal{T}^-, \mathcal{T}^+$ be the restrictions of $\mathcal{T}$ to $[-1,0] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ and $[0,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ respectively. Moreover, for any real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\psi_d^{<a}(N,k+1)_\bullet$ (resp. $\psi_d^{>a}(N,k+1)_\bullet$) be the subsimplicial set of $\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet$ where p-simplices W are required to be strictly contained in $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (-\infty, a) \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}$ (resp. $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (a, \infty) \times (0,1)^{N-k-1}$). Finally, let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^- : [-1,0] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

be the composite

$$[-1,0] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \overset{\mathcal{T}^-}{\to} |\psi_d^{<1}(N,k+1)_\bullet| \hookrightarrow |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|,$$

where the second map is the geometric realization of the inclusion defined by $W \mapsto (W, c_1)$ and the third map is the obvious map from $|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}|$ to $\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$. Similarly, we can define a map

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^+ : [0,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

using the restriction $\mathcal{T}^+$ and the simplicial set $\psi_d^{>0}(N,k+1)_\bullet$.

The definition of the first map in the composite (50) will be done in four stages:

i) Consider first the composite

$$h_1' : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{|\eta^1|} |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}| \longrightarrow \Omega_{|N_0|} \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|, \tag{52}$$

where the second map is the adjoint of the natural map $[-1,1] \times |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,1}| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$. Applying 1) of 7.6 and Proposition 7.7, we have that h_1' is a weak homotopy equivalence.

ii) Let $g_1' : [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$ be the adjoint of the map given in (52) and let

$$\tilde{g}_1 : [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

be the map defined by

$$\tilde{g}_1(t,x) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^-(2t+1,x) & \text{if } t \in [-1, \frac{-1}{2}] \\ g_1'(2t,x) & \text{if } t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}^+(2t-1,x) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

It is straight forward to show that the maps g_1' and $\tilde{g}_1$ are homotopic.

iii) If

$$c : \Delta^1 \cong [0,1] \to |N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,0}|$$

is the characteristic map of the 1-simplex $(\emptyset, i : [0,1] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R})$, with i the natural inclusion of $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}$, then it is easy to verify that $\tilde{g}_1$ is homotopic to the map

$$g_1 : [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

defined by

$$g_1(t,x) = \begin{cases} c(1+t) & \text{if } t \in [-1,0] \\ \tilde{g}_1(-1+2t,x) & \text{if } t \in [0,1]. \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

iv) Finally, let

$$\tilde{h}_1 : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \longrightarrow \Omega_{|N_0|} \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

be the adjoint of the map g_1. Observe that the image of $\tilde{h}_1$ lies entirely in the loop space $\Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$ where loops are based at the vertex corresponding to the $(0,0)$-simplex $(\emptyset, 0)$. The first map in (50) will then be the map

$$h_1 : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \longrightarrow \Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\| \tag{55}$$

obtained by restricting the target of $\tilde{h}_1$ to $\Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$.

We conclude this subsection by proving the following.

Proposition 7.9. *The map $h_1 : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$ defined in (55) is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. As it was indicated above, the adjoint g_1' of the map

$$h_1' : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega_{|N_0|} \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$$

defined in *i*) is homotopic to the map g_1 defined in *iii*). Since $h_1' : |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to \Omega_{|N_0|} \|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, the adjoint $\tilde{h}_1$ of g_1 is a weak

homotopy equivalence as a map into $\Omega_{|N_0|}\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet{}_,{}_\bullet\|$. Finally, observe that the maps h_1 and $\tilde{h}_1$ fit into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_1} & \Omega_{|N_0|}\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet{}_,{}_\bullet\| \\ & \searrow^{h_1} & \uparrow \\ & & \Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet{}_,{}_\bullet\|\,. \end{array}$$

By the contractability of $|N_0|$ we have that the right vertical inclusion is a weak homotopy equivalence, which then implies the result of this proposition. □

7.4 The inclusion $\psi^0_d(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi^\emptyset_d(N,k)_\bullet$

It remains to show that the last map in the composite (50) is a weak homotopy equivalence. This will follow from the following proposition.

Proposition 7.10. *Assume that $N-d \geq 3$. Then, the inclusion $\psi^0_d(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \psi^\emptyset_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence when $k > 1$.*

As we did in the proof of Theorem 6.1, we are going to prove 7.10 by proving that the corresponding inclusion of Δ-*sets* $\widetilde{\psi}^0_d(N,k)_\bullet \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\psi}^\emptyset_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. For the rest of this subsection, unless it is otherwise noted, we shall denote the Δ-sets $\widetilde{\psi}^0_d(N,k)_\bullet$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^\emptyset_d(N,k)_\bullet$ simply by $\psi^0_d(N,k)_\bullet$ and $\psi^\emptyset_d(N,k)_\bullet$ respectively.

Note 7.11. 1. In the proof of Proposition 7.10, we shall work with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $\mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$\|(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\| = \max\{|x_1|,\ldots,|x_k|\}.$$

Furthermore, $B(a,\delta)$ shall denote the closed ball centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with radius $\delta > 0$.

2. Also, for this proof we will again interchange the roles of the coordinates x_k and x_1. In particular, a p-simplex W of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is in $\psi^0_d(N,k)_p$ if there is a piecewise linear function $f : \Delta^p \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each λ in Δ^p we have that

$$W_\lambda \cap \big(\{f(\lambda)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big) = \emptyset.$$

Notation 7.12. Let W be an element in $\psi_d(N,k)\big(P\big)$. In the proof of 7.10 we are going to use the following notation:

1. $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is the projection from W onto $\mathbb{R}^k$, i.e., the second factor of $P \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$.
2. $t_k : P \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^k$.
3. $t_1 : P \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the projection onto the first component of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

The overall structure of the proof of Proposition 7.10 is almost identical to that of Theorem 6.1 once we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.13. *Assume that $N - d \geq 3$ and let $k > 1$. Let P be a compact polyhedron, let β be some fixed real constant, and let W be an element in $\psi^\emptyset_d(N,k)\big(P\big)$. Then, there is an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi^\emptyset_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times P\big)$ which satisfies the following properties:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ *agrees with* $[0,1]\times W$ *in* $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$.

ii) $\widetilde{W}$ *is a concordance between* W *and an element* W' *in* $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(P\big)$ *for which there is a finite open cover* $U_1,\dots,U_q$ *of* P *and real values* $a_1,\dots,a_q>\beta$ *such that for* $j=1,\dots,q$ *we have*

$$W'_\lambda\cap\big(\{a_j\}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big)=\emptyset$$

for each $\lambda\in U_j$.

Proof of Proposition 7.10. Let us give the proof of Proposition 7.10 assuming Lemma 7.13. Consider a map of pairs

$$f:(\Delta^p,\partial\Delta^p)\to\big(\,\big|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet\big|\,,\big|\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet\big|\,\big).\tag{56}$$

By an argument completely analogous to the one given in the proof of Lemma 6.2, we have that f is homotopic (as a map of pairs) to a composite of the form

$$(\Delta^p,\partial\Delta^p)\overset{f'}{\to}(|K_\bullet|\,,|K'_\bullet|)\overset{|h|}{\to}(\big|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet\big|\,,\big|\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet\big|)$$

where $(K_\bullet,K'_\bullet)$ is a pair of finite Δ-sets obtained from a pair of finite ordered simplicial complexes (K,K') in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Let W be the element of $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(|K|\big)$ classified by the morphism h. Since $h(K'_\bullet)\subseteq\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet$, then for each simplex σ of K' there is a piecewise linear function $f_\sigma:\sigma\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$W_\sigma\cap(\Gamma(f_\sigma)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}\times(0,1)^{N-k})=\emptyset.$$

Fix some real constant β larger than all the maximal values of the functions f_σ. By applying Lemma 7.13 to W and β, we can find an element $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big([0,1]\times|K|\big)$ which agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$ and which is a concordance between W and an element W' in $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(|K|\big)$ for which there is a finite open cover $U_1,\dots,U_q$ of $|K|$ such that for $j=1,\dots,q$ there is a real value $a_j>\beta$ such that

$$W'_\lambda\cap\big(\{a_j\}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big)=\emptyset$$

for each $\lambda\in U_j$. Finally, by arguments completely analogous to the ones given in steps *iv*), *v*) and *vi*) of the proof of Theorem 6.1, we can conclude that the map f in (56) represents the trivial class in $\pi_p\big(\,\big|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet\big|\,,\big|\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet\big|\,\big)$, which gives us that $\psi_d^{0}(N,k)_\bullet\hookrightarrow\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

□

Lemma 7.13 is in turn an immediate corollary of the following lemma, whose statement is similar to that of Lemma 6.9.

Lemma 7.14. *Let* M^m *be a pl manifold (possibly with boundary), let* P *be a compact subpolyhedron of* M *such that* $P\subseteq M-\partial M$, *let* β *be some fixed real constant, and let* W *be an element in* $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(M\big)$. *Then, there is an element* $\widetilde{W}$ *in* $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$ *and finitely many open sets* $V_1,\dots,V_q$ *in* $M-\partial M$ *which satisfy the following:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ *agrees with* $[0,1]\times W$ *in* $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$.

ii) $V_1, \dots, V_q$ *cover* P.

ii) $\widetilde{W}$ *is a concordance between* W *and an element* W' *in* $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(M\big)$ *such that for each of the open sets* $V_1, \dots, V_q$ *there is a real value* $a_j > \beta$ *such that*

$$W'_\lambda \cap \big(\{a_j\} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big) = \emptyset$$

for each $\lambda \in V_j$.

Proof of Lemma 7.13. Assuming Lemma 7.14, the proof of Lemma 7.13 is identical to the proof of Proposition 6.5 and the reader is referred to that proof for more details. □

For the proof of 7.14 we shall need lemmas 7.15, 7.16, 7.17, 7.18 and 7.19 given below. The reader is advised to skip the proofs of 7.15, 7.16, 7.17 and 7.18 at a first reading and instead just read carefully their statements and then jump to the proof of Lemma 7.19, which is the main tool we are going to use to prove Lemma 7.14.

Lemma 7.15. *Let* W *be an element of* $\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,1]^m\big)$ *and let* $\pi : W \to [-1,1]^m$ *be the projection onto* $[-1,1]^m$. *Let* $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ *and* $\delta' > 0$ *be such that the restriction*

$$(\pi, x_k)|_{x_k^{-1}\left(B(a,\delta')\right)} : x_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta')\big) \to [-1,1]^m \times B(a,\delta')$$

is a pl submersion of codimension $d-k$. *Finally, let* $M := (\pi, x_k)^{-1}\big((0,a)\big)$ *(which is a closed pl manifold of dimension* $d-k$*). Then, for any* $0 < \epsilon < 1$ *and any* $0 < \delta < \delta'$ *there is an element* $\widetilde{W}$ *in* $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1] \times [-1,1]^m\big)$ *which satisfies the following conditions:*

i) $i_0^*\widetilde{W} = W$, *where* i_0 *is the inclusion* $[-1,1]^m \hookrightarrow [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$ *defined by* $\lambda \mapsto (0,\lambda)$.

ii) $\widetilde{W}_{[0,1]\times V} = \big([0,1] \times W\big)_{[0,1]\times V}$ *for a neighborhood* V *of* $\partial[-1,1]^m$.

iii) *For any point* (t,λ) *in* $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$, *the fiber* $\widetilde{W}_{(t,\lambda)}$ *agrees with* W_λ *in* $t_1^{-1}\big(\mathbb{R} - [a_1 - \delta', a_1 + \delta']\big)$.

iv) *If* i_1 *is the inclusion* $[-1,1]^m \hookrightarrow [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$ *defined by* $\lambda \mapsto (1,\lambda)$ *and if* $W' := i_1^*\widetilde{W}$, *then for each* $\lambda \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^m$ *we have*

$$W'_\lambda \cap t_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta)\big) = B(a,\delta) \times M.$$

In this last identity, we view M *as a closed* $(d-k)$*-dimensional pl submanifold of* $(0,1)^{N-k}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we are only going to do this proof in the case when a is the origin in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $\delta' = 1$ (i.e., $B(a,\delta') = [-1,1]^k$), and $\delta = \epsilon$.

Consider first the element $[0,1] \times W$ in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1] \times [-1,1]^m\big)$. Let $\pi' : [0,1] \times W \to [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$ be the projection onto $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$ and let $\widetilde{M}$ denote the pre-image of $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times (-1,1)^k$ under (π', x_k). By assumption, we have that the restriction of (π', x_k) on $\widetilde{M}$ is a submersion of codimension $d-k$ and each fiber of this restriction is pl homeomorphic to M. Pick now any value ϵ' such that $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon' < 1$ and let $f : [0,1] \times [-1,1]^{m+k} \to [0,1] \times [-1,1]^{m+k}$ be a piecewise linear map satisfying the following conditions:

- $f|_{\{0\}\times[-1,1]^{m+k}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\{0\}\times[-1,1]^{m+k}}$.
- f fixes each point in $[0,1]\times\big([-1,1]^{m+k} - (-\epsilon',\epsilon')^{m+k}\big)$.
- f maps $\{1\}\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^{m+k}$ to $(1,0)$.

Applying Proposition 3.3 to $(\pi', x_k)|_{\widetilde{M}} : \widetilde{M} \to [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k$ and to the restriction of f on $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k$, we obtain a subpolyhedron M' of $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$ such that the projection from M' onto $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d-k$ and such that

$$M'\cap\big(\{1\}\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^{m+k}\times(0,1)^{N-k}\big) = \big(\{1\}\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^{m+k}\big)\times M.$$

Observe that the projection of M' onto $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d since it can be expressed as the following composite of projections

$$M' \to [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k \to [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m.$$

Let F be the pl map from $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times[0,1]^{N-k}$ to itself which fixes all points in $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\big(\mathbb{R}^k - [-\epsilon',\epsilon']^k\big)\times[0,1]^{N-k}$ and which agrees with $f\times\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]^{N-k}}$ on $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times[-1,1]^k\times[0,1]^{N-k}$. Notice that F is well defined given that the function f fixes all points in $[0,1]\times\big([-1,1]^{m+k} - (-\epsilon',\epsilon')^{m+k}\big)$. Let $\widetilde{W}$ denote the pre-image $F^{-1}\big([0,1]\times W\big)$. $\widetilde{W}$ is a closed subpolyhedron of $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times[0,1]^{N-k}$ (and therefore also a closed subpolyhedron of $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^N$ which is strictly contained in $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$) since it is the pre-image of a subpolyhedron under a pl map with compact support. Moreover, the projection $\widetilde{\pi} : \widetilde{W}\to[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m$ is a pl submersion of codimension d since on $(\widetilde{\pi},x_k)^{-1}\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(\mathbb{R}^k - [-\epsilon',\epsilon']^k)\big)$ it agrees with $\pi' : [0,1]\times W\to[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m$ and on the pre-image $(\widetilde{\pi},x_k)^{-1}\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(-1,1)^k\big)$, which is equal to M', it agrees with the projection $M'\to[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m$. By the way we defined the pl automorphism F, it is evident that the concordance $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies claims *i)*, *ii)* and *iii)* listed in the statement of this lemma. Finally, setting $W' := i_1^*\widetilde{W}$, it is also clear that

$$W'\cap\big([-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m\times B(0,\epsilon)\times(0,1)^{N-k}\big) = [-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m\times B(0,\epsilon)\times M.$$

□

Lemma 7.16. *Let $W\in\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,1]^m\big)$, $a=(a_1,\dots,a_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$, $\delta'>0$ and M^{d-k} be as in the statement of Lemma 7.15. Then, for any $0<\epsilon<1$ and any $0<\delta<\delta'$ there exists a $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\big)$ with the following properties:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies conditions i), ii) and iii) of Lemma 7.15.

ii) If $W' := i_1^*\widetilde{W}$, then the fiber W'_λ agrees with $\mathbb{R}^k\times M$ in

$$t_1^{-1}\big((a_1-\delta,a_1+\delta)\big)$$

for each $\lambda\in[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$.

Proof. Let ϵ' and δ'' be values such that $0<\epsilon<\epsilon'<1$ and $0<\delta<\delta''<\delta'$. By Lemma 7.15 we can find an element $\widehat{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\big)$ which satisfies conditions

$i), ii)$ and $iii)$ of 7.15, and which is a concordance between W and an element W' in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,1]^m\big)$ such that

$$W'_\lambda \cap t_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta'')\big) = B(a,\delta'') \times M$$

for each λ in $[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m$. The goal is now to stretch the subspace $W'_\lambda \cap\ t_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta'')\big)$ in the direction x_k for each λ in $[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$, but without changing the fiber W'_λ in the region $t_1^{-1}\big(\mathbb{R} - (a_1-\delta', a_1+\delta')\big)$. This is done with the following *stretching map*: choose first an open piecewise linear embedding $e : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^k \to [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^k$ over $[0,1]$ which satisfies the following:

- e is the identity on $\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^k$ and on $[0,1]\times[a_1\pm\delta'']^c\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$.
- e maps $\{1\}\times(a_1\pm\delta)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ onto $\{1\}\times \mathrm{int}B(a,\delta)$.
- e commutes with the projection onto the first component of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

The construction of this map e will be given at the end of this proof. Using e we can define an open piecewise linear embedding (*the stretching map*)

$$E : [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k} \to [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$$

with the following properties:

- E commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m$.
- E agrees with the identity map on

 $$\{0\}\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k},$$

 $$[0,1]\times\big([-1,1]^m - (-\epsilon',\epsilon')^m\big)\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$$

 and

 $$[0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times(a_1\pm\delta'')^c\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}\times(0,1)^{N-k}.$$

- If

 $$q : [0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k} \to [-1,1]^m\times[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$$

 is the map that flips the first two factors, then E agrees with

 $$q^{-1}\circ\big(\mathrm{Id}_{[-1,1]^m}\times e\times \mathrm{Id}_{(0,1)^{N-k}}\big)\circ q$$

 on $[0,1]\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m\times\mathbb{R}^k\times(0,1)^{N-k}$.

The details of the definition of the stretching map E will also be given at the end of this proof. For now, let us conclude the proof of this lemma assuming the existence of such a map E. By Proposition 3.29, the pre-image $\widetilde{W} := E^{-1}\big([0,1]\times W'\big)$ is an element in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\big)$ which, by the properties of the stretching map E, satisfies conditions $i), ii)$ and $iii)$ of Lemma 7.15, and which is a concordance between W' and a new element W'' in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,1]^m\big)$ such that for each λ in $[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$ the fiber W''_λ agrees with $\mathbb{R}^k\times M$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((a_1-\delta,a_1+\delta)\big)$. Finally, by the way we constructed the two concordances $\widehat{W}$ and $\widetilde{W}$, we have that the concordance obtained by concatenating $\widehat{W}$

and $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies all the claims listed in the statement of this lemma.

Let us now explain how to define the map $e : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k$ which is going to be used in the definition of the stretching map E. For simplicity, a will be the origin in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $\delta' = 2$, and $\delta = 1$. Let A denote the product $\mathbb{R} \times [-2,2]^{k-1}$, and let

$$c : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathrm{int}A$$

be a piecewise linear homeomorphism which is the identity when restricted on $\mathbb{R} \times [-1,1]^{k-1}$ and which commutes with the projection onto the first component of $\mathbb{R} \times [-1,1]^{k-1}$. Let

$$D : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times [-3,3]^{k-1} \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times [-3,3]^{k-1}$$

be a piecewise linear homeomorphism which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$, which is the identity when restricted on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \times [-3,3]^{k-1}$ and $[0,1] \times \big(\mathbb{R} - (-2,2)\big) \times [-3,3]^{k-1}$, and such that

$$(1, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \mapsto (1, x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \ldots, \frac{x_k}{2})$$

if $x_1 \in [-1,1]$ and if $(x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in [-2,2]^{k-1}$. The composition

$$e := (\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \times c)^{-1} \circ D|_{[0,1] \times \mathrm{int}A} \circ (\mathrm{Id}_{[0,1]} \times c)$$

is then an open piecewise linear embedding $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k$ which commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]$ and with the projection onto the first factor of $\mathbb{R}^k$, which is the identity on $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^k$ and $[0,1] \times \big(\mathbb{R} - (-2,2)\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$, and which maps $\{1\} \times (-1,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ onto $\{1\} \times (-1,1)^k$.

Let us finally explain how to define the stretching map

$$E : [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k} \to [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}.$$

Let E' be the open piecewise linear embedding from $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ to itself which is equal to the composite

$$q^{-1} \circ \big(\mathrm{Id}_{[-1,1]^m} \times e \times \mathrm{Id}_{(0,1)^{N-k}}\big) \circ q,$$

where q is the map defined on $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ which just flips the first two components. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be the piecewise linear function defined by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R} - [-\epsilon', \epsilon'], \\ \frac{t-\epsilon'}{\epsilon - \epsilon'} & \text{if } t \in [\epsilon, \epsilon'], \\ \frac{t+\epsilon'}{\epsilon' - \epsilon} & \text{if } t \in [-\epsilon', -\epsilon] \end{cases}$$

and let us denote by $|\cdot| : [-1,1]^m \to [0,1]$ the pl map which sends $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ to $\max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_m|\}$. Finally, let

$$c : [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \to [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m$$

be the map defined by

$$(t, \lambda) \mapsto \big(p\big(t, \phi(|\lambda|)\big), \lambda\big),$$

where $p : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is the piecewise linear product introduced in Remark 6.12. The map c is piecewise linear by Proposition 2.18. Moreover, by Proposition 3.8 we can pull back the map E' along c to obtain a new open piecewise linear embedding

$$E : [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k} \to [0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$$

by setting

$$E(t, \lambda, x) = \big(t, \lambda, E'_{c(t,\lambda)}(x)\big).$$

By construction, the map E agrees with E' on $[0,1] \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ and agrees with the identity map on $\{0\} \times [-1,1]^m \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$, $[0,1] \times \big([-1,1]^m - [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m\big) \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ and $[0,1] \times [-1,1]^m \times \big(\mathbb{R} - (-2,2)\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times (0,1)^{N-k}$. Therefore, we have that the map E satisfies all the claims listed in the proof of this lemma. □

Lemma 7.17. *Let $x_1 : [0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-1} \to [0,1]$ be the projection onto the first component of $[0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-1}$, and let $C \subseteq [0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-1}$ be a d-dimensional compact piecewise linear submanifold with boundary such that*

$$M := \partial C \subseteq x_1^{-1}(0),\ C \cap x_1^{-1}(1) = \emptyset$$

Then, there is a 1-simplex W of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$ with the following properties:

1. *$W_0 = \mathbb{R} \times M$,*
2. *$W_{\frac{1}{2}} = \big((-\infty, 0] \times M\big) \cup C$, and*
3. *$W_1 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{M}$ denote the closed subpolyhedron of $\mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-1}$ obtained by taking the union $\big((-\infty, 0] \times M\big) \cup C$. Observe that the product

$$[0,1] \times \widetilde{M} \subseteq [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-1}$$

is a 1-simplex of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$. Let $e : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ be an open piecewise linear embedding which satisfies the following:

- . e commutes with the projection onto $[0,1]$.
- . $e_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the identity $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- . $e_0(\mathbb{R}) = (-1, 0)$.
- . $e_1(\mathbb{R}) = (1, 2)$.

If $E : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-1} \to [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-1}$ is equal to the product $e \times \mathrm{Id}_{(0,1)^{N-1}}$, then by Lemma 3.29 we have that the pre-image $W := E^{-1}([0,1] \times \widetilde{M})$ is also a 1-simplex of $\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet$. By the way we chose the embedding e, we have that W satisfies all the three properties given in the statement of this lemma.

□

Lemma 7.18. *Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ be a 0-simplex of $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_\bullet$, let $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a regular value of the projection $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ in the sense of Definition 2.24, and let $M := x_k^{-1}(a)$. Then, there is a $(d-k+1)$-dimensional compact piecewise linear submanifold $C \subseteq [0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ with boundary such that*

$$\partial C \subseteq \{0\} \times (0,1)^{N-k}, \ C \cap \big(\{1\} \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big) = \emptyset \tag{57}$$

and such that $M = \partial C$.

Proof. By assumption, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the restriction of $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$ on $x_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta)\big)$

$$x_k|_{x_k^{-1}\left(B(a,\delta)\right)} : x_k^{-1}\big(B(a,\delta)\big) \to B(a,\delta) \tag{58}$$

is a proper piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d-k$. We begin this proof by showing that for any $b \in B(a,\delta)$ there is a $D \in \psi_{d-k}(N-k,0)\big([0,\frac{1}{2}]\big)$ such that $D_0 = M$ and $D_{\frac{1}{2}} = M'$, where M' is the pre-image of b under $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$. This is done by pulling back (58) along any pl map that identifies $[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ with the straight line connecting a and b. Then, $D \subseteq [0,\frac{1}{2}] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ is pl homeomorphic over $[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ to $[0,\frac{1}{2}] \times M$.

Since $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ is a Kan simplicial set and since $W \in \psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)_0$, we can find a 1-simplex $\widetilde{W}'$ of $\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet$ such that $\widetilde{W}'_0 = W$ and $\widetilde{W}'_1 = \emptyset$. Furthermore, we can assume that there is $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that

$$\widetilde{W}'_t = W, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,\epsilon]. \tag{59}$$

Let $-\widetilde{W}' \subseteq [-1,0] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ be the element in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,0]\big)$ obtained by multiplying the first coordinate of each point in $\widetilde{W}'$ by -1, and let $\widetilde{W} \subseteq [-1,1] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ be the element of $\psi_d(N,k)\big([-1,1]\big)$ obtained by gluing $\widetilde{W}'$ and $-\widetilde{W}'$ along $\widetilde{W}'_0$. Observe that the projection $\pi : \widetilde{W} \to [-1,1]$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension d and that $\widetilde{W}$ is a piecewise linear manifold without boundary since $\pi^{-1}\big(\{-1,1\}\big) = \emptyset$. By applying Theorem 2.25, we can find a regular value b in $\mathrm{int}B(a,\delta)$ for the projection $x_k : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}^k$. Also, by Proposition 2.26 we have that the pre-image of b under $x_k : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is going to be a $(d+1-k)$-dimensional closed (compact and without boundary) piecewise linear submanifold $\widetilde{C}$ of $\widetilde{W}$. After identifying $[-1,1] \times \{b\} \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ with $[-1,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$, we have that $\widetilde{C}$ is also a closed piecewise linear submanifold of $[-1,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ which doesn't intersect the bottom and top face of this product, and by (59) we have that

$$\widetilde{C} \cap \big((-\epsilon,\epsilon) \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big) = (-\epsilon,\epsilon) \times M',$$

where M' denotes the pre-image of b under $x_k : W \to \mathbb{R}^k$. It follows then that the intersection

$$C' := \widetilde{C} \cap \big([0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big)$$

is a piecewise linear manifold with boundary $\partial C'$ equal to M'. Without loss of generality, we can assume that C' is contained in $[\frac{1}{2},1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$. Finally, if $D \subseteq [0,\frac{1}{2}] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ is the trivial cobordism from M to M' defined at the beginning of this proof, then the union

$$C := D \cup C'$$

is a $(d-k+1)$-dimensional compact piecewise linear manifold in $[0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ which satisfies the desired properties.

□

As mentioned earlier, the following lemma is the key construction we are going to use in the proof of Lemma 7.14.

Lemma 7.19. *Let $W \in \psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big([-1,1]^m\big)$, $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\delta' > 0$, and M^{d-k} be as in the statement of Lemma 7.15. Then, for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists a $\widetilde{W}$ in $\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1] \times [-1,1]^m\big)$ with the following properties:*

i) $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies conditions i), ii) and iii) of Lemma 7.15.

ii) If $W' := i_1^* \widetilde{W}$, then

$$W'_\lambda \cap \big(\{a_1\} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big) = \emptyset.$$

for each λ in $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]^m$.

Proof. Choose values ϵ', δ and δ'' such that $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon' < 1$ and $0 < \delta < \delta'' < \delta'$. We can assume by Lemma 7.16 that

$$W_\lambda \cap t_1^{-1}\big((a_1 - \delta'', a_1 + \delta'')\big) = (a_1 - \delta'', a_1 + \delta'') \times \mathbb{R}^k \times M$$

for every point λ in $[-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m$. Moreover, by Lemma 7.18 there is a $(d-k+1)$-dimensional compact piecewise linear submanifold $C \subseteq [0,1] \times (0,1)^{N-k}$ with boundary such that $M = \partial C$ and

$$\partial C \subseteq \{0\} \times (0,1)^{N-k},\ C \cap \big(\{1\} \times (0,1)^{N-k}\big) = \emptyset.$$

Let $\tilde{C}$ be the vertex of $\psi_{d-k+1}(N-k+1,1)_\bullet$ which agrees with the product $\mathbb{R} \times M$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty, 0]\big)$ and which agrees with the cobordism C in $t_1^{-1}\big([0,\infty)\big)$. Using 7.17, we can find a 1-simplex $\tilde{D}$ of $\psi_{d-k+1}(N-k+1,1)_\bullet$ such that

$$\tilde{D}_0 = \mathbb{R} \times M,\ \tilde{D}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \tilde{C},\ \tilde{D}_1 = \emptyset.$$

Since $k \geq 2$, we have that each fiber W_λ of $W \to [-1,1]^m$ is allowed to be non-compact in at least two directions, one of them being x_1. The point of this proof is to use the concordance $\tilde{D}$ to push the slice $W_\lambda \cap t_1^{-1}(a_1)$ to $-\infty$ in the direction x_k for each $\lambda \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^m$, but without changing any of the fibers W_λ in the region $t_1^{-1}\big(\mathbb{R} - [a_1 - \delta', a_1 + \delta']\big)$. This is done as follows: let D'' denote the product

$$\tilde{D} \times \big([-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-2},$$

and let D' be the image of D'' under the map

$$\begin{array}{c} [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-k} \times \big([-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-2} \\ \big\downarrow \\ [0,1] \times \big([-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{R} \times (0,1)^{N-k} \end{array}$$

which just permutes the factors. After identifying $\mathbb{R}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$, we have that D' is a closed subpolyhedron of

$$[0,1] \times \big([-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}\big) \times \mathbb{R}^{k-1} \times (0,1)^{N-k},$$

and that the natural projection $D' \to [0,1] \times [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d-1$, i.e., D' is an element of

$$\psi_{d-1}(N-1, k-1)\big([0,1] \times [-\epsilon', \epsilon']^m \times \mathbb{R}\big).$$

Studying closely the construction of D', we see that this element is a concordance between

$$\big([-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}\times M$$

and the empty manifold $\emptyset$ such that at time $t=\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$D'_{\frac{1}{2}}=\big([-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-2}\times\tilde{C}.$$

Let now $\tilde{\epsilon}>0$ and $\tilde{\delta}>0$ be positive values such that $\epsilon<\tilde{\epsilon}<\epsilon'$ and $\delta<\tilde{\delta}<\delta''$. Using Proposition 3.3, we are going to pull back the concordance D' along a suitable piecewise linear map

$$[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}$$

in order to obtain a new concordance D in $\psi_{d-1}(N-1,k-1)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)$ which satisfies the following properties:

- At time $t=0$, the concordance is equal to $\big([-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}\times M$.
- $D_\lambda=D'_\lambda$ for each λ in $[0,1]\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m\times[a_1-\delta,a_1+\delta]$.
- D agrees with the constant concordance $\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)\times\mathbb{R}^{k-1}\times M$ over

$$[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\big(\mathbb{R}-[a_1-\tilde{\delta},a_1+\tilde{\delta}]\big)$$

 and over

$$[0,1]\times\big([-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m-[-\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}]^m\big)\times\mathbb{R}.$$

Before we give the construction of D, let us indicate how to conclude this proof assuming the existence of this concordance. The composition of the submersion $D\to[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}$ and the natural projection $[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']\times\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m$ onto the first two components of $[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']\times\mathbb{R}$ is a submersion of codimension d. Therefore, D can also be viewed as an element of

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\big),$$

and as an element of this set it satisfies the following properties (here we are going to use the notation introduced in Definition 3.26):

i) D agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ in

$$\Psi_d\Big(t_1^{-1}\big((a_1-\delta'',a_1+\delta'')-[a_1-\tilde{\delta},a_1+\tilde{\delta}]\big)\Big)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\big)$$

ii) D agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ in

$$\Psi_d\Big(t_1^{-1}\big((a_1-\delta'',a_1+\delta'')\big)\Big)\big([0,1]\times([-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m-[-\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}]^m)\big)$$

iii) For each point $(1,\lambda)$ in $\{1\}\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$ we have that

$$D_{(1,\lambda)}\cap\big(\{a_1\}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big)=\emptyset.$$

By i) and Proposition 3.27, there is an element $\widehat{D}$ in

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\big)$$

which agrees with D in

$$t_1^{-1}\big((a_1-\delta'',a_1+\delta'')\big)$$

and with $[0,1]\times W_{[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m}$ in

$$t_1^{-1}\big(\mathbb{R}-[a_1-\tilde{\delta},a_1+\tilde{\delta}]\big).$$

Furthermore, by ii) we have that $\widehat{D}$ can be extended to an element $\widehat{W}$ in

$$\psi_d(N,k)\big([0,1]\times[-1,1]^m\big)$$

which agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ over $[0,1]\times\big([-1,1]^m-[-\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}]^m\big)$. Finally, by condition iii) we have for each point $(1,\lambda)$ in $\{1\}\times[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$ that

$$\widehat{W}_{(1,\lambda)}\cap\big(\{a_1\}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big)=\emptyset.$$

This concludes the proof of this lemma assuming the existence of the concordance D.

It remains to explain how to obtain the concordance

$$D\in\psi_{d-1}(N-1,k-1)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)$$

from the concordance D'. Let then $\phi_1:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ and $\phi_2:[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\to[0,1]$ be piecewise linear functions such that:

- ϕ_1 is constantly equal to 1 on $[a_1-\delta,a_1+\delta]$ and constantly equal to 0 on $\mathbb{R}-(a_1-\tilde{\delta},a_1+\tilde{\delta})$.
- ϕ_2 is constantly equal to 1 on $[-\epsilon,\epsilon]^m$ and constantly equal to 0 on $[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m-(-\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})^m$.

Also, let $|\cdot|:[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\to\mathbb{R}$ be the pl function which is defined by $(x_1,\dots,x_m)\mapsto\max\{|x_1|,\dots,|x_m|\}$, and let $p:[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ be the piecewise linear product introduced in Remark 6.12. Using ϕ_1, ϕ_2, $|\cdot|$ and p, we define two pl maps $f,g:[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f(t,\lambda,s)=\big(p\big(\phi_1(s),t\big),\lambda,s\big),\quad g(t,\lambda,s)=\big(p\big(\phi_2(|\lambda|),t\big),\lambda,s\big).$$

Then, by applying Proposition 3.3 to pull back the concordance D' along the map $f\circ g$, we produce the concordance D in $\psi_{d-1}(N-1,k-1)\big([0,1]\times[-\epsilon',\epsilon']^m\times\mathbb{R}\big)$ with the desired properties. □

Proof of Lemma 7.14. By an argument completely analogous to the one used to prove Lemma 6.9, we can show that there is an element

$$\widetilde{W}\in\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$$

and a finite collection of pairs of pl m-balls $(V_1,U_1),\dots,(V_q,U_q)$ in $\mathrm{int}M$ which satisfy the following conditions:

i) $\widetilde{W}$ agrees with $[0,1]\times W$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$.

ii) $U_j \subseteq \mathrm{int}V_j$ for $j=1,\dots,q$ and the collection $\{\mathrm{int}U_j\}_{j=1}^q$ is a finite open cover for P.

iii) $\widetilde{W}$ is a concordance between W and an element W' of $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)(M)$ such that for each $j=1,\dots,q$ the projection

$$x_k : W'_{V_j} \to \mathbb{R}^k$$

has a fiberwise regular value $a^j=(a_1^j,\dots,a_k^j)$ (see Definition 5.2) with $a_1^j>\beta$.

Let $\pi : W' \to M$ be the projection onto M. From *iii*), we have that there is a $\delta>0$ such that for each $j=1,\dots,q$ the restriction of (π,x_k) on

$$(\pi,x_k)^{-1}\big(V_j\times B(a^j,2\delta)\big)$$

is a piecewise linear submersion of codimension $d-k$. After possibly perturbing the a^j's and shrinking $\delta>0$, we can assume that all the intervals $[a_1^j-2\delta,a_1^j+2\delta]$ are pairwise disjoint and that $a^j-2\delta>\beta$ for $j=1,\dots,q$.

Finally, since all the intervals $[a_1^j-2\delta,a_1^j+2\delta]$ are pairwise disjoint, we can apply Lemma 7.19 to define inductively an element

$$\widehat{W}\in\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big([0,1]\times M\big)$$

which agrees with $[0,1]\times W'$ in $t_1^{-1}\big((-\infty,\beta]\big)$, and which is a concordance between W' and an element W'' in $\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k)\big(M\big)$ such that

$$W''_\lambda\cap\big(\{a_1^j\}\times\mathbb{R}^{N-1}\big)=\emptyset$$

for each $j=1,\dots,q$ and for each $\lambda\in U_j$. The concordance obtained by concatenating $\widetilde{W}$ and $\widehat{W}$, and the open cover $\{\mathrm{int}U_j\}_{j=1}^q$ satisfy then all the claims of Lemma 7.14. □

7.5 Proof of the main theorem

As it was indicated in §7.2, the next step in the proof of Theorem 7.2 is to show that the scanning map $\mathcal{S}$ is homotopic to the composite given in (50)

$$|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|\xrightarrow{h_1}\Omega\,\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|\to\Omega|\psi_d^0(N,k+1)_\bullet|\to\Omega|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k+1)_\bullet|.$$

In order to show that these maps are homotopic, we are going to show instead that the adjoint $\mathcal{T}:[-1,1]\times|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|\to|\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ of the scanning map is homotopic to the adjoint of the map (50). The adjoint

$$g:[-1,1]\times|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|\to|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

of (50) is equal to the composite

$$[-1,1]\times|\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|\xrightarrow{g_1}\|N\psi_d(N,k)_{\bullet,\bullet}\|\xrightarrow{f}|\psi_d^0(N,k+1)_\bullet|\xhookrightarrow{i}|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k+1)_\bullet|,$$

where g_1 is the adjoint of h_1 (see equations (54) and (53)), f is the forgetful map introduced in §7.2, and i is the inclusion from $|\psi_d^0(N,k+1)_\bullet|$ into $|\psi_d^{\emptyset}(N,k+1)_\bullet|$.

Using the definition of the map g_1 given in (54), it is easy to verify that for each $(t,x) \in [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$ we have

$$g(t,x) = \mathcal{T}\big(\phi(t), x\big),$$

where $\phi : [-1,1] \to [-1,1]$ is the continuous function defined by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } t \in [-1,0], \\ 4t-1 & \text{if } t \in [0,1/4], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [1/4,3/4], \\ 4t-3 & \text{if } t \in [3/4,1]. \end{cases}$$

If $F : [0,1] \times [-1,1] \to [-1,1]$ is any homotopy between ϕ and $\mathrm{Id}_{[-1,1]}$ which is fixed on -1 and 1, then the map

$$\mathcal{H} : [0,1] \times [-1,1] \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(N,k+1)_\bullet|$$

defined by $(s,t,x) \mapsto \mathcal{T}\big(F(s,t),x\big)$ is a homotopy between g and $\mathcal{T}$ which is fixed on $\{-1,1\} \times |\psi_d(N,k)_\bullet|$. Consequently, the scanning map $\mathcal{S}$ is homotopic to the composite map in (50). Moreover, since each map in (50) is a weak homotopy equivalence, we have that the scanning map is also a weak homotopy equivalence, which concludes the proof of Theorem 7.2.

Using Theorem 7.2, we can prove the following result by induction.

Theorem 7.20. *If $N - d \geq 3$, then the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} : |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to \Omega^{N-1}|\Psi_d(\mathbb{R}^N)_\bullet|$ defined in (45) is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

We will now indicate how to obtain the main theorem of this article from theorems 5.1 and 7.20. Let i_N denote the obvious inclusion $|\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \hookrightarrow |\psi_d(N+1,1)_\bullet|$. By Theorem 7.20, there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{N\to\infty} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}, \tag{60}$$

where the homotopy colimit on the left hand side is the mapping telescope of the diagram

$$\ldots \longrightarrow |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{i_N} |\psi_d(N+1,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{i_{N+1}} |\psi_d(N+2,1)_\bullet| \longrightarrow \ldots. \tag{61}$$

Since each map in this diagram is an inclusion of CW-complexes, we have that the natural map $F : \operatorname{hocolim}_{N\to\infty} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet| \to |\psi_d(\infty,1)_\bullet|$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Moreover, since both $|\psi_d(\infty,1)_\bullet|$ and the mapping telescope of (61) are CW-complexes, the map F has a homotopy inverse

$$|\psi_d(\infty,1)_\bullet| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{N\to\infty} |\psi_d(N,1)_\bullet|. \tag{62}$$

Finally, we obtain the main theorem of this article by combining (60), (62) and the homotopy equivalence of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 7.21. *There is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$B\mathcal{C}_d^{PL} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{\infty-1}\mathbf{\Psi}_d^{PL}.$$

References

[Br] J.L. Bryant, *Piecewise linear topology*, Handbook of Geometric Topology, North-Holland (2002).

[Bu] S. Buoncristiano, *Fragments of geometric topology from the sixties*, Unpublished. Available at http://www.math.ad.ac.uk/∼aar/haupt/sandro.pdf.

[Ga] S. Galatius, *Stable homology of automorphisms groups of free groups*, Ann. of Math. **173** (2011), 705-768.

[GMTW] S. Galatius, I. Madsen, U. Tillmann, M. Weiss, *The homotopy type of the cobordism category*, Acta Math. **202** (2009), 195-239.

[GRW1] S. Galatius, O. Randal-Williams, *Monoids of moduli spaces of manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 3, 1243-1302.

[GRW2] S. Galatius, O. Randal-Williams, *Stable moduli spaces of high dimensional manifolds*, Acta Math. **212** (2014), 257-377.

[Go1] M. Gómez López, *Spaces of piecewise linear manifolds*, Doctoral thesis presented at the University of Copenhagen, January 2015.

[Go2] M. Gómez López, *The homotopy type of the PL cobordism category II*. In preparation.

[Hu1] J.F.P. Hudson, *Piecewise linear topology*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam (1969).

[Hu2] J.F.P. Hudson, *Extending piecewise linear isotopies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **16** (1966), 651-68.

[KL] N.H. Kuiper, R.K. Lashof, *Microbundles and bundles II*, Invent. Math. **1** (1966), 243-259.

[Ro] J. Rognes, *Lecture notes on algebraic K-theory*, available at http://folk.uio.no/rognes/kurs/mat9570v10/akt.pdf.

[RS1] C.P. Rourke, B.J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise linear topology*, Springer-Verlag, New york (1972).

[RS2] C.P. Rourke, B.J. Sanderson, Δ*-sets I: Homotopy Theory*, Quart. Journal of Mathematics Oxford (2) **22** (1971), 321-38.

[Se] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293-312.

[Wi] R. E. Williamson Jr., *Cobordism of combinatorial manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **83** (1966), 1-33.

E-mail address: lopez@imada.sdu.dk megomezl1985@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK, ODENSE, DENMARK